

A2 Applied Mathematics for WJEC

Unit 3: The Normal Distribution

Worked Solutions

Please note: These solutions were generated with the help of ChatGPT.

They may therefore contain errors; however, statistically speaking, most should be correct...

Bah-dum-tssh.

Unit 3: Normal Distribution

Worked Solutions

Test Your Understanding 1

Question 1:

We use the standard normal table to find probabilities.

(a) P(Z<2)

From Z-tables:

P(Z<2) = 0.9772

(b) $P(Z \le 0.75)$

From Z-tables:

 $P(Z \le 0.75) = 0.7734$

(c) P(Z > 2.2)

Using complement rule:

 $P(Z>2.2)=1-P(Z\leq 2.2)$

From tables:

 $P(Z \le 2.2) = 0.9861$

So,

P(Z > 2.2) = 1 - 0.9861 = 0.0139

(d) $P(Z \geq 1.81)$

Using complement rule:

 $P(Z \ge -1.81) = 1 - P(Z < -1.81)$

From tables:

P(Z < -1.81) = 0.0351

So,

 $P(Z \ge -1.81) = 1 - 0.0351 = 0.9649$

(e) $P(Z \le 2.9)$

From Z-tables:

 $P(Z \le -2.9) = 0.0019$

(f) P(Z > 0.3)

Using complement rule:

P(Z > -0.3) = 1 - P(Z < -0.3)

From tables:

P(Z < -0.3) = 0.3821

So,

P(Z > -0.3) = 1 - 0.3821 = 0.6179

(g) P(0.3 < Z < 1.1)

From tables:

P(Z < 1.1) = 0.8643

P(Z < 0.3) = 0.6179

P(0.3 < Z < 1.1) = 0.8643 - 0.6179 = 0.2464

(h) $P(-0.4 \le Z \le 1)$

From tables:

P(Z < 1) = 0.8413

P(Z < -0.4) = 0.3446

 $P(-0.4 \le Z \le 1) = 0.8413 - 0.3446 = 0.4967$

We convert $X \sim N(124, 5^2)$ into a standard normal variable:

$$Z = \frac{X - 124}{5}$$

(a)
$$P(X \leq 130)$$

$$Z = \frac{130 - 124}{5} = \frac{6}{5} = 1.2$$

From tables:

$$P(Z \le 1.2) = 0.8849$$

(b)
$$P(X < 134)$$

$$Z = \frac{134 - 124}{5} = \frac{10}{5} = 2$$

From tables:

$$P(Z < 2) = 0.9772$$

(c)
$$P(X \ge 127.5)$$

$$Z = \frac{127.5 - 124}{5} = \frac{3.5}{5} = 0.7$$

$$P(Z \ge 0.7) = 1 - P(Z < 0.7)$$

From tables:

$$P(Z < 0.7) = 0.7580$$

$$P(Z \ge 0.7) = 1 - 0.7580 = 0.2420$$

(d)
$$P(X > 120)$$

$$Z = \frac{120 - 124}{5} - \frac{-4}{5} - -0.8$$

$$P(Z > -0.8) = 1 - P(Z < -0.8)$$

From tables:

$$P(Z < -0.8) = 0.2119$$

$$P(Z > -0.8) = 1 - 0.2119 = 0.7881$$

(e)
$$P(X < 116)$$

$$Z = \frac{116 - 124}{5} = \frac{-8}{5} = -1.6$$

From tables:

$$P(Z < -1.6) = 0.0548$$

(f)
$$P(122 \le X \le 126)$$

Find Z-scores:

$$Z = \frac{122 - 124}{5} = -0.4, \quad Z = \frac{126 - 124}{5} = 0.4$$

$$P(Z < 0.4) = 0.6554, \quad P(Z < -0.4) = 0.3446$$

$$P(122 \le X \le 126) = 0.6554 - 0.3446 = 0.3108$$



Given $X \sim N(100, 15^2)$:

(a) Two-thirds between 85 and 115

Find Z-scores:

$$Z = \frac{85 - 100}{15} = -1, \quad Z = \frac{115 - 100}{15} = 1$$

From tables:

$$P(-1 \le Z \le 1) = 0.8413 - 0.1587 = 0.6826 \approx 2/3$$

(b) 2% in excess of 130

$$Z = rac{130 - 100}{15} = rac{30}{15} = 2$$

$$P(Z > 2) = 1 - 0.9772 = 0.0228 \approx 2\%$$

(c) Probability 3 or more exceed 130

Each test is a Bernoulli trial:

p=0.0228, n=30, use Binomial distribution $X\sim \mathrm{Bin}(30,0.0228)$.

Find $P(X \geq 3)$ using binomial probabilities or Po $^{\cdot}$ \downarrow n approximation.

Question 6

Given $X \sim N(30,4^2)$:

Find Z-scores:

$$Z = \frac{26 - 30}{4} = -1, \quad Z = \frac{36 - 30}{4} = 1.5$$

$$P(X < 26) + P(X > 36) = P(Z < -1) + P(Z > 1.5)$$

From tables:

$$P(Z < -1) = 0.1587, \quad P(Z > 1.5) = 1 - 0.9332 = 0.0668$$

$$P(X < 26 \cup X > 36) = 0.1587 + 0.0668 = 0.2255$$

Given that the volume ${\cal V}$ in each bottle follows:

$$V\sim N(1000,25^2)$$

(a) Find
$$P(V<940)$$

Standardize V:

$$Z = \frac{940 \quad 1000}{25} = \frac{60}{25} = 2.4$$

From standard normal tables:

$$P(Z < 2.4) = 0.0082$$

(b) First, find P(V > 1050):

$$Z = \frac{1050 - 1000}{25} = \frac{50}{25} = 2$$

From tables:

$$P(Z > 2) = 1$$
 $P(Z < 2) = 1$ $0.9772 = 0.0228$

Now, for 3 independent bottles, the probability that all three exceed 1050ml is:

$$(0.0228)^3 = 0.00001186$$

Given:

$$W\sim N(85.4,14^2)$$

(a) Find P(W>110)

Standardize W:

$$Z = \frac{110 \quad 85.4}{14} = \frac{24.6}{14} = 1.757$$

Using normal tables:

$$P(Z < 1.76) pprox 0.9608$$
 $P(W > 110) = 1 \quad 0.9608 = 0.0392$ $pprox 3.92\%$

Thus, about 3.92% of men weigh more than 110 kg.

(b) Find the weight corresponding to the 95th percentile

We need to find w such that:

$$P(W \leq w) = 0.95$$

From normal tables:

$$Z_{0.95} = 1.645$$

Using the inverse Z-score formula:

$$w = \mu + Z\sigma$$
 $w = 85.4 + (1.645 \times 14)$ $w = 85.4 + 23.03 = 108.43$

Thus, to qualify for the trial, a man's weight must be at least $108.43\ \mathrm{kg}$.

Test Your Understanding 2

Question 1

Question 2

We are given:

Given:

 $X \sim N(\mu, 3^2)$

 $Y \sim N(\mu, 5^2)$

and

We convert to **Z-score**:

$$P(X>30)=0.15$$

$$P(Y > 100) = 0.05$$

Step 1: Find the corresponding Z-score

From Z-tables:

Using:

We need to find the Z-score for which the upper tail probability is (

P(Z > 1.645) = 0.05

From standard normal tables:

P(Z > 1.04) = 0.15

Thus:

Z = 1.04

$$Z=rac{Y-\mu}{\sigma}$$
 $1.645=rac{100-\mu}{5}$

Step 2: Use the standardization formula

Solving for μ :

The standard normal transformation formula is:

$$Z=rac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$$

 $\mu = 100 - (1.645 \times 5) = 100 - 8.225 = 91.775$

$$\mu = 91.78$$

Substituting known values:

$$1.04=\frac{30-\mu}{3}$$

Step 3: Solve for μ

Rearrange:

$$30 - \mu = 1.04 \times 3$$

$$30-\mu=3.12$$

$$\mu = 30 - 3.12$$

$$\mu=26.88$$

Question 3

Question 4

 $X \sim N(50, \sigma^2)$

P(X < 54) = 0.9

P(Z < 1.28) = 0.90

Given:

Given:

$$W \sim N(\mu, 10^2)$$

 $P(W \le 230) = 0.10$

P(Z < -1.28) = 0.10

We convert to Z-score:

We convert to Z-score:

From Z-tables:

Using:

$$Z = \frac{W - \mu}{\sigma}$$
$$-1.28 = \frac{230 - \mu}{10}$$

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$

$$1.28 = \frac{54 - 50}{\sigma}$$

Solving for μ :

Solving for σ :

$$\mu = 230 + (1.28 \times 10) = 230 + 12.8 = 242.8$$

$$\sigma = \frac{4}{1.28} = 3.125$$
 $\sigma = 3.13$

$$\mu=242.8$$

Challenge

Given:

$$L \sim N(3.5, \sigma^2)$$

From Z-tables:

Step 1: Convert to Z-scores

$$P(L < 5) = 0.80$$

$$P(Z < -1.28) = 0.10, \quad P(Z > 0.84) = 0.20$$

From Z-tables:

$$Z_1 = -1.28, \quad Z_2 = 0.84$$

Usina

P(Z < 0.84) = 0.80

Using standardization:

So,

$$Z_1 = \frac{20-\mu}{\sigma} = -1.28$$

$$Z = \frac{5 - 3.5}{\sigma}$$
$$0.84 = \frac{1.5}{\sigma}$$

$$Z_2=rac{35-\mu}{\sigma}=0.84$$

Solving for σ :

Step 2: Solve for
$$\mu$$
 and σ

$$\sigma = \frac{1.5}{0.84} = 1.7857$$

$$\sigma = 1.79$$

$$\sigma = \frac{20-\mu}{-1.28} = \frac{35-\mu}{0.84}$$

Equating:

$$\frac{20-\mu}{-1.28} = \frac{35-\mu}{0.84}$$

Cross-multiplying:

$$(20 - \mu) \times 0.84 = (35 - \mu) \times (-1.28)$$

$$16.8 - 0.84\mu = -44.8 + 1.28\mu$$

$$16.8 + 44.8 = 1.28\mu + 0.84\mu$$

$$61.6=2.12\mu$$

$$\mu = \frac{61.6}{2.12} = 29.06$$

Now, solve for σ :

$$\sigma = \frac{20 - 29.06}{-1.28} = \frac{-9.06}{-1.28} = 7.08$$

Test Your Understanding 3

Question 1

Part (a): One-tailed test ($H_1: \mu > 40$)

Given:

- $H_0: \mu=40$, $H_1: \mu>40$ (right-tailed test)
- n=20, $ar{x}=44$, $\sigma=1.5$
- Significance level: lpha=0.05

Step 1: Compute Z-score

$$Z = rac{44 - 40}{rac{1.5}{\sqrt{20}}}$$

$$Z=rac{4}{rac{1.5}{4.472}}$$

$$Z = \frac{4}{0.335} = 11.94$$

Step 2: Find critical value

For a one-tailed test at lpha=0.05:

$$Z_{0.05} = 1.645$$

Step 3: Decision

Since Z=11.94>1.645, we reject H_0 .

Conclusion: There is strong evidence to support that $\mu > 40$.

Part (b): One-tailed test ($H_1: \mu < 30$)

Step 1: Compute the Z-score

We use the Z-test formula:

$$Z=rac{ar{x}-\mu_0}{rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

Substitute the given values:

$$Z = rac{29.5 - 30}{rac{3}{\sqrt{30}}}$$

First, calculate the denominator:

$$\frac{3}{\sqrt{30}} = \frac{3}{5.477} = 0.5477$$

$$Z = \frac{-0.5}{0.5477} = -0.913$$

Step 2: Find the critical value

For a **left-tailed test at** lpha=0.05, we find the critical value Z_{lpha} from the Z-table:

$$Z_{\alpha=0.05} = -1.645$$

Step 3: Decision

Since Z=-0.913 is greater than -1.645, we fail to reject $H_{\mathrm{0}}.$

There is not enough evidence at the 5% level of sig ψ :ance to support the claim that $\mu < 30$.

Part (c): Two-tailed test ($H_1: \mu \neq 120$)

Step 1: Compute the Z-score

We use the Z-test formula for a population mean:

$$Z=rac{ar{x}-\mu_0}{rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

Substitute the given values:

$$Z = \frac{122.5 - 120}{\frac{10}{\sqrt{100}}}$$

First, calculate the denominator:

$$\frac{10}{\sqrt{100}} = \frac{10}{10} = 1$$

 $Z=\frac{2.5}{1}=2.5$

Now, calculate the Z-score:

$$\frac{10}{\sqrt{100}} = \frac{10}{10} = 1$$

. The test is two-tailed, so we compare the absolute value of the calculated Z-score with the critical values.

 $Z_{lpha/2}=\pm 2.576$

For a **two-tailed test at** lpha=0.01, the critical values correspond to $Z_{lpha/2}$ and are found from the Z-

ullet |Z|=2.5, which is less than 2.576.

Step 2: Find the critical value

For lpha=0.01, the critical Z-values are:

Conclusion:

Since $\left|Z\right|=2.5$ is less than the critical value of 2.576, we fail to reject H_{0} .

Final Answer: There is **not enough evidence** at the 1% level of significance to conclude that $\mu \neq 120$.

To determine the critical region(s) for the test statistic \bar{X} , we use the formula for the standardized test statistic in a hypothesis test:

$$Z=rac{ar{X}-\mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

where:

- $\bullet \quad \bar{X} \text{ is the sample mean,} \\$
- ullet μ_0 is the hypothesized population mean under H_0 ,
- ullet σ is the population standard deviation,
- ullet n is the sample size.

The critical region(s) depend on the significance level and whether the test is one-tailed or two-tailed.

(a) One-tailed test:
$$H_0: \mu=15$$
, $H_1: \mu>15$, $n=30$, $\sigma=2$, $\alpha=0.05$

For a one-tailed test (right-tailed) at a 5% significance level, we find the critical value Z_{α} from the standard normal table:

$$Z_{0.05} = 1.645$$

The critical value for $ar{X}$ is found by solving:

$$egin{aligned} ar{X} &= \mu_0 + Z_{lpha} \cdot rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \ ar{X} &= 15 + (1.645) \cdot rac{2}{\sqrt{30}} \ ar{X} &= 15 + (1.645) \cdot (0.3651) \ ar{X} &pprox 15.60 \end{aligned}$$

Critical region: $\bar{X}>15.60$

(b) One-tailed test:
$$H_0$$
 : $\mu=80$, H_1 : $\mu<80$, $n=40$, $\sigma=4$, $\alpha=0.10$

For a left-tailed test at a 10% significance level, we find the critical value Z_{lpha} :

$$Z_{0.10} = -1.28$$

The critical value for $ar{X}$ is:

$$ar{X} = \mu_0 + Z_\alpha \cdot rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 $ar{X} = 80 + (-1.28) \cdot rac{4}{\sqrt{40}}$
 $ar{X} = 80 - (1.28) \cdot (0.6325)$
 $ar{X} pprox 80 - 0.81 = 79.19$

Critical region: $\bar{X} < 79.19$

(c) Two-tailed test: $H_0: \mu=2.3$, $H_1: \mu
eq 2.3$, n=50, $\sigma=0.2$, lpha=0.01

For a two-tailed test at a 1% significance level, we split lpha into two tails:

$$lpha/2=0.005$$

From the standard normal table:

$$Z_{0.005} = 2.576$$

The critical values for $ar{X}$ are:

$$egin{aligned} ar{X} &= \mu_0 \pm Z_{lpha/2} \cdot rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \ ar{X} &= 2.3 \pm (2.576) \cdot rac{0.2}{\sqrt{50}} \ ar{X} &= 2.3 \pm (2.576) \cdot (0.0283) \ ar{X} &= 2.3 \pm 0.073 \end{aligned}$$

Critical region: $ar{X} < 2.227$ or $ar{X} > 2.373$

Given Information:

- Population mean: $\mu_0=80$
- Population standard deviation: $\sigma=10$
- Sample size: n=50
- Significance level: lpha=0.05
- One-tailed test (left-tailed), since we are testing if alcohol lowers the mean score.

(a) Finding the Critical Region

We are testing:

- Null Hypothesis: H_0 : $\mu=80$ (No effect of alcohol)
- Alternative Hypothesis: $H_1: \mu < 80$ (Alcohol lowers scores)

Since this is a **left-tailed test**, we find the critical value Z_{α} for $\alpha=0.05$:

$$Z_{0.05} = -1.645$$

The critical value for $ar{X}$ is:

$$egin{aligned} ar{X} &= \mu_0 + Z_{lpha} \cdot rac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \ ar{X} &= 80 + (-1.645) \cdot rac{10}{\sqrt{50}} \ ar{X} &= 80 - (1.645) \cdot (1.414) \ ar{X} &= 80 - 2.33 \ ar{X} &pprox 77.67 \end{aligned}$$

Critical region: $ar{X} < 77.67$

From part (a), the critical region for rejecting the null hypothesis is:

$$ar{X} < 77.67$$

In part (b), the observed sample mean is 77, which is less than 77.67 and falls within the critical region.

Since the sample mean is inside the critical region, we **reject the null hypothesis** at the 5% significance level. This suggests **strong evidence** that consuming 4 units of alcohol lowers cognitive test scores.

Step 1: Define Hypotheses

We need to determine if the machine is incorrectly calibrated, meaning we conduct a two-tailed test:

• Null Hypothesis (H_0): The machine is correctly calibrated.

$$H_0: \mu = 5$$

• Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): The machine is incorrectly calibrated.

$$H_1: \mu \neq 5$$

Step 2: Compute the Test Statistic

The test statistic for the sample mean is:

$$Z=rac{ar{X}-\mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

Where:

- $oldsymbol{ar{X}}=5.1$ (sample mean),
- $\mu_0=5$ (hypothesized population mean),
- $\sigma = 0.5$ (population standard deviation),
- n=30 (sample size).

Substituting the values:

$$Z = rac{5.1 - 5}{0.5 / \sqrt{30}}$$

$$Z = rac{0.1}{0.0913}$$

$$Z \approx 1.096$$

Step 3: Determine the Critical Region

Since this is a two-tailed test at the 5% significance level, we find the critical value for lpha/2=0.025:

$$Z_{0.025} = 1.96$$

The rejection regions are:

$$Z<-1.96$$
 or $Z>1.96$

Step 4: Conclusion

The calculated test statistic is Z pprox 1.096, which does not exceed the critical value 1.96.

Since Z is within the acceptance region (-1.96 < 1.096 < 1.96), we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Step 5: Interpretation

At the 5% significance level, there is no sufficient evidence to conclude that the machine is incorrectly calibrated. The sample mean of 5.1 cm is within the expected variation, meaning the machine is likely producing screws with the correct length.

(a) Probability that a randomly selected bag weighs less than 2 kg

We assume that the weight of porridge bags follows a normal distribution:

- $\bullet \quad \text{Mean:} \, \mu = 2025 \, \text{g}$
- Standard deviation: $\sigma=30~{
 m g}$
- Threshold: 2 kg = **2000** g

We need to find:

Using the Z-score formula:

$$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$

$$Z = \frac{2000 - 2025}{30} = \frac{-25}{30} = -0.8333$$

Using the **Z-table**, the probability corresponding to Z=-0.83 is:

$$P(Z < -0.83) \approx 0.2033$$

Thus, the probability that a randomly selected bag weighs less than 2 kg is 0.2033 (or 20.33%).

(b) Trading Standards Investigation

A sample of 36 bags is taken, and the sample mean is 2010 g.

(i) Hypothesis Test at 5% Significance Level

We are testing whether the manufacturer's claim that $\mu=2025$ g is correct.

- Given:
 - $\mu_0 = 2025 \,\mathrm{g}$
 - $\sigma = 30 \,\mathrm{g}$
 - n = 36
 - $\bar{X} = 2010 \, \mathrm{g}$
 - $\alpha = 0.05$
- Hypotheses:
 - Null Hypothesis (H₀): The manufacturer's claim is correct.

$$H_0: \mu = 2025$$

 Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): The average weight is less than 2025 g (suggesting underfilled bags).

$$H_1: \mu < 2025$$

· This is a left-tailed test.

Step 1: Compute the Test Statistic

The test statistic is:

$$Z = rac{ar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

$$Z = rac{2010 - 2025}{30/\sqrt{36}}$$

$$Z = rac{-15}{5} = -3.00$$

Step 2: Find the Critical Value

For a 5% left-tailed test, the critical value from the Z-table is:

$$Z_{0.05} = -1.645$$

Step 3: Conclusion

Since Z=-3.00 is less than $Z_{0.05}=-1.645$, we reject H_0 .

Interpretation:

At the 5% significance level, there is strong evidence that the average weight of the porridge bags is less than the claimed 2025 g. This supports the complaints that the manufacturer is overstating the weight.



(ii) Finding the Correct Value of σ

We now assume that the manufacturer's claim about $\mu=2025$ is correct, but the sample standard deviation σ might be different from 30 g. Our goal is to find the correct value of σ that explains the sample mean of 2010 g.

Step 1: Use the Z-score Formula

From the hypothesis test, we used the formula:

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

Rearranging for σ :

$$\sigma = rac{(ar{X} - \mu) imes \sqrt{n}}{Z}$$

Step 2: Identify Given Values

- Sample mean: $ar{X}=2010$
- Population mean (assumed correct): $\mu=2025$
- Sample size: n=36
- Significance level: 5%
- Critical value for a one-tailed test at 5%: $Z_{0.05} = -1.645$ (since we initially rejected H_0 , we should use a threshold that makes the sample just barely fall within an acceptable range)

Step 3: Compute the Correct σ

$$\sigma = rac{(2010 - 2025) imes \sqrt{36}}{-1.645}$$

$$\sigma = rac{(-15) imes 6}{-1.645}$$

$$\sigma = rac{-90}{-1.645}$$

$$\sigma \approx 54.7$$

Conclusion

If the mean truly is 2025 g, then the correct standard deviation must be about 54.7 g instead of 30 g. This higher variability would explain why the sample mean was 2010 g while still being consistent with the assumed population mean.

Thus, the manufacturer's claim about the mean ($\mu=2025$) can only be correct if the actual standard deviation is significantly higher than initially claimed.