

My name is William Edward Piers I was born the 31, October 1974.

I was not guilty. The Constitution, Court rules and Laws have not been equally applied to me.

The following are on record facts. United States District Court, District of Alaska, A00-0104-01-CR (HRH).

I was detained June 27th 2000 and, subjected to a false 'Trial' in 2001 with Judge H. Russell Holland, Prosecutor Stephen A. Collins (he never even spoke to me) and (Judge forced hateful council) Rex L. Butler.

NOTE: I was not allowed to choose or hire council, testify or defend myself in any way.

NOTE: I presented a letter to the Judge which stated :...("Who my council will be has been mandated by the court, I have not received adequate legal council...held in fear of my life for over 7 months without bail...evidence has been lost...have not been allowed to collect evidence or subpoena anyone ... I have been implicated in this crime to witnesses...to the public through media sources... Hubbard III has taken a deal to do so... evidence has been fabricated and falsified...majority of my life in roles of service...family, friends and community will attest to my character...no way I can receive a fair trial"...).

NOTE: The judge Holland took my letter of objection, sealed it, never allowed me to read it or to speak to him or the Jury or testify and forcibly appointed a private attorney Rex L. Butler as my 'trial council' (without holding any hearing), nor allowing me to have a public defender, hire an attorney or represent myself. (The jury was not aware of this).

TRIAL:

T1. I was not allowed to prepare for a 'trial', secure a defense attorney, testify, call witness(s), voir-dire the jury, collect or present evidence or defend myself in any way, nor have bail even though I had no criminal history. (The Jury was not aware of this).

T2. The forced 'trial council' made no objections to anything, allowed the Prosecutor to assign my name to 'evidence' (which was not mine nor proven who it belonged to), did no investigations (yet wrongly took monies from my family to do so), acted as a second Prosecutor and did not defend me in any way. (The Jury was not aware of this).

T3. During 'trial' the Police Officer Reeder who was shot at (while chasing the criminals) to me positively identified the person who shot at him as Raymond L. Hubbard III, my forced 'trial council' then asked Officer Reeder "could he be 100 percent sure of who he saw"... Officer Reeder answered "no"... (So they put the 30 year 924(c) use of a 'machinegun' 'crime of violence' charge on me).

NOTE: Hubbard III went 'on the run' for an hour, was wearing a disguise, lied about who he was when arrested and had a history of robbery with his sister, but their aunt was a Police Officer). (The Jury was not allowed to hear any of this).

T4. The Government gave Hubbard a 'deal' after which accepting he changed his story to blame everything on me and was used by the Government as the lone 'witness' against me. (The Jury was not aware of this).

T5. The two Credit Union tellers who were robbed identified the person who robbed them as ..."having almond eyes"... and ..."knowing which keys went to which drawers"... and moving as an experienced teller"... . Donald D. Franklin Jr. used to work at the Credit Union as a teller, was Asian, and his location is unaccounted for. (The Jury was not aware of this).

NOTE: (Franklin Jr's trial was held in absentia while the Government had me sitting there).

T6. The Police chased the robbers from the Credit Union during which time one of them fired a rifle at them (the prosecutor claimed 'machinegun') and then to me / my truck.

NOTE: I picked Hubbard III up that morning so we could go mountain biking but he had me drive him to meet Adam first so they could go 'run an errand', I went to Wal-Mart and then returned a half hour later, shortly thereafter the police chased them in a van to me / my truck. (The Jury was not allowed to hear any of this).

'TRIAL' OUTCOME: I was found guilty of a 'crime of violence' and sentenced to 39 years, Hubbard III and Franklin Jr. given less than 10 years, Hubbard's sister and Adam were never arrested.

2005 Evidentiary Hearing: Magistrate John D. Roberts and Prosecutor S. Collins, (with after 'false trial', allowed to be hired) Council Levine & McHenry (from Oregon) in Anchorage, Alaska.

NOTE: I did not know I could testify / speak at the Hearing and no one told me I could, but many facts were revealed. There were many Brady violations, forced trial council wrongs and a failure of the F.B.I. to investigate.

EVIDENTIARY HEARING:

E1. A legal/ Constitutional Expert Attorney Richard Ney from Kansas flew up to speak to the Anchorage, Alaska District Court Magistrate Roberts on my behalf about the wrongs done to me and the rules and llaws not followed in my 'trial', Magistrate Roberts told him ..."I don't need you to tell me what the law is"... and never let him speak.

The following is Testimony of F.B.I. Agent L. Henderson :

E2. Hubbard III debriefed to the Police and F.B.I. (the crime information included Adam and Franklin Jr.) then he took a deal and changed his story to blame all on me.

E3. She thought Hubbard III was making things up and he did lie about his name when arrested.

E4. There was video of Hubbard III at the Bank and none of me.

E5. No Police Officer or any other person ever identified me nor saw me commit any crime or use any weapon.

E6. Though she had information about Adam she did no investigations in his regard and instead .."settled' on Franklin Jr."....

E7. Hubbard III's sister Megan's prints were found on the plans to rob-the Credit Union which were in his back pack at the time of arrest.

The following is testimony of forced 'trial' council Rex L. Butler :

E8. I told him to quit my case long before 'trial'.

E9. He was appointed against my will by the 'trial' Court Judge H. Russell Holland.

E10. He was given monies to do investigations by my family.

E11. He did no investigations.

E12. He said ..."he couldn't even really remember what the case was about"....

The following is Testimony of (finally allowed to be hired).Attorney Matthew McHenry :

E13. ..."According to Butlers own records he did no investigations"....

The following is testimony of my Mother Mary Piers Hutchison :

E14. She Paid Butler a retainer (against my will) and monies for investigations.

E15. She gave Butler a list of people who would testify and speak of my good judgement and character.

E16. She fired Butler as early as November of 2000.

EVIDENTIARY HEARING OUTCOME: The Magistrate John D. Roberts filed his negative opinion against me before our written summations were turned in, then refused to recuse himself (claiming he could remain impartial) and, within the year re-issued the exact same negative opinion, without addressing the issues.

2009 Oral Argument: Before 3 Judges, (2 from the 9th Circuit 1 from the 5th Circuit), Judges Tallman, Reavely and Smith, 'trial' Prosecutor S. Collins, and my (allowed to be hired after 'trial') council Levine and McHenry... (Only new young attorney McHenry spoke, poorly and did not correct the prosecutors perjury.

NOTE: I was not allowed to be present, speak or defend myself, yet again.

NOTE: This Oral Argument Dialogue is primarily listed because it is one of the most harmful and wrongful acts of Perjury and omissions by the Prosecutor Collins using false information and, causes me to continue to be Imprisoned for the 'use of a machinegun', a charge which carries a 30 year minimum mandatory sentence consecutive to all and a 'crime of violence'.

ORAL ARGUMENT EXCERPTS OF TRANSCRIPT: (some of the serious and harmful misunderstandings of the Judges):

O1. Initially Judge Tallman immediately talked and laughed about a letter they received from the District 'trial' Judge H. R. Holland saying the evidence against me was overwhelming.

O2. Judge Reavely asks: "Am I wrong in thinking that the defendant Piers was identified as having the rifle in his possession when he left the final car ?", (I was only in the 'final' truck which was not involved).

Prosecutor S. Collins Perjures; "You are not wrong, and da uh the events...in 2002...",

NOTE: (The prosecutor then goes on with lengthy talk), (Not even the right date, it was in 2000).

Judge Reavely asks Prosecutor Collins: ..."Get to my Question", (laughing).

NOTE: (The Prosecutor Collins goes on for another lengthy talk of 'evidence' untrue and uncontested).

Judge Tallman says: "so bottom line, with respect to the gun...there were numerous other witnesses that placed the gun in his hand, his possession, uh and other ways for the Jury to have reached the same conclusion".

Prosecutor S. Collins perjures: "Absolutely"... (and goes on to claim that the motion for my forced 'trial' council Butler to withdraw was dealt with on my first appeal and...the 'trial' Judge Holland found there was no. conflict"... goes on saying the District court reviewed every issue and ..."concluded that the evidence was so over-whelming Piers did not establish ineffective assistance of council"...).

O3. The judges constantly and as opponents interrupted my council Mr. McHenry and were not looking

to correct the wrongs that were done to me but were treating him as if I was guilty.

NOTE: The Oral Argument Judges are unaware 'trial' Judge Holland sealed my Pre-'trial' letter objecting to my forced council and lack of investigations and never let me talk or the Jury know of it.

NOTE: Because of Prosecutor Collins perjury and, the fact the Judges did not understand all of the 'evidence' from 'trial' was submitted against me without any objections or defense and, that I was not allowed to have a true attorney for 'trial' or present or refute evidence or talk or testify and, that the robbers were chased from the bank to my truck after the shooting and robbery occurred, (obviously it was impossible for me to have had the rifle when it was fired, a 30 year sentence) and, out of the four other people involved in the crime only 2 have been arrested to this day and they received less than 10 years each, while I was given 39 years total, the Oral Argument Judges went along with every untrue thing the 'trial' Prosecutor Collins said.

NOTE: The Oral Argument Judges were clearly unfamiliar with the case confusing the weapons, vehicles individuals, locations and witnesses involved, the Judges did not know I had a legal concealed weapons permit, did not understand that the lone 'witness' against me was one of the robbers Hubbard III (who took a deal and changed his story to blame everything on me), the fact I had forced 'trial' council Butler and, that the Prosecutor Collins was able to use my name and place 'evidence' upon me without it being proven true or ever contested by me or any one and, that I was not allowed a defense and no real trial.

ORAL ARGUMENT OUTCOME: The Judges being ignorant of the facts, I was denied any Justice.