

THE UNITED STATES OF AFRICA LTD.

Level 17, Dashwood House,

69 Old Broad St,

London, EC2M 1QS,

United Kingdom. Registered in United Kingdom, Number 15740035



## ***Strategic Geopolitical Intelligence Report™***

### ***1. Context: A Chain of Escalations***

***On June 21–22, 2025, the United States launched Operation Midnight Hammer, targeting three of Iran’s critical nuclear facilities — Fordow, Natanz, and Isfahan — using B-2 bombers and submarine-fired Tomahawk missiles. President Trump declared that Iran’s nuclear infrastructure had been “totally obliterated.”***

***Yet classified assessments soon revealed the damage was substantial but not decisive, delaying Iran’s program by only a few months. Iran, predictably, vowed retaliation.***

### ***2. Iran Strikes Back: Precision, Symbolism, Calculation***

***On June 23, Iran executed Operation Glad Tidings of Victory, launching ballistic missiles at the Al-Udeid Air Base in Qatar and drone swarms toward U.S. military facilities in Iraq. Of the 14 missiles, 13 were intercepted; one landed without casualties.***

***Iran’s response was precise: minimum damage, zero fatalities. Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei called it a “heavy slap to the face of empire,” warning of more severe reprisals if provoked again.***

### ***3. The U.S. Response: Loud Words, No Action***

*The American reaction was atypically subdued:*

- *Trump called the Iranian response “very weak” and even thanked Iran for the “heads up.”*
- *No military retaliation followed.*
- *Official rhetoric focused on intercept success and played down the incident’s impact.*
- *There were no new sanctions, no aggressive diplomatic maneuvers — only tweets, soft press statements, and strategic silence.*

### ***4. The Hypocrisy Exposed***

#### ***4.1 Strike First, Deny the Consequence***

*The U.S. asserts a unilateral right to strike without UN approval, but when Iran retaliates with measured force, it labels it "desperation."*

#### ***4.2 Rhetoric vs. Strategic Reality***

*American attacks are called “preventive defense.” Iranian responses are branded “state terrorism.” The contradiction is no longer sustainable — even among allies.*

#### ***4.3 Failed Deterrence***

*Refusing to respond militarily after a strike on U.S. bases undermines Washington’s image of strength. Projection without protection is a hollow empire.*

## ***5. Why Didn't the U.S. Retaliate?***

- ***The Trump administration wants to avoid full-scale war during an election cycle.***
- ***Iran calibrated its strike to stay below the red line — no deaths.***
- ***Gulf allies and international blocs called for restraint.***
- ***NATO and others urged "narrative responsibility," not escalation.***

## ***6. Immediate Strategic Consequences***

- 1. Credibility in Crisis: The U.S. now appears capable of striking but afraid of consequences.***
- 2. Iran Gains Strategic Respect: Shows power without recklessness.***
- 3. Global South Takes Note: Imperial patterns of unilateral enforcement are no longer viable.***
- 4. Narrative Front Shifts: War is no longer just military — it is moral, psychological, and linguistic.***

## ***7. The U.S. Between Two Fires***

***Washington is cornered:***

- ***A strong military response risks regional escalation.***
- ***No response reveals a decline in hegemonic power and invites further tests by Russia, China, or North Korea.***

***The multipolar world punishes contradiction, and the U.S. now shows a strategic fracture: wanting to act like an empire without absorbing the costs of imperialism.***

## ***8. The World Is Watching***

- ***Will Iran retaliate again if struck once more?***
- ***Will Tehran shift its response to cyberspace, maritime operations, or asymmetric theaters?***
- ***Can Washington regain authority without resorting to brute force?***
- ***How will this affect the credibility of Donald Trump's government?***

## ***9. Final Assessment: The Narrative War Has Begun***

***This is not just a military confrontation — it's a moral and geopolitical reckoning.***

***The U.S. still plays by Cold War scripts. But Iran, with a measured and symbolic strike, rewrote the rules. It did not seek mass retaliation but revealed a more potent weapon: discipline, narrative control, and strategic vision.***

***Washington retaliated with arrogance and memes. Tehran responded with targeted resolve.***

***And that, more than any missile, is what exposed the West's new vulnerability.***

 ***Authored by***

***Javier Clemente Engonga™***

***President – World War News TV™***

***Executive Director – Digital Republic of Equatorial Guinea™***

*** Malabo | London | [www.worldwarnews.online](http://www.worldwarnews.online)***

