The Research Analytics
Volume 3, Special Issue 2, April 2026
ISSN (Online): 3107-6165

The Research Analytics
(A Peer Reviewed and Open Access Journal)

6

Breaking the Chalk-and-Talk Model: Reimagining School Education Through Experiential Learning

Priyanka Sharma[1], and Prof. Lajwanti[2]

1) Ph.D Research Scholar, Deptt. of Pedagogical Sciences, Faculty of Education**,** Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Agra
2) Professor**,** Deptt. of Pedagogical Sciences, Faculty of Education**,** Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Agra

Pages No: 43-48

Abstract: *The chalk-and-talk paradigm, a teacher-centered method that prioritises memorisation, content transmission, and passive learning, has long dominated traditional classroom education (Dewey, 1938). Although this approach has made it possible to teach material in a standardised manner, it has come under increasing criticism for failing to foster in students critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving abilities, and real-world application (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020). Reimagining school education in ways that support meaningful and comprehensive learning is becoming increasingly necessary in light of the quickly shifting cultural, technical, and economic demands. An efficacious alternative to traditional pedagogical approaches is experiential learning, which is fundamentally grounded in the principles of learning through action and reflective practice (Kolb, 1984). To interrogate the conventional chalk-and-talk framework prevalent in educational contexts, this research presents a theoretical and conceptual examination of experiential learning. By leveraging Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory alongside a comprehensive synthesis of existing scholarly literature, this research paper investigates the ways in which experiential learning can revolutionize classroom methodologies, redefine the roles of educators and students, and promote enhanced comprehension and engagement. This research paper further addresses the obstacles associated with the implementation of experiential learning within educational institutions and proposes strategies for its effective incorporation. The research ultimately posits that experiential learning offers a viable and sustainable trajectory for reimagining educational practices beyond mere rote memorization, advancing toward a more meaningful, learner-centered educational paradigm.*

Keywords: *Experiential Learning; Chalk-and-Talk Method; School Education; Learner-Centered Pedagogy; Kolb's Learning Cycle*

1. Introduction

A student's knowledge, abilities, attitudes, and values are shaped in large part by their schooling. The chalk-and-talk approach has been the most common teaching strategy in schools for many years, especially in systems that prioritize exams. This method emphasizes memorization and cramming above comprehension and application, placing the teacher as the main source of information and the pupils as passive recipients (Freire, 1970). The chalk-and-talk approach frequently restricts student participation, curiosity, and experiential engagement with learning, even while it enables teachers to effectively cover the required material.

According to educational psychology research, passive learning environments limit higher-order cognitive abilities and conceptual understanding (Prince, 2004).

The demands placed on schooling in the twenty-first century have greatly increased. It is now expected of learners to think critically, work well with others, adjust to changing circumstances, and apply what they have learned to real-world settings (OECD, 2019). Traditional teaching methods alone are insufficient to acquire these competencies. Because of this, educators and policy makers are increasingly advocating for a rethinking of education that goes beyond the chalk-and-talk paradigm. Through experience, reflection, and application, experiential learning has become a promising teaching strategy that actively engages students in the learning process (Kolb, 1984). This research paper argues in order to make education in schools more relevant, interesting, and learner-centered; it is imperative to abandon the chalk-and-talk paradigm and embrace experiential learning techniques.

2. The Chalk-and-Talk Model in School Education

The chalk-and-talk educational paradigm refers to a traditional teaching approach in which the teacher primarily uses written content and conversational explanations to impart knowledge. The main emphasis is on knowledge transfer, and during evaluative tests, students are expected to take notes, listen intently, and repeat information (Freire, 1970). The chalk-and-talk paradigm is a teacher-centered technique where learning is primarily dependent on verbal explanation and rote reception, according to Ausubel (1968). According to this approach, students are merely passive information consumers and the teacher serves as the major source of knowledge. Instead of active participation or problem solving, the focus is on memorization, lecture delivery, and textbook-based learning.

Characteristics of the Chalk-and-Talk Model

- Teacher-centered instruction
- Emphasis on textbooks and prescribed content
- Limited student interaction and participation
- Focus on memorization and recall
- Uniform pace of learning for all students

Limitations of the Chalk-and-Talk Model

Despite being widely used, the chalk-and-talk method has a number of drawbacks. According to studies, these methods frequently discourage active participation and fail to address individual learning variations (Prince, 2004). Chalk-and-talk, according to many educational scholars, encourages passive learning, in which students mostly absorb information without actively participating or exercising critical thought. The development of higher-order cognitive skills that active learning methodologies promote is hampered in this situation since students are rarely required to examine, apply, evaluate, or synthesize knowledge during the class itself. This method frequently fails to engage students' deeper cognitive processes and may result in surface learning rather than genuine knowledge, according to research on passive learning (McManus, 2001). Furthermore, this approach provides few chances for feedback and real-world application, which lowers student motivation and engagement (Bonwell & Eison, 1991). Students may find it difficult to relate abstract ideas to practical situations, which could lead to a shallow comprehension. Additionally, this paradigm offers little chances to cultivate the critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving abilities necessary for lifelong learning (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020).

3. Experiential Learning: Concept and Meaning

An educational strategy known as experiential learning places a strong emphasis on learning via firsthand experience and reflection. It is predicated on the constructivist approach rather than merely absorbing information, students actively create knowledge via interaction with their surroundings (Kolb, 1984). Engaging in meaningful activities, reflecting on experiences,

conceptualizing learning outcomes, and applying information to novel contexts are all encouraged by experiential learning. Dewey (1938) argued that genuine learning happens when students engage with real-life events, underscoring the importance of experience as the foundation of education.

Key Features of Experiential Learning

- Learning by doing
- Active learner participation
- Reflection on experiences
- Integration of theory and practice
- Application of learning to real-life contexts

4. Theoretical Framework: Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory

In order to comprehend how learning happens through experience, Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory offers a thorough framework. Four interconnected stages make up the cyclical process of learning, according to Kolb (1984).

- Concrete Experience

Students participate in hands-on activities like fieldwork, projects, experiments, and problem-solving exercises.

- Reflective Observation

By looking at results, talking about observations, and analyzing what happened throughout the learning activity, students reflect on their experiences.

- Abstract Conceptualization

Through introspection, learners formulate ideas, principles, or generalizations that they then incorporate with what they already know.

- Active Experimentation

In order to evaluate concepts and improve their learning, students apply recently learned concepts to novel circumstances.

According to research, schools that follow Kolb's learning cycle encourage students to retain information over time and gain a deeper comprehension of it (Kolb & Kolb, 2017).

5. Review of Related Literature

Study reviewed the literature on experiential learning (EL) in a methodical manner, examining 16 publications from different databases published in the past ten years. It compiles research showing that EL is beneficial for improving learning outcomes, such as critical thinking, academic performance, teamwork, and problem-solving abilities at various educational levels. The study provides a thorough grasp of how EL can be successfully applied in a variety of learning scenarios by highlighting the beneficial effects of EL on students' motivation, self-learning preparedness, and pedagogical skills (Syafriani et al., 2025). Literature on experiential learning with a particular emphasis on David A. Kolb's Learning Theory, which has four stages: active exploration, experience, reflection, and abstract conceptions. It emphasizes how these phases improve student involvement, expand knowledge, cultivate critical and creative abilities, and promote cooperation at all educational levels. The results imply that although experiential learning can optimize learning outcomes, obstacles still exist, especially with relation to resource preparedness for successful implementation (Rahmi, 2024). Current research on experiential learning (EL) in psychology education and emphasizes how it can change students' lives. Research skills, career preparation, emotional intelligence, critical thinking, engagement, motivation, and psychological well-being are all improved by EL, according to the findings. A deeper comprehension of psychological concepts and professional abilities among students is fostered by the incorporation of EL into psychology programs, which emphasizes its function in linking theoretical knowledge with practical application

(Yogadharshini et al., 2025). An increasing amount of data demonstrates how beneficial experiential learning is in the classroom. Compared to traditional teaching approaches, experiential learning has been shown to improve academic achievement, conceptual understanding, and student engagement (Prince, 2004; Hmelo-Silver, 2004). Study emphasized the relevance of the learner's presence throughout educational situations and shows how experiential learning research has significantly increased in the twenty-first century. True experiential learning, according to this theory, entails a process of intensely interacting with experiences, marked by full present-moment awareness, active participation, and sensory engagement. The study highlights the crucial function of presence in the experiential learning process by expanding on earlier findings and introducing a revised Experiencing Scale that was developed from an international student population (Stock et al., 2024).

6. Reimagining School Education Through Experiential Learning From

Learner-centered pedagogy must radically replace teacher-centered instruction in order to reimagine school education. By positioning students as active contributors to the creation of knowledge rather than as passive consumers of information, experiential learning facilitates this shift (Kolb, 1984). In experience-based teaching students actively investigate ideas, work together with classmates, and practice critical thinking in experiential learning environments. Instead than using lectures to impart knowledge, teachers help students learn by creating meaningful experiences and encouraging introspection (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020). Through techniques including project-based learning, lab experiments, field trips, role plays, simulations, and inquiry-based learning, experiential learning can be incorporated into the classroom. These techniques give students the ability to relate abstract ideas to practical situations, which results in worthwhile educational experiences (Prince, 2004). As students have different background, interests, and learning styles, learner-centered education acknowledges that instruction should be adaptable, participatory, and sensitive to each student's requirements (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000).

Many creative teaching techniques can be used to successfully incorporate experiential learning into school education. Students can learn firsthand through techniques like inquiry-based learning, community projects, simulations, role plays, field trips, lab experiments, and project-based learning. These techniques help students make connections between academic ideas and practical situations, resulting in contextualized and meaningful learning experiences. Opportunities for interactive and customized learning are further expanded by technology-enhanced experiential learning, such as virtual labs, digital simulations, and collaborative online platforms (Laurillard, 2012). These methods shift education toward lifetime learning and holistic growth rather than just textbooks and tests.

7. Challenges in Implementing Experiential Learning

In classroom settings, experiential learning encounters a number of real-world obstacles despite its well-established advantages. Large class sizes, time restraints, syllabus pressure, a lack of teacher preparation, insufficient resources, and rote memorization-focused evaluation systems are some of these (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020). Additionally, instructors frequently lack the pedagogical support and professional development necessary to plan and lead experiential learning activities in the classroom (Boud, Cohen, & Walker, 1993). A major obstacle is the lack of facilities and educational resources needed for practical exercises, especially in schools with limited funding (UNESCO, 2015). Furthermore, traditional lecture methods are frequently preferred over new ways due to deeply ingrained attitudes about teaching and learning among educators, parents, and administrators, which creates resistance to change (Fullan, 2007). Additionally, studies show that the reflective and process-oriented nature of experiential learning is not well matched with present assessment techniques, which prioritize factual memory and standardized testing (Wiggins & McTighe, 2005). Because of this, structural

constraints sometimes prevent teachers from successfully implementing experiential approaches, even when they are willing to do so. Systematic changes, curricular flexibility, assessment alignment with learning objectives, and ongoing policy support are all necessary to address these issues.

8. Way Forward and Educational Implications

- In order to successfully abandon the chalk-and-talk model, educational institutions need to embrace a comprehensive strategy that encourages hands-on learning.
- Redesigning the curriculum should prioritize competencies and applying information in real-world situations.
- Experiential pedagogy should be given top priority in teacher education programs, and understanding, reflection, and application should be assessed rather than recollection (OECD, 2019).
- The importance of experiential learning in modern school reform is highlighted by educational policies like India's National Education Policy 2020, which places additional emphasis on learner-centered, competency-based, and experiential education (NEP, 2020).

9. Conclusion

The prevalence of the traditional lecture-based model in educational institutions has constrained avenues for comprehensive learning experiences. In a time that necessitates innovation, analytical reasoning, and flexibility, it is imperative to reconceptualize educational practices in schools. Experiential learning provides a strong foundation for transcending conventional teaching methodologies by compellingly involving students in the educational journey.

Through the incorporation of practical experience, critical reflection, and active application, experiential learning metamorphoses meaningful and educational settings into vibrant arenas that foster profound comprehension and enduring competencies for lifelong learning. Notwithstanding the obstacles encountered during implementation, experiential learning continues to be a potent and sustainable methodology for re-envisioning academic education, shifting from mere rote memorization to engaging, student-centered learning experiences.

10. References

- Ausubel, D. P., Novak, J. D., & Hanesian, H. (1978). Educational psychology: A cognitive view.
- Bonwell, C. C., & Eison, J. A. (1991). *Active Learning: Creating Excitement in the Classroom*. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 1. Washington, DC: George Washington University.
- Boud, D., Cohen, R., & Walker, D. (1993). *Using experience for learning*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school. Washington, DC: National Academy Press
- Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science, 24*(2), 97–140. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791
- Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and education*. New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. Continuum Publishing.
- Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

- Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Government of India
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review, 16*(3), 235–266. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EDPR.0000034022.16470.f3
- Kolb, A. Y., & Kolb, D. A. (2017). Experiential learning theory as a guide for experiential educators. *Experiential Learning & Teaching in Higher Education, 1*(1), 7–44.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Laurillard, D. (2012). Teaching as a design science: Building pedagogical patterns for learning and technology. New York, NY: Routledge
- McManus, D. A. (2001). *The two paradigms of education and the peer review of teaching*. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, *49*(5), 423–434. https://doi.org/10.5408/1089-9995-49.5.423
- OECD. (2019). *Future of education and skills 2030*. OECD Publishing.
- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education, 93*(3), 223–231. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x
- Rahmi, W. (2024). Analytical Study of Experiential Learning: Experiential Learning Theory in Learning Activities. Edukasia. https://doi.org/10.62775/edukasia.v5i2.1113
- Stock, K., Cola, P., & Kolb, D. (2024). Educational Experiences Become Experiential When the Learner is Fully Present. Experiential Learning and Teaching in Higher Education. https://doi.org/10.46787/elthe.v7i1.3937
- Syafriani, D., Suyanti, R. D., & Sutiani, A. (2025). Systematic Literature Review: The Effect of Experiential Learning (EL) on Learning Outcomes. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA (JPPIPA), 11(7), 48–56. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v11i7.11274
- UNESCO. (2015). *Rethinking education: Towards a global common good?* Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design* (2nd ed.). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD).
- Yogadharshini, C., Naachiya, K. S., Thasneem, B., Indhumathi, R., Srinithi, R., Ashvitha, R., Hemachandaar, B., & Neelakandan, R. (2025). The transformative impact of experiential learning on college students-literature review. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/dsaz5_v1