

When the Machines Tried Wall Street

What an AI trading experiment quietly tells every Indian investor

By Devjeet Chakraborty | DC Investments | Weekend Read, May 2026

“The market does not pay you for cleverness. It pays you for conviction held through chaos.”

The News That Should Make Every Investor Pause

This week, Bloomberg reported the results of one of the most fascinating experiments in modern finance. Eight of the world’s most powerful AI models — OpenAI’s ChatGPT, Anthropic’s Claude, Google’s Gemini, Elon Musk’s Grok, Alibaba’s Qwen and others — were each handed USD 10,000 and turned loose on US tech stocks for two weeks. The contest, run by an AI start-up called Nof1 in something it calls Alpha Arena, was designed to test a question every investor secretly wonders about: if we hand the keys to the smartest machines on Earth, can they do this better than us?

The verdict was unforgiving. Most of the bots lost money. Across 32 sets of results, only one model — Alibaba’s Qwen — finished in profit, and it did so by trading 1,418 times in two weeks. Grok placed only 158 trades and was the worst performer in four of the contests. The same prompt, given to the same models, produced wildly different decisions. They over-traded. They held losers. They bought into momentum at the top and sold into panic at the bottom.

The world’s most expensive software, given the cleanest possible market data, lost money trying to do what your neighbour believes a stock-tip WhatsApp group can teach in a weekend.

Why This Story Matters Far More Than It Looks

This is not a story about AI failure. AI is here, it is improving, and it will change every industry — including ours. This is a story about what markets actually reward, and about what a generation of retail investors is being quietly mis-sold.

A new class of products is being marketed: AI-driven trading apps, “smart” momentum bots, and algorithmic signal subscriptions that promise to think for you. The pitch is always the same. The market is too complex for humans. Let the machine decide.

The Alpha Arena results call that pitch into question — using the most capable models humanity has ever built. The lesson is not that AI is dumb. The lesson is that markets do not reward intelligence in the way we expect. They reward something else.

Why The Best Models in the World Could Not Beat a Boring SIP

There is a deeper reason the AI models failed at Alpha Arena, and it is worth understanding because it explains why most retail traders also fail.

Markets are not a prediction problem. They are a behavioural problem.

A trading model — human or machine — succeeds in markets not because it knows what will happen tomorrow, but because it controls how it responds to what happens. The AI models in Alpha Arena were given the same data and produced wildly different decisions. They over-reacted. They chased. They abandoned conviction the moment the position turned against them.

If the world's most powerful pattern-recognition systems cannot maintain a consistent, disciplined response to market noise, what makes us think a retail trader staring at a phone screen will?

This is precisely why mutual fund SIPs, asset allocation, and rebalancing have been the unglamorous bedrock of Wealth Creation principle over the last two decades. [On Monday, 11th May 2026, I will share Global Mutual Fund & Systematic Investing Performance for a broader understanding.](#)

The Quiet Compounding Engine

Consider the numbers most investors do not see. A Rs 10,000 monthly SIP into a quality flexi-cap fund, started in May 2010 and continued without interruption through every panic since, would today represent a corpus of well over Rs 50 lakh against a total contribution of Rs 19.2 lakh. No predictions. No signals. No bots. Just discipline applied for sixteen years.

Compounding is not a complex idea. It is just hard to do — because doing it requires you to keep going when every news headline, every WhatsApp forward, and now every AI-driven trading product is telling you to do something cleverer.

The Alpha Arena results are a quiet vindication of the most boring strategy in finance: keep investing, keep allocating across asset classes, keep rebalancing, do not flinch.

One Idea to Carry Into This Week

“You do not win in markets by predicting the storm. You win by being on a ship that is built to thrive in storms.”

Markets will keep producing new tools, new products, and new technologies that promise to think for you. Some of them will be useful. Most of them will quietly transfer your money to someone else. The investor who wins over decades is not the one with the smartest tool. It is the one with the most stable temperament — supported by a disciplined plan, a thoughtful asset allocation, and the patience to let compounding do its work uninterrupted.

This weekend, do not ask: which AI will pick the next winner? Ask instead: is my portfolio built so that question never needs to be answered?

If your SIP is running, let it run. If it has paused, today is the right day to restart it. If your asset allocation has drifted, set aside ten minutes this weekend to write down a date — within the next two weeks — when you will rebalance it.

The machines tried Wall Street. The machines lost. You do not have to.

Invest with conviction. Review with discipline. Compound with patience.

Devjeet Chakraborty is the Founder & Principal MFD of DC Investments (AMFI ARN: 119674), a Mumbai-based wealth distribution firm. He brings 22+ years of experience across Kotak Securities, ICICI Bank and Axis Bank, and previously played first-class cricket for Bihar in the Ranji Trophy.

Source reference: “AI bots auditioning for Wall Street trading are mostly losing”, Bloomberg, as carried in Business Standard, 8 May 2026.

Mutual Fund investments are subject to market risks. Read all scheme-related documents carefully. Past performance is not indicative of future returns. The views expressed are for educational purposes and do not constitute investment advice.