INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT PROBLEM
A REVIEW

Oliver F. Piattella

Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória, Brazil

http://ofp.cosmo-ufes.org/

September 3, 2020

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

OUTLINE

SOME REVIEWS

- *S. Weinberg, The cosmological constant problem, Reviews of Modern Physics, Vol. 61, No. 1, January 1989;*
- *S. Carroll, The cosmological constant, Living Rev. Relativity, 4, (2001), 1;*
- *J. Polchinski, The Cosmological Constant and the String Landscape, hep-th/0603249;*
- *J. Martin, Everything you always wanted to know about the cosmological constant problem (but were afraid to ask), C. R. Physique 13 (2012) 566665;*
- *C. P. Burgess, The Cosmological Constant Problem: Why it's hard to get Dark Energy from Micro-physics, arXiv:1309.4133 [hep-th];*
- *A. Padilla, Lectures on the Cosmological Constant Problem, arXiv:1502.05296v1 [hep-th].*

INTRODUCTION
○●○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

GENERAL RELATIVITY

Einstein-Hilbert action plus a cosmological constant term:

$$S = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G_N} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}\,(R - 2\Lambda) + S_{\mathrm{matter}}\,[g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi] \; . \qquad (1)$$

Field equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4}\,T_{\mu\nu} \; . \qquad (2)$$

Λ was introduced by Einstein in order to model a static universe (*A. Einstein, Sitzungs. König. Preuss. Akad. (1917) 142-152*). It has been rejected afterwards being the expansion of the universe observationally established. It revives today as the most successful model for the accelerated expansion of the universe.

INTRODUCTION
○○●○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

General Relativity and the cosmological constant from a mathematical viewpoint

Lovelock's theorems:

- ▶ *D. Lovelock, The Einstein Tensor and Its Generalizations, Journal of Mathematical Physics (1971) 12 (3) 498501*;
- ▶ *D. Lovelock, The Four-Dimensionality of Space and the Einstein Tensor, Journal of Mathematical Physics (1972) 13 (6) 874876.*

Given field equations in vacuum:

$$A^{\mu\nu} = 0 \, , \tag{3}$$

and the following hypothesis:

INTRODUCTION
○○○○●○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

LOVELOCK'S THEOREM
HYPOTHESIS AND THESIS

1. $A^{\mu\nu} = A^{\nu\mu}$ (symmetry)
2. $A^{\mu\nu} = A^{\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}, g_{\mu\nu,\rho}, g_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma})$
3. $\nabla_\mu A^{\mu\nu} = 0$ (divergencelessness, ∇_μ is the covariant derivative)
4. $A^{\mu\nu}$ is linear in the second derivative of the metric.

Then

$$A^{\mu\nu} = aG^{\mu\nu} + bg^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{4}$$

where a and b are arbitrary constants and:

$$G^{\mu\nu} \equiv R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R \,, \tag{5}$$

is the Einstein tensor. The theorem holds in any dimensions.

(In the second paper Lovelock shows that the symmetry condition is superfluous in 4 dimensions).

INTRODUCTION
0000●00000000000

VACUUM
00000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000000000

LOVELOCK'S THEOREM
LAGRANGIAN DENSITY

The Lagrangian density associated to $A^{\mu\nu} = aG^{\mu\nu} + bg^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$L = \sqrt{-g} \sum_{p=1}^{m-1} a_p \delta^{\alpha_1}_{[\beta_1} \ldots \delta^{\alpha_{2p}}_{\beta_{2p}]} \Pi_{r=1}^{2p-1} R_{\alpha_r \alpha_{r+1}}{}^{\beta_r \beta_{r+1}} + a_0 \sqrt{-g} \,, \quad (6)$$

with $m = n/2$ if the number of dimensions n is even,
$m = (n+1)/2$ if n is odd. For $n = 4$:

$$L = \sqrt{-g} \, a_1 \delta^{\alpha_1}_{[\beta_1} \delta^{\alpha_2}_{\beta_2]} R_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} + a_0 \sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{-g}(a_1 R + a_0) \,, \quad (7)$$

i.e. the Einstein-Hilbert action plus a cosmological term.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○●○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

RESURRECTION OF THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT

COSMOLOGY

Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric (maximally symmetric spatial hypersurfaces):

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j \, , \tag{8}$$

Einstein (Friedmann) equations with Λ:

$$H^2 \equiv \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \rho_{\rm tot} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \, , \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3}(\rho_{\rm tot} + 3p_{\rm tot}) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \, . \tag{10}$$

Here:

$$\rho_{\rm tot} = \sum_x \rho_x \, , \qquad p_{\rm tot} = \sum_x p_x \, . \tag{11}$$

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○●○○○○○○○○○
VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○
ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

PROPAGANDA 1

SEE ALSO HTTPS://ARXIV.ORG/ABS/1803.00070

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○●○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

EQUATIONS OF STATE

For a barotropic, perfect fluid:

$$p_x = w_x \rho_x . \tag{12}$$

For example, pressureless matter (dust or baryons, in the jargon of cosmology) with $w_x = 0$, or radiation (photons and neutrinos), with $w_x = 1/3$.

The cosmological constant behaves as a barotropic perfect fluid with equation of state:

$$w_\Lambda \equiv \frac{p_\Lambda}{\rho_\Lambda} = -1 . \tag{13}$$

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○●○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

ACCELERATED EXPANSION OF THE UNIVERSE

From the acceleration equation:

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3}(\rho_{\text{tot}} + 3p_{\text{tot}}) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \, , \qquad (14)$$

one sees that if $\rho_{\text{tot}} + 3p_{\text{tot}} < 0$, then $\ddot{a} > 0$ and the universe expands accelerating. The cosmological constant works as antigravity.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○●○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

THE ΛCDM MODEL

The standard model of cosmology includes: Λ, cold dark matter (CDM), baryons (all the massive particles of the Standard Model), neutrinos and photons (neutrinos are considered massless and together with photons are dubbed "radiation").
Friedmann equation of the ΛCDM model:

$$\frac{H^2}{H_0^2} = \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_c(1+z)^3 + \Omega_b(1+z)^3 + \Omega_r(1+z)^4 . \qquad (15)$$

Sometimes the spatial curvature contribution included in the mix:

$$\Omega_K(1+z)^2 . \qquad (16)$$

The density parameter is defined as:

$$\Omega_x \equiv \frac{8\pi G_N \rho_x}{3H_0^2} . \qquad (17)$$

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000000000

ACCELERATED EXPANSION OF THE UNIVERSE
OBSERVATION

Type Ia supernovae are standard candles which allows to extend the cosmic distance ladder to large redshifts ($z \sim 1$) and from which the accelerated expansion of the universe was discovered.

- ▶ *A. G. Riess et al. [Supernova Search Team], Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant , Astron. J.* **116** *(1998) 1009 [astro-ph/9805201]*

- ▶ *S. Perlmutter et al. [Supernova Cosmology Project Collaboration], Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae , Astrophys. J.* **517** *(1999) 565 [astro-ph/9812133]*

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○●○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

ACCELERATED EXPANSION OF THE UNIVERSE

FROM RIESS' PAPER

ACCELERATED EXPANSION OF THE UNIVERSE

FROM RIESS' PAPER

Table 8: Cosmological Results

Method (high-z SNe)	H_0	Ω_M	Ω_Λ	no constraint*					$\Omega_{tot} \equiv 1$ Ω_Λ	$\Omega_\Lambda \equiv 0$ Ω_M	$\Omega_M \equiv 0.2$ Ω_Λ
				χ^2_ν	t_0	$p(\Omega_\Lambda \geq 0)$	$p(q_0 \leq 0)$	q_0			
[†]MLCS+Snap.(15)	...	...	...	1.19	...	99.7%(3.0σ)	99.5%(2.8σ)	-0.98±0.40	0.28±0.10	-0.34±0.21	0.65±0.22
[†]MLCS+Snap.(15)	...	...	...	1.03	...	>99.9%(4.0σ)	>99.9%(3.9σ)	-1.24±0.40	0.17±0.09	-0.48±0.19	0.84±0.18
MLCS+Snap.+97ck(16)	...	$0.24^{+0.56}_{-0.24}$	$0.72^{+0.72}_{-0.48}$	1.17	...	99.5%(2.8σ)	99.3%(2.7σ)	-0.75±0.32	0.24±0.10	-0.35±0.18	0.66±0.21
ΔM_{15}+Snap+97ck(16)	...	$0.80^{+0.40}_{-0.48}$	$1.56^{+0.52}_{-0.70}$	1.04	...	>99.9%(3.9σ)	>99.9%(3.8σ)	-1.14±0.30	0.21±0.09	-0.41±0.17	0.80±0.19
MLCS(9)	65.2±1.3[‡]	...	...	1.19	$13.6^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	99.6%(2.9σ)	99.4%(2.4σ)	-0.92±0.42	0.28±0.10	-0.38±0.22	0.68±0.24
ΔM_{15}(9)	63.8±1.3[‡]	...	...	1.05	$14.8^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	>99.9%(3.9σ)	>99.9%(3.8σ)	-1.38±0.46	0.16±0.09	-0.52±0.20	0.88±0.19
MLCS+97ck(10)	65.2±1.3[‡]	$0.00^{+0.60}_{-0.00}$	$0.48^{+0.72}_{-0.24}$	1.17	$14.2^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$	99.5%(2.8σ)	99.3%(2.7σ)	-0.74±0.32	0.24±0.10	-0.38±0.19	0.68±0.22
ΔM_{15}+97ck(10)	63.7±1.3[‡]	$0.72^{+0.44}_{-0.56}$	$1.48^{+0.56}_{-0.68}$	1.04	$15.1^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$	>99.9%(3.8σ)	>99.9%(3.7σ)	-1.11±0.32	0.20±0.09	-0.44±0.18	0.84±0.20
Snap.(6)	63.4±2.7[‡]	...	...	1.30	...	89.1%(1.6σ)	78.9%(1.3σ)	-0.70±0.80	0.40±0.50	0.06±0.70	0.44±0.60

*$\Omega_M \geq 0$

[†]Complete set of spectroscopic SNe Ia.

[‡]This uncertainty reflects only the statistical error from the variance of SNe Ia in the Hubble flow.
  It does not include any contribution from the (much larger) SN Ia absolute magnitude error.

LATEST SUPERNOVAE TYPE IA DATA

PANTHEON SAMPLE: *D. M. Scolnic et al., Astrophys. J.* **859** *(2018) no.2, 101 [arXiv:1710.00845 [astro-ph.CO]].*

Table 8.

Analysis	Model	w	Ω_m	Ω_Λ
SN-stat	ΛCDM		0.284 ± 0.012	0.716 ± 0.01
SN-stat	oCDM		0.348 ± 0.040	0.827 ± 0.06
SN-stat	wCDM	-1.251 ± 0.144	0.350 ± 0.035	
SN	ΛCDM		0.298 ± 0.022	0.702 ± 0.02
SN	oCDM		0.319 ± 0.070	0.733 ± 0.11
SN	wCDM	-1.090 ± 0.220	0.316 ± 0.072	

Notes: Cosmological constraints for the SN-only sample with and without systematic uncertainties. Values are given for three separate cosmological models: ΛCDM, oCDM and wCDM.

dataset mainly to be in-line with general community reproducibility. We still use the binned distances to generate the systematic covariance matrix, which is used as a 2d 40-bin interpolation grid to create a covariance matrix for the full SN dataset. Diagonal uncertainties from the individual distances can be added together with the

oCDM Constraints For SN-only Sample

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○●○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

AGAIN FROM THE PANTHEON PAPER

Table 11.

Sample	Ω_m	Ω_Λ	Ω_K	H_0
CMB+BAO	0.310 ± 0.008	0.689 ± 0.008	0.001 ± 0.003	67.900 ± 0.747
CMB+H0	0.266 ± 0.014	0.723 ± 0.012	0.010 ± 0.003	73.205 ± 1.788
CMB+BAO+H0	0.303 ± 0.007	0.694 ± 0.007	0.003 ± 0.002	68.723 ± 0.675
SN+CMB	0.299 ± 0.024	0.698 ± 0.019	0.003 ± 0.006	69.192 ± 2.815
SN+CMB+BAO	0.309 ± 0.007	0.690 ± 0.007	0.001 ± 0.002	67.985 ± 0.699
SN+CMB+H0	0.274 ± 0.012	0.717 ± 0.011	0.009 ± 0.003	72.236 ± 1.572
SN+CMB+BAO+H0	0.303 ± 0.007	0.695 ± 0.007	0.003 ± 0.002	68.745 ± 0.684

Notes: Cosmological constraints from different combinations of probes when assuming the oCDM model.

Table 12.

Sample	w	Ω_m	H_0
CMB+BAO	-0.991 ± 0.074	0.312 ± 0.013	67.508 ± 1.633
CMB+H0	-1.188 ± 0.062	0.265 ± 0.013	73.332 ± 1.729
CMB+BAO+H0	-1.119 ± 0.068	0.289 ± 0.011	70.539 ± 1.425
SN+CMB	-1.026 ± 0.041	0.307 ± 0.012	68.183 ± 1.114
SN+CMB+BAO	-1.014 ± 0.040	0.307 ± 0.008	68.027 ± 0.859
SN+CMB+H0	-1.056 ± 0.038	0.293 ± 0.010	69.618 ± 0.969
SN+CMB+BAO+H0	-1.047 ± 0.038	0.299 ± 0.007	69.013 ± 0.791

Notes: Cosmological constraints from different combinations of probes when assuming the wCDM model. The value of $w = -1$ corresponds to the cosmological constant hypothesis.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○●

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

THE VALUE OF Λ FROM COSMOLOGY

So, there is strong observational evidence of Λ driving the accelerated expansion of the universe. The amount of Λ energy density in the universe is about 70% percent, hence:

$$\rho_\Lambda \approx \Omega_\Lambda \rho_{\mathrm{cr}} \approx 10^{-47} \text{ GeV}^4 \approx 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2} . \tag{18}$$

What is the problem with Λ?

▶ Huge discrepancy with the predictions coming from quantum field theory (old cosmological constant problem);

▶ Why ρ_Λ has the above tiny value? (new cosmological constant problem).

The first question was tackled already by Zel'dovich and Sakharov (*Y. B. Zeldovich, JETP letters 6 (1967), 316-317*; *A. D. Sakharov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (1967) 177,70-71*).

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
●○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM

Credit: Figure taken from *J. Polchinski, The Cosmological Constant and the String Landscape, hep-th/0603249*.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○●○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM ENERGY IS THERE

Vacuum fluctuations, or quantum zero point fluctuations, are considered to exist because of:

► The Lamb shift (*W. E. Lamb, R. C. Retherford, Physical Review. 72 (1947) (3): 241243*);

► The Casimir effect (*H. B. G. Casimir, D. Polder, Physical Review. 73 (1948) (4): 360372*),

[although see *R. L. Jaffe, Casimir effect and the quantum vacuum, PRD 72, 021301(R) (2005)*]

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○●○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

LAMB SHIFT

Credit: Figure taken from *J. Polchinski, The Cosmological Constant and the String Landscape, hep-th/0603249.*

VACUUM ENERGY IS THERE
DOES IT GRAVITATE?

Our concern is however to understand whether and how this energy gravitates. The standard approach is semi-classical gravity, i.e.

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda_B g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_B \langle \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \rangle \ , \tag{19}$$

where

$$\langle \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \rangle \equiv \frac{\langle out, 0| \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} |in, 0\rangle}{\langle out, 0|in, 0\rangle} \ . \tag{20}$$

Note the following:

▶ Quantum field theory on curved spacetime: fixed geometry, effect of gravity on quantum fields (e.g. Hawking effect).
▶ Semi-classical gravity: dynamical geometry, backreaction of quantum fields. Approximation of quantum gravity.

INTRODUCTION
oooooooooooooooo

VACUUM
oooo●oooooooooooooooo

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
oooooooooo

VACUUM FLUCTUATIONS

In Minkowski space we have that

$$\langle \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \rangle \propto \eta_{\mu\nu} , \qquad (21)$$

hence by the equivalence principle, in curved space one has:

$$\langle \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \rangle = -\rho_{\mathrm{vac}}(x) g_{\mu\nu} , \qquad (22)$$

and because of Bianchi identities ρ_{vac} has to be a constant. So, the field equations become:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R + \Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}} g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_N T_{\mu\nu} , \qquad (23)$$

with

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}} = \Lambda_B + 8\pi G_N \rho_{\mathrm{vac}} , \qquad (24)$$

the effective cosmological constant (whose measured value is 10^{-47} GeV^4).

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
00000●000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000000000

CLASSICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

These come from fields which settle at the minimum of the potential to which they are subject. Consider a simple example:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi - g_{\mu\nu}\left[\frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\Phi\partial_\sigma\Phi + V(\Phi)\right] . \qquad (25)$$

If the field rolls down to a minimum of its potential:

$$\langle\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}\rangle = -V(\Phi_{\min})g_{\mu\nu} . \qquad (26)$$

If we can set $V(\Phi_{\min}) = 0$ there is no contribution to Λ_{eff}. Phase transitions are therefore quite problematic because the position of the minimum is shifted and $V(\Phi_{\min}) = 0$ can be realised only before or after the phase transition.

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
0000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000000000

ELECTROWEAK PHASE TRANSITION

Realistic cases are the electroweak phase transition and the QCD transition. In the electroweak case (after the transition) we have the potential ($\lambda \simeq 0.1$ is a coupling):

$$V(H) = -\frac{\lambda v^4}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda v^2 H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}H^3 + \frac{\lambda}{16}H^4 , \qquad (27)$$

with $m_H^2 = \lambda v^2$ being the Higgs mass and $v = \langle H \rangle$. Then:

$$\rho_{\text{vac}} = -\frac{1}{4}m_H^2 v^2 , \qquad v^2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4G_F^2} , \qquad (28)$$

lead to:

$$\rho_{\text{vac}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}\frac{m_H^2}{G_F^2} \approx -1.2 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}^4 . \qquad (29)$$

$G_F \simeq 1.16 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV^{-2} is Fermi's constant and $m_H \approx 125$ GeV.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○
VACUUM
○○○○○○○●○○○○○○○○○○○○
ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

ELECTROWEAK PHASE TRANSITION

## HIGGS POTENTIAL (PLOT TAKEN FROM J. MARTIN'S REVIEW)

Fig. 2. Effective potential of the Higgs boson before and after the electroweak phase transition. The left panel corresponds to a situation where the vacuum energy vanishes at high temperature. As a consequence ρ_{vac} is negative at temperature smaller than the critical temperature. This is the situation treated in the text where the quantity $-m^4/(4\lambda)$ is explicitly calculated. On the right panel, the off-set parameter V_0 is chosen such that the vacuum energy is zero after the transition. As a consequence, it does not vanish at high temperatures.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○●○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

QUANTUM-MECHANICAL CONTRIBUTION TO Λ_{eff}
SIMPLE EXAMPLE OF A SCALAR FIELD

Let us see the calculation of the zero-point energy-momentum
tensor for a massive non-interacting scalar field:

$$\Phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\sqrt{2\omega_k}}(a_k e^{ik^\mu x_\mu} + a_k^\dagger e^{-ik^\mu x_\mu}) , \qquad (30)$$

with

$$\omega_k \equiv k^0 = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} . \qquad (31)$$

The energy-momentum tensor is:

$$\langle \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k^0} k_\mu k_\nu . \qquad (32)$$

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○●○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

QUANTUM-MECHANICAL CONTRIBUTION TO Λ_{eff}
PUTTING A CUTOFF

Consider a cutoff $M \to \infty$. Then:

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^M dk k^2 \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} =$$

$$\frac{M^4}{16\pi^2} \left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2}{M^2}} \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{2M^2} \right) - \frac{m^4}{2M^4} \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} + \frac{M}{m} \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2}{M^2}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{M^4}{16\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{M^2} + \dots \right) \text{,(33)}$$

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○●○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

QUANTUM-MECHANICAL CONTRIBUTION TO Λ_{eff}
PUTTING A CUTOFF

and also for the pressure:

$$\langle p \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^M dk \frac{k^4}{\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}} =$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{M^4}{16\pi^2} \left[\sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2}{M^2}} \left(1 - \frac{3m^2}{2M^2} \right) + \frac{3m^4}{2M^4} \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} + \frac{M}{m} \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2}{M^2}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \frac{M^4}{16\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{M^2} + \dots \right) . \text{(34)}$$

At the leading order ($m/M \to 0$) $\langle p \rangle = \langle \rho \rangle / 3$, as radiation does, so something is going wrong. Indeed, putting a cutoff spoils Lorentz invariance. Considering just the logarithmic terms instead gives the expected behaviour $\langle p \rangle = -\langle \rho \rangle$.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○●○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

QUANTUM-MECHANICAL CONTRIBUTIONS
DIMENSIONAL REGULARISATION

Using dimensional regularisation one gets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \rho \rangle &= \frac{\mu^{4-d}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dk \, k^{d-2} d^{d-2} \Omega \omega_k \\
&= \frac{\mu^4}{2(4\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{\Gamma(-d/2)}{\Gamma(-1/2)} \left(\frac{m}{\mu} \right)^d ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{35}
$$

with μ an arbitrary scale. Similarly

$$
\langle p \rangle = \frac{\mu^4}{4(4\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{\Gamma(-d/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)} \left(\frac{m}{\mu} \right)^d ,
\tag{36}
$$

Now $\langle p \rangle = -\langle \rho \rangle$ as expected.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○●○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

QUANTUM-MECHANICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

RENORMALISATION

Considering $d = 4 - \epsilon$ one can easily investigate the pole structure of the Gamma function and see that:

$$\langle \rho \rangle = -\frac{m^4}{64\pi^2} \left[\frac{2}{\epsilon} + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma - \ln \left(\frac{m^2}{4\pi\mu^2} \right) \right] + \dots . \qquad (37)$$

By subtracting the divergent term we finally have:

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \frac{m^4}{64\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \right) . \qquad (38)$$

In general one can show the same result for any free field, provided a minus sign for the fermionic ones. Hence:

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \sum_n (-1)^{2S_n} g_n m_n^4 \ln \left(\frac{m_n^2}{\mu^2} \right) . \qquad (39)$$

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○●○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

QUANTUM-MECHANICAL CONTRIBUTIONS
PAULI SUM RULES

Pauli already observed in 1951 (ETH lectures) that even using a UV cutoff the correct result is obtained if the following conditions are met:

$$\sum_n (-1)^{2S_n} g_n = 0 \, , \sum_n (-1)^{2S_n} g_n m_n^2 = 0 \, , \sum_n (-1)^{2S_n} g_n m_n^4 = 0 \, .$$

$$(40)$$

Visser shows how these conditions provide a bridge between the finiteness of the zero-point energy and Lorentz invariance. He also speculates on the consequences of taking these relations to be valid non-perturbatively, leading to the necessity of physics beyond the standard model (*M. Visser, Phys. Lett. B* **791** *(2019) 43 [arXiv:1808.04583 [hep-th]]*).

THE VALUE OF THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT AND THE PROBLEM

Summing up all the contributions considered so far, we have:

$$\rho_{\text{vac}} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \sum_n (-1)^{2S_n} g_n m_n^4 \ln\left(\frac{m_n^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \rho_\Lambda + \rho_{\text{vac}}^{\text{EW}} + \rho_{\text{vac}}^{\text{QCD}} + \cdots . \tag{41}$$

Just taking the electroweak scale:

$$\rho_{\text{vac}}^{\text{EW}} \approx -1.2 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}^4 . \tag{42}$$

This has the wrong sign and it is in modulus way larger than the observed value.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○●○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

ISSUES IN THE CALCULATIONS

The result:

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \sum_n (-1)^{2S_n} g_n m_n^4 \ln\left(\frac{m_n^2}{\mu^2}\right) \ , \qquad (43)$$

will still hold if:

▶ we take into account interactions?

▶ we consider nonzero spacetime curvature?

In Martin's review it is shown that the answer is yes to both the questions. For the first, the case $\lambda \Phi^4/4!$ (a self interaction scalar field) is considered and the Gaussian effective potential approach (which is non-perturbative).

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
00000000000000000●00

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000000000

WITH CURVATURE

SEE ALSO *N.D. Birrell, P.C.W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1982*

The effective action becomes (considering the backreaction of a scalar field):

$$- \left(A + \frac{\Lambda_B}{8\pi G_B} \right) + \left(B + \frac{1}{16\pi G_B} \right) R$$

$$- \frac{a_2(x)}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n-4} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\gamma + \ln \left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \right) \right] \right\} , \qquad (44)$$

where

$$A = \frac{4m^4}{(4\pi)^{n/2} n(n-2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{n-4} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\gamma + \ln \left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \right) \right] \right\} , \qquad (45)$$

i.e. the same $m^4 \ln(m^2/\mu^2)$ behaviour as found in the Minkowski case.

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
000000000000000000●0

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000000000

WITH CURVATURE

SEE ALSO *N.D. Birrell, P.C.W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1982*

Moreover we get:

$$B = \frac{2m^2(1/6 - \xi)}{(4\pi)^{n/2} n(n-2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{n-4} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) \right] \right\} , \qquad (46)$$

which renormalises Newton's constant:

$$G = \frac{G_B}{1 + 16\pi G_B B} . \qquad (47)$$

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○●

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○○

WITH CURVATURE
SEE ALSO *N.D. Birrell, P.C.W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1982*

And finally:

$$a_2(x) = \frac{1}{180} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{180} R^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}$$
$$-\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{6} - \xi\right)\Box R + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{6} - \xi\right)^2 R^2 , \tag{48}$$

that are modifications of GR.

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
●000000000

Attempted solutions

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○●○○○○○○○○○

EXAMPLE OF A SMALL COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT
J. HOLLAND AND S. HOLLANDS, CLASS. QUANTUM GRAV. 31 (2014) 125006

Here the authors solve exactly a 2D toy model (Gross-Neveu):

$$\mathcal{L} = N \left[i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{g^2}{2}(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 \right] , \qquad (49)$$

for the zero-point energy momentum tensor, finding:

$$\langle \theta_{\mu\nu} \rangle = -\frac{1}{4\pi\ell^2} e^{-2\pi/g^2} \eta_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(1/N) . \qquad (50)$$

The speculation is whether a similar result could hold also for the Standard Model, giving an exponential suppression.

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
00000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
00●0000000

SELF-ADJUSTING FIELDS AND WEINBERG'S NO-GO THEOREM

If there is some field ϕ such that:

$$\Box\phi \propto T^{\mu}{}_{\mu} \propto R \,, \qquad (51)$$

and that it evolves to an equilibrium value ϕ_0 such that $T^{\mu}{}_{\mu}(\phi_0) = 0$. Then $R = 0$ and the Minkowski solution can be enforced. In some sense the field "adjusts" itself to the huge zero-point energy, eating it up.

Weinberg's no-go theorem states that this is impossible without a fine-tuning (see his review).

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000000000

UNIMODULAR GRAVITY

In unimodular gravity one demands that $-g = 1$ and it is not dynamical. The field equations then become:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi G_N \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}T \right) \ . \tag{52}$$

Since the zero-point contribution is a trace, here it does not enter. Taking Bianchi's identities, one has:

$$\nabla_\mu \left(R + 8\pi G_N T \right) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R + 8\pi G_N T = 4\Lambda \ , \tag{53}$$

and so we rewrite the field equations as:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_N T_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{54}$$

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
0000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000●00000

UNIMODULAR GRAVITY

Unimodular gravity seems to work perfectly. So what are the problems with that? According to Weinberg: *In my view, the key question in deciding whether this is a plausible classical theory of gravitation is whether it can be obtained as the classical limit of any physically satisfactory quantum theory of gravitation.*

According to *A. Padilla and I. D. Saltas, Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** *(2015) no.11, 561, [arXiv:1409.3573 [gr-qc]]* unimodular gravity is equivalent to GR (so the CCP still exists, it is moved to the Lagrangian multiplier that enforces $-g = 1$).

Opposite view by *C. Barceló, R. Carballo-Rubio and L. J. Garay, Annals of Physics 398 (2018) 9-23* address the above-mentioned citation by Weinberg, in the positive sense.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○●○○○○

SEQUESTERING MECHANISM

N. Kaloper and A. Padilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 091304 [arXiv:1309.6562 [hep-th]]

Sequestering action:

$$S = \sigma \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda^4 \mu^4} \right) + \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} R - \lambda^4 \mathcal{L}_m (\lambda^{-2} g^{\mu\nu}, \Psi) - \Lambda \right] . \tag{55}$$

Variation:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^4 \mu^4} \sigma' \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda^4 \mu^4} \right) = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} , \tag{56}$$

$$\frac{4\Lambda}{\lambda^4 \mu^4} \sigma' \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda^4 \mu^4} \right) = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \lambda^4 \tilde{T}_\alpha^\alpha , \tag{57}$$

$$M_P^2 G^\mu_{\ \nu} = -\Lambda \delta^\mu_{\ \nu} + \lambda^4 \tilde{T}^\mu_{\ \nu} , \tag{58}$$

with $\lambda^4 \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$.

INTRODUCTION
0000000000000000

VACUUM
0000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
0000000●000

SEQUESTERING MECHANISM

N. Kaloper and A. Padilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 091304 [arXiv:1309.6562 [hep-th]]

The first condition implies that the spacetime volume is finite. Combining the previous equations, one gets:

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \, T^\alpha_\alpha}{\int d^4x \sqrt{-g}} \ , \tag{59}$$

and the field equations become:

$$M_P^2 G^\mu{}_\nu = T^\mu{}_\nu - \frac{1}{4} \delta^\mu{}_\nu \frac{\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \, T^\alpha_\alpha}{\int d^4x \sqrt{-g}} \ , \tag{60}$$

and the zero-point contribution drops out, similarly to what happens in the unimodular gravity case.

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○●○○

HIDING THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT

S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 131302 (2019) [arXiv:1809.08277 [hep-th]]

Here it is described a mechanism by which the effect of a huge cosmological constant might average out on macroscopic scales. The cosmological constant, even if it is huge, is hidden within the spacetime foam.

Apparently there are problem with the evolution of such a configuration, i.e. the cosmological constant does not stay hidden (*OFP, Does the cosmological constant stay hidden?, [arXiv:2007.02637 [gr-qc]]*).

INTRODUCTION
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

VACUUM
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
○○○○○○○○○●○

OTHER INTERESTING TENTATIVES

- ▶ *S. W. Hawking, The Cosmological Constant Is Probably Zero, Phys. Lett.* **134B** *(1984) 403;*
- ▶ *R. D. Peccei, J Solá and C. Wetterich, Physics Letters B (1987), 195;*
- ▶ *S. R. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. B* **310** *(1988) 643;*
- ▶ *M. J. Duff, The Cosmological Constant Is Possibly Zero, but the Proof Is Probably Wrong, Phys. Lett. B* **226** *(1989) 36;*
- ▶ *Q. Wang, Z. Zhu and W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D* **95** *(2017) no.10;*
- ▶ *S. M. Carroll and G. N. Remmen, Phys. Rev. D* **95** *(2017) no.12;*
- ▶ *L. Lombriser, Phys. Lett. B* **797** *(2019), 134804 [arXiv:1901.08588 [gr-qc]].*

INTRODUCTION
000000000000000000

VACUUM
000000000000000000000

ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS
00000000●

PROPAGANDA 2
PPGCosmo

International PhD program in Astrophysics, Cosmology and Gravitation
A joint program of 14 institutions in 9 countries

https://ppgcosmo.cosmo-ufes.org/