

Transitioning a Biotech Startup to a Structured, Agile New Product Introduction (NPI) Framework

Author: Alberta C Kapoor, M.S., PMP

Background

A biotech startup was founded to commercialize an automated cell-free protein synthesis platform based on patented technology licensed from a university. The founding team consisted of scientists and engineers with strong expertise in biotechnology and automated benchtop instrumentation, supported by a small marketing function.

The company's goal was ambitious: to develop and commercialize a market-ready product within two years and they were backed by a venture capital firm. Achieving this required a clear, scalable New Product Introduction (NPI) framework that balanced speed, flexibility, risk management, and regulatory readiness.

Accura Bio Solutions was engaged to design and support a structured yet agile NPI framework, enabling the team to progress from academic innovation to a commercial product rapidly while managing uncertainty and change.

While traditional NPI models rely on rigid stage-gates, Accura Bio Solutions recommended a hybrid NPI framework which modern R&D companies are adopting that combines structured milestones with agile, iterative development. This approach emphasizes:

- Early definition of a Minimal Viable Product (MVP)
- Continuous customer and Key Opinion Leader (KOL) engagement
- Iterative risk assessment and mitigation
- Cross-functional alignment from discovery through launch

Phase 1: Idea Generation & Discovery

Objectives

- Define compelling product concepts based on real market needs
- Assess market readiness and initial commercial viability of the product
- Establish a high-level product roadmap, timeline, and risks

Activities

The team began with collaborative ideation sessions using tools such as Miro, MindNode, and Trello to explore product concepts, visualize workflows, and map user journeys. Techniques included brainstorming, mind mapping, and structured innovation methods (e.g., TRIZ).

Marketing and business leads conducted early customer discovery, interviewing researchers in biotech and pharma organizations to understand existing protein synthesis and screening workflows, unmet needs, and pain points. Initial market sizing and customer personas were developed.



Outcome: Product Concept Definition

The ideation process resulted in a clear product vision:

A compact, automated benchtop instrument capable of multiplexed, low-volume cell-free protein synthesis, enabling faster screening with significantly reduced reagent consumption.

Key product features included:

1. Small benchtop footprint
2. Cartridge-based microfluidics for automated screening
3. Rapid turnaround time (days vs. weeks)
4. Compatibility with standard microtiter plates
5. Proprietary reagents for DNA prep, synthesis, and screening
6. Custom reagent kits and labware
7. Intuitive touchscreen interface
8. Cloud connectivity and integrated software platform

At this stage, the team developed high-level requirements documentation and an initial risk assessment, assigning risk owners for key technical, market, and execution risks.

Key Challenges

- Dealing with unknowns and ambiguity regarding the market, product, and process
- Many assumptions and limited supporting data
- Overly optimistic timelines and projections
- Feasibility risks associated with innovative concepts



Phase 2: Product Definition, Proof of Concept & Feasibility

Objectives

- Validate scientific and engineering feasibility
- Define the MVP and regulatory pathway
- Confirm market desirability and target segments

Activities

A lean, cross-functional team including a business lead, project manager, quality lead, R&D, and manufacturing lead refined the product concept and defined the Minimal Viable Product (MVP).

The team deepened market analysis through segmentation (industry, application, company size) and competitive landscape assessments. Early partnerships with KOLs and pilot customers were established to guide usability, workflow alignment, and feature prioritization.

Engineering teams built early prototypes to test critical subsystems (fluidics, optics, sensors, robotics), while scientists validated biological workflows and identified risks such as assay variability and thermal stability.

Risk management matured with the creation of a risk register and FMEA, and regulatory considerations were incorporated early to avoid downstream gaps.

Key Decisions

- Initial target market: biotech and pharma companies and research institutions focused on protein expression and screening
- Initial launch regions: United States and Europe
- Defined user needs, functional requirements, and performance specifications across hardware, software, and consumables

Key Challenges

- Balancing team enthusiasm with objective feasibility assessments
- Proving realistic timelines and revenue expectations
- Ensuring early regulatory alignment

Phase 3: Design & Development

Objectives

- Translate the product concept into a system that can be manufactured
- Scale cross-functional collaboration
- Prepare for verification and commercialization

Activities

The development team expanded to include mechanical, electrical, firmware, software, systems engineering, quality, manufacturing, service, and commercial stakeholders.

Key activities included:

- CAD development with design-for-manufacturability and serviceability
- System integration (fluidics, optics, software, consumables)

- Alpha engineering builds and component integration testing
- Continued assay optimization and validation
- Supply chain sourcing and early vendor engagement

Documentation for the Design History File (DHF) was created and maintained. Marketing initiated go-to-market planning, including launch infrastructure, sales enablement, and support strategy.

Development followed an iterative build–test–learn loop, incorporating continuous feedback from KOLs and early adopters.

Key Challenges

- Managing increasing complexity and stakeholder alignment
- Accommodating design changes while maintaining timelines
- Proactively mitigating emerging technical and execution risks

Phase 4: Verification & Validation

Objectives

- Confirm the product meets specifications and user needs
- Finalize regulatory and launch readiness

Activities

Verification testing ensured the system met engineering requirements through bench, stress, and environmental testing. Validation included beta testing at customer sites and usability (human factors) studies.

Regulatory documentation, verification and validation reports, and final user documentation were completed.

In parallel, marketing and scientists developed launch materials including application notes, collaborative studies, customer testimonials, and sales enablement tools. Sales forecasts and pricing strategies were finalized by marketing and sales.

Key Challenges

- Coordinating beta site timelines
- Managing late design or supplier changes
- Addressing any overlooked regulatory gaps

Phase 5: Manufacturing Transfer & Scale-Up

Objectives

- Enable repeatable, compliant manufacturing
- Prepare service, support, and sales infrastructure

Activities

Manufacturing assumed ownership of production scale-up, supply chain qualification, QC/QA processes, packaging, labeling, and certifications. Service manuals, SOPs, and training materials were finalized.



Marketing and sales teams completed launch preparations, including training, digital campaigns, and customer-facing documentation.

Key Challenges

- Limited flexibility for late changes
- Supply chain disruptions
- Maintaining regulatory submission timelines

Phase 6: Launch & Post-Market Support

Objectives

- Execute a coordinated product launch
- Establish post-market feedback and improvement cycles

Activities

The product was launched at a major industry conference, supported by comprehensive sales enablement and field service deployment. As adoption grew, customer feedback informed software updates, hardware refinements, and next-generation roadmap planning.

Post-market surveillance and regulatory maintenance continued, while development resources gradually transitioned to future products.

Key Challenges

- Ensuring tight cross-functional coordination during launch
- Anticipating competitive responses
- Maintaining momentum for innovative, first-in-class products

Value Delivered by Accura Bio Solutions

By implementing a structured yet agile NPI framework, Accura Bio Solutions enabled the startup to:

- Reduce risk while maintaining development velocity
- Align scientific innovation with market and regulatory realities
- Deliver a commercially viable MVP within an aggressive timeline
- Establish scalable processes for future product generations

Category	KPI	Outcome
Program Execution	Time to MVP	~26–28 months (vs. ~36 months baseline)
	Time to Initial Commercial Launch	~28–30 months
	Development Rework	~10–15% reduction
Customer & Market Validation	Customer Discovery Interviews	15–20 conducted
	KOL Engagement	3–5 advisors engaged
	MVP Scope Control	~50–60% of original feature set
Risk & Quality Management	Key Risks Identified Early	20–25 risks
	High-Risk Items Mitigated Pre-Development	Majority addressed before full build
	Verification First-Pass Success	>90%
Engineering & Manufacturing	Engineering Builds	1–2 alpha builds, 1 beta build
	Part Count Reduction (DFM)	~15–20%
	Initial Manufacturing Yield	~85–90%

Table 1 Key performance indicators from adopting a agile/hybrid product management approach.