



The Agricultural
ECONOMIST
LEADING AGRICULTURAL
ECONOMICS MAGAZINE
ISSN: 3104-8803

ISSN: 3104-8803

The Agricultural ECONOMIST

Pakistan's Premier Magazine on Agriculture and Economics

The Scope of "The Agricultural Economist"

Launched by The AgEcon Frontiers in July 2024, Limited
The Agricultural Economist is dedicated to advancing knowledge
of Agricultural Economics and related disciplines.

Core Objectives



Comprehensive coverage of pressing agricultural, rural and national economic issues.



Insightful analyses on economic and business trends shaping the agricultural sector and the economy.



Interdisciplinary perspectives bridging agriculture, finance, management & public health.



The AgEcon Frontiers
Advancing Knowledge Beyond Boundaries

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| The AgEcon Frontiers Services | 3 |
| EDITORIAL | 4 |
| March Focus: Climate Change and Rural Livelihoods | 4 |
| SPOTLIGHT | 7 |
| Global Trade's Impact on Health Outcomes..... | 7 |
| Understanding Agricultural Pricing Dynamics | 9 |
| Transforming Healthcare: From Volume to Value | 11 |
| POLICY BRIEFS | 13 |
| Commodity Shocks Impact on Pakistan Economy | 13 |
| Youth Engagement in Pakistan's Agricultural Modernization | 15 |
| Impact of Climate Change on Pakistan's Livestock Sector | 18 |
| RURAL INNOVATION | 20 |
| Challenges in Agricultural Marketing Strategies | 20 |
| The Role of Food Storage in Modern Systems | 22 |
| RURAL COMMUNITY | 24 |
| Pakistan's WTO Agriculture Challenges | 24 |
| Tharparkar Desert Flora: Resilient Ecological System | 26 |
| Vaccine Hesitancy in Rural Pakistan: A Systemic Challenge..... | 28 |
| RURAL FINANCE | 30 |
| Boost Farm Profitability in Pakistan | 30 |
| Impact of Energy Markets on Pakistan's Agriculture..... | 32 |
| FOOD AND NUTRITION | 34 |
| Hunger Paradox in the Global Economy | 34 |
| Fermented Synbiotic Sorghum-Coconut Porridge | 36 |
| Agriculture's Role in Economic Stability and Food Security..... | 37 |
| Agriculture's Role in Economic Stability and Food Security..... | 39 |
| PUBLIC HEALTH ECONOMICS | 42 |
| Vaccine Acceptance: Economic Structures Impact Decisions | 42 |
| Combatting Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) Challenges | 44 |
| Zoonotic Diseases in Livestock: A Growing Challenge | 46 |
| Digital Epidemiology: Transforming Healthcare Systems..... | 48 |

The AgEcon Frontiers Services

Journals

[Launch Journal / Book with TAEF](#) [Download forms](#)



ISSN: 3134-819X

Call for Papers

👉 [Submission open](#) =>

Our journal **AgriPaT – Journal of Agricultural Policy and Transformation** invite submissions for **Volume 2, Issue 2**.

[Register & submit](#)



New Journal Alert! 🚀

The AgEcon Frontiers family is growing! We are thrilled to launch the **Journal of Agribusiness and Social Sciences (JASS)**. To celebrate the launch, the first two issues are published with **NO APC!** 🎉 This is the perfect time to submit your research on: 🌱 Agribusiness Management, 📊 Agricultural Policy, 👤 Rural Development & Social Sciences

📌 Submit today: <http://journals.ageconfrontiers.com/jass/>
Learn more: <http://ageconfrontiers.com/jass>

Books



Submit Proposals for **Edited Books** and Earn for more information visit <https://www.ageconfrontiers.com/book-proposals-call> or email books@ageconfrontiers.com

Consultancy Services



Data Collection, Market Surveys, Policy Studies, Research Services

Conferences

Plan your conference with us



Call for Abstracts

👉 [Submission open](#) =>

2nd International Emerging Scholars Forum – August 24-26, 2026

Advertise with us:

Please visit our sponsors by clicking the advertising banners:



EDITORIAL

March Focus: Climate Change and Rural Livelihoods

Climate change is transforming rural livelihoods through rising temperatures, water scarcity, extreme weather events, and declining agricultural productivity. It threatens food security, incomes, and social stability while increasing vulnerability.

Muhammad Khalid Bashir

3/1/2026

Rural economies are entering one of the most consequential decades in modern development history. They remain the backbone of global food systems, natural resource management, and cultural continuity, yet they stand at the frontline of climate change, macroeconomic volatility, demographic pressures, and persistent health inequities. More than 3.4 billion people depend directly or indirectly on agriculture for their livelihoods, and rural regions continue to host most of the world's extreme poor. As climate shocks intensify and global markets grow more interconnected, the resilience of rural communities has become inseparable from global economic stability and food security.

The central question confronting policymakers, researchers, and practitioners is no longer whether rural systems must transform, but how that transformation can be achieved sustainably and inclusively. This March 2026 issue of *The Agricultural Economist* is dedicated to exploring pathways toward sustainable rural futures, futures built upon innovation that stimulates economic growth, safeguards public health, and strengthens environmental and institutional resilience.

Innovation as a Catalyst for Structural Transformation

Technological progress is rapidly redefining the contours of rural production systems. Digital agriculture is projected to reach approximately \$20 billion in global market value by 2026, reflecting accelerated investment in artificial intelligence, remote sensing, robotics, and data analytics. Precision agriculture tools such as satellite-based crop monitoring, drone-enabled field assessments, and sensor-driven irrigation systems are enabling farmers to optimize input use, reduce waste, and enhance productivity under resource constraints.

For smallholder farmers, who produce more than 30% of the world's food supply, access to real-time climate information, predictive pest surveillance, and AI-powered advisory

platforms can dramatically reduce uncertainty. Evidence suggests that improved agronomic decision-making supported by digital tools can increase yields while lowering fertilizer and water consumption. This dual benefit, productivity enhancement alongside environmental conservation, positions innovation as a cornerstone of sustainable intensification.

However, technological diffusion must be accompanied by equitable access. Without deliberate inclusion strategies, digital divides risk widening productivity gaps between large commercial farms and resource-constrained smallholders. Public-private partnerships, open-access data platforms, and rural broadband expansion are therefore essential investments to ensure that innovation contributes to inclusive growth rather than exacerbating inequality.

Sustainability and Natural Resource Stewardship

Agriculture accounts for roughly 70% of global freshwater withdrawals and contributes nearly one-quarter of global greenhouse gas emissions. At the same time, approximately one-third of the world's arable land shows signs of degradation. These trends threaten the long-term viability of rural economies and underscore the urgency of integrating environmental sustainability into agricultural policy and practice.

Regenerative agriculture offers a promising pathway. Techniques such as conservation tillage, cover cropping, agroforestry, and organic soil amendments enhance soil organic matter, improve water retention, and increase carbon sequestration. Studies indicate that regenerative systems can raise farm profitability while reducing vulnerability to drought and extreme weather events. Similarly, climate-smart irrigation technologies particularly drip and micro-sprinkler systems reduce water usage by up to 50% compared to conventional methods, while sustaining or increasing yields.

Sustainability must extend beyond farm-level practices to encompass landscape-scale water management, biodiversity conservation, and renewable energy integration. Rural energy transitions, solar-powered irrigation pumps, biogas digesters, and decentralized renewable grids, can lower production costs and reduce emissions while enhancing energy security. Policymakers must therefore align agricultural subsidies, climate finance mechanisms, and carbon market incentives with long-term ecological stewardship.

Financial Inclusion and Rural Economic Diversification

Economic resilience in rural areas depends heavily on access to finance and diversified income streams. Digital financial services, including mobile banking and fintech platforms, are transforming rural economies by extending credit, insurance, and savings products to previously unbanked populations. These innovations enable farmers to smooth consumption, invest in productivity-enhancing inputs, and recover more quickly from climate shocks.

Yet structural inequities persist. Women represent a significant proportion of the agricultural labor force but receive a disproportionately small share of formal credit and land ownership rights. Closing gender gaps in access to finance, technology, and extension services could substantially increase agricultural productivity and household welfare. Similarly, rural youth who constitute a large share of the developing world's population require targeted investments in entrepreneurship, vocational training, and digital skills to transform agriculture into a viable and attractive livelihood.

Beyond primary production, rural economic diversification into agro-processing, value addition, and digital commerce strengthens resilience against commodity price volatility. E-commerce platforms and cooperative-based digital marketplaces are narrowing the rural-

urban divide, connecting producers directly with consumers and international buyers. Strengthening cold chains, storage infrastructure, and logistics networks further reduces post-harvest losses and enhances competitiveness.

Health, Nutrition, and Human Capital

A sustainable rural future cannot be achieved without robust investments in health and nutrition. Economic growth is ultimately constrained by human capital deficits. Rural populations frequently face inadequate healthcare infrastructure, unsafe drinking water, and limited sanitation services. Malnutrition, maternal mortality, and preventable diseases reduce labor productivity and perpetuate intergenerational poverty cycles.

The integration of agricultural and public health strategies is therefore essential. Diversifying crop production toward nutrient-rich foods, strengthening local food systems, and improving water and sanitation infrastructure contribute directly to improved nutritional outcomes. Expanding rural healthcare access through telemedicine, mobile clinics, and strengthened primary healthcare systems enhances resilience by reducing vulnerability to health-related shocks.

Moreover, climate change introduces new health risks, including vector-borne diseases and food safety challenges linked to rising temperatures. Building adaptive health systems capable of responding to environmental stressors is thus integral to broader rural resilience strategies.

Governance, Policy Integration, and Systems Thinking

The complexity of rural transformation demands coherent and integrated policy frameworks. Fragmented interventions whether technological, financial, or social are unlikely to achieve sustained impact in isolation. Instead, systemic approaches that align agricultural policy, climate adaptation strategies, trade frameworks, and social protection programs are required.

Integrated policy packages combining climate-resilient infrastructure, targeted subsidies, buffer stock management, social safety nets, and innovation incentives have demonstrated greater effectiveness than isolated measures. Fiscal sustainability must be balanced with strategic investment in public goods, including

research and development, rural roads, storage facilities, and extension services.

Effective governance also depends on participatory approaches. Community-driven development initiatives empower local actors to identify context-specific solutions and enhance accountability. Strengthening local institutions and cooperatives fosters social cohesion and collective resilience.

The Path Forward

Sustainable rural futures rest on the recognition that growth, health, and resilience are mutually reinforcing objectives. Innovation can drive productivity and competitiveness; sustainability ensures ecological continuity; inclusive finance empowers marginalized groups; and robust health systems underpin economic vitality. These dimensions must converge within a coherent development vision that prioritizes long-term resilience over short-term gains.

The articles featured in this issue of *The Agricultural Economist* examine cutting-edge research, policy experiments, and grassroots innovations that illuminate practical pathways forward. They underscore that rural communities are not passive recipients of change but active architects of transformation.

As we look toward 2030 and beyond, the challenge is to scale what works, technologies that conserve resources, policies that foster equity, and institutions that strengthen resilience. By investing in sustainable rural futures today, we secure not only agricultural prosperity but also economic stability, environmental stewardship, and human well-being for generations to come.

Warm regards,

Muhammad Khalid Bashir

Managing Editor

The Agricultural Economist

Rural economies are entering one of the most consequential decades in modern development history. They remain the backbone of global food systems, natural resource management, and cultural continuity, yet they stand at the frontline of climate change, macroeconomic volatility, demographic pressures, and persistent health inequities. More than 3.4 billion people depend directly or indirectly on agriculture for their livelihoods, and rural regions continue to host most of the world's extreme poor. As climate shocks intensify and

global markets grow more interconnected, the resilience of rural communities has become inseparable from global economic stability and food security.

The central question confronting policymakers, researchers, and practitioners is no longer whether rural systems must transform, but how that transformation can be achieved sustainably and inclusively. This March 2026 issue of *The Agricultural Economist* is dedicated to exploring pathways toward sustainable rural futures, futures built upon innovation that stimulates economic growth, safeguards public health, and strengthens environmental and institutional resilience.

Innovation as a Catalyst for Structural Transformation

Technological progress is rapidly redefining the contours of rural production systems. Digital agriculture is projected to reach approximately \$20 billion in global market value by 2026, reflecting accelerated investment in artificial intelligence, remote sensing, robotics, and data analytics. Precision agriculture tools such as satellite-based crop monitoring, drone-enabled field assessments, and sensor-driven irrigation systems are enabling farmers to optimize input use, reduce waste, and enhance productivity under resource constraints.

For smallholder farmers, who produce more than 30% of the world's food supply, access to real-time climate information, predictive pest surveillance, and AI-powered advisory platforms can dramatically reduce uncertainty. Evidence suggests that improved agronomic decision-making supported by digital tools can increase yields while lowering fertilizer and water consumption. This dual benefit, productivity enhancement alongside environmental conservation, positions innovation as a cornerstone of sustainable intensification.

However, technological diffusion must be accompanied by equitable access. Without deliberate inclusion strategies, digital divides risk widening productivity gaps between large commercial farms and resource-constrained smallholders. Public-private partnerships, open-access data platforms, and rural broadband expansion are therefore essential investments to ensure that innovation contributes to inclusive growth rather than exacerbating inequality.

Sustainability and Natural Resource Stewardship

Agriculture accounts for roughly 70% of global freshwater withdrawals and contributes nearly one-quarter of global greenhouse gas emissions. At the same time, approximately one-third of the world's arable land shows signs of degradation. These trends threaten the long-term viability of rural economies and underscore the urgency of integrating environmental sustainability into agricultural policy and practice.

Regenerative agriculture offers a promising pathway. Techniques such as conservation tillage, cover cropping, agroforestry, and organic soil amendments enhance soil organic matter, improve water retention, and increase carbon sequestration. Studies indicate that regenerative systems can raise farm profitability while reducing vulnerability to drought and extreme weather events. Similarly, climate-smart irrigation technologies particularly drip and micro-sprinkler systems reduce water usage by up to 50% compared to conventional methods, while sustaining or increasing yields.

Sustainability must extend beyond farm-level practices to encompass landscape-scale water management, biodiversity conservation, and renewable energy integration. Rural energy transitions, solar-powered irrigation pumps, biogas digesters, and decentralized renewable grids, can lower production costs and reduce emissions while enhancing energy security. Policymakers must therefore align agricultural subsidies, climate finance mechanisms, and carbon market incentives with long-term ecological stewardship.

Financial Inclusion and Rural Economic Diversification

Economic resilience in rural areas depends heavily on access to finance and diversified income streams. Digital financial services, including mobile banking and fintech platforms, are transforming rural economies by extending credit, insurance, and savings products to previously unbanked populations. These innovations enable farmers to smooth consumption, invest in productivity-enhancing inputs, and recover more quickly from climate shocks.

Yet structural inequities persist. Women represent a significant proportion of the agricultural labor force but receive a disproportionately small share of formal credit and land ownership rights. Closing gender

gaps in access to finance, technology, and extension services could substantially increase agricultural productivity and household welfare. Similarly, rural youth who constitute a large share of the developing world's population require targeted investments in entrepreneurship, vocational training, and digital skills to transform agriculture into a viable and attractive livelihood.

Beyond primary production, rural economic diversification into agro-processing, value addition, and digital commerce strengthens resilience against commodity price volatility. E-commerce platforms and cooperative-based digital marketplaces are narrowing the rural-urban divide, connecting producers directly with consumers and international buyers. Strengthening cold chains, storage infrastructure, and logistics networks further reduces post-harvest losses and enhances competitiveness.

Health, Nutrition, and Human Capital

A sustainable rural future cannot be achieved without robust investments in health and nutrition. Economic growth is ultimately constrained by human capital deficits. Rural populations frequently face inadequate healthcare infrastructure, unsafe drinking water, and limited sanitation services. Malnutrition, maternal mortality, and preventable diseases reduce labor productivity and perpetuate intergenerational poverty cycles.

The integration of agricultural and public health strategies is therefore essential. Diversifying crop production toward nutrient-rich foods, strengthening local food systems, and improving water and sanitation infrastructure contribute directly to improved nutritional outcomes. Expanding rural healthcare access through telemedicine, mobile clinics, and strengthened primary healthcare systems enhances resilience by reducing vulnerability to health-related shocks.

Moreover, climate change introduces new health risks, including vector-borne diseases and food safety challenges linked to rising temperatures. Building adaptive health systems capable of responding to environmental stressors is thus integral to broader rural resilience strategies.

Governance, Policy Integration, and Systems Thinking

The complexity of rural transformation demands coherent and integrated policy

frameworks. Fragmented interventions whether technological, financial, or social are unlikely to achieve sustained impact in isolation. Instead, systemic approaches that align agricultural policy, climate adaptation strategies, trade frameworks, and social protection programs are required.

Integrated policy packages combining climate-resilient infrastructure, targeted subsidies, buffer stock management, social safety nets, and innovation incentives have demonstrated greater effectiveness than isolated measures. Fiscal sustainability must be balanced with strategic investment in public goods, including research and development, rural roads, storage facilities, and extension services.

Effective governance also depends on participatory approaches. Community-driven development initiatives empower local actors to identify context-specific solutions and enhance accountability. Strengthening local institutions and cooperatives fosters social cohesion and collective resilience.

The Path Forward

Sustainable rural futures rest on the recognition that growth, health, and resilience are mutually reinforcing objectives. Innovation can drive productivity and competitiveness; sustainability ensures ecological continuity; inclusive finance empowers marginalized groups; and robust health systems underpin economic vitality. These dimensions must converge within a coherent development vision that prioritizes long-term resilience over short-term gains.

The articles featured in this issue of *The Agricultural Economist* examine cutting-edge research, policy experiments, and grassroots innovations that illuminate practical pathways forward. They underscore that rural communities are not passive recipients of change but active architects of transformation.

As we look toward 2030 and beyond, the challenge is to scale what works, technologies that conserve resources, policies that foster equity, and institutions that strengthen resilience. By investing in sustainable rural futures today, we secure not only agricultural prosperity but also economic stability, environmental stewardship, and human well-being for generations to come.

Warm regards,
Muhammad Khalid Bashir
Managing Editor
The Agricultural Economist

SPOTLIGHT

Global Trade's Impact on Health Outcomes

Explore how global trade shapes modern health outcomes, accelerating the epidemiological transition while also spreading health risks. Understand the dual influence on disease burden in low- and middle-income countries and the challenges faced by health systems.

Arslan Khan Barozai, Ehsanullah & Mahnoor Farrukh

3/5/2026

Globalization, driven by the expansion of international trade, has woven economies and societies into a tightly interconnected web. This integration yields significant economic benefits but also carries profound, often dualistic, health consequences. The concept of the epidemiological transition, first articulated by Abdel Omran in 1971, provides a crucial framework for understanding these shifts. Omran's theory describes the historical shift in disease and mortality patterns as societies develop from an "age of pestilence and famine" dominated by infectious diseases, malnutrition, and high mortality, to an "age of degenerative and man-made diseases" where non-communicable diseases (NCDs) like heart disease, cancer, and diabetes become the primary causes of death and disability (Omran, 1971).

Today, global trade acts as a powerful accelerator in this transition, but its effects are uneven and complex. Many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) now face a "double burden" of disease. They continue to grapple with high rates of communicable diseases, maternal and child mortality, while simultaneously experiencing a rapid surge in NCD risk factors and prevalence (World Health Organization [WHO], 2022). This convergence strains already fragile health systems and exacerbates health inequities. This article explores how global trade influences this dynamic, acting as both a conduit for health-sustaining resources and a vehicle for health risks. It will examine the positive and negative impacts of trade on health outcomes, the role of technology, and the critical policy implications for a healthier, more equitable world.

Global Trade and Public Health: Opportunities and Emerging Risks

Global trade has become a defining feature of the modern global economy, profoundly shaping public health outcomes across countries. Its influence on health systems and

population well-being is inherently dual in nature. On one hand, trade facilitates access to essential health technologies, medicines, and knowledge. On the other hand, it can accelerate the spread of health risk factors, diseases, and harmful products. Understanding this dual impact is essential for designing policies that maximize the benefits of trade while minimizing its adverse consequences for public health.

One of the most important positive contributions of global trade is its role in improving access to life-saving health technologies and medical innovations. Many countries, particularly low- and middle-income economies, lack the domestic capacity to manufacture advanced pharmaceuticals, vaccines, and diagnostic equipment. International trade allows them to import these critical medical resources, strengthening national health systems and improving patient outcomes. The global response to the COVID-19 pandemic clearly demonstrated the importance of integrated trade networks. International supply chains enabled the rapid production and distribution of vaccines, ventilators, personal protective equipment, and testing materials across continents. Through trade, countries were able to share not only physical medical products but also scientific knowledge, clinical research findings, and technological expertise. This cross-border exchange accelerates innovation in fields such as biotechnology, pharmaceutical development, and digital health solutions. As a result, global trade can contribute to longer life expectancy, improved disease management, and higher overall quality of life.

Despite these benefits, trade liberalization has also contributed to the global spread of several health risk factors. Reduced tariffs, expanding multinational food industries, and aggressive international marketing have increased the availability of unhealthy commodities, including ultra-processed foods, sugar-sweetened beverages, and tobacco products.

These products are now widely accessible in many developing countries where regulatory frameworks are often weaker. The increased consumption of these commodities has contributed significantly to the growing burden of non-communicable diseases such as obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and certain cancers. Tobacco remains a particularly stark example, as it continues to be heavily traded worldwide despite global health campaigns aimed at reducing its consumption.

Global trade also accelerates the movement of people, animals, and goods across borders, which can facilitate the rapid spread of infectious diseases. Modern transportation and interconnected supply chains allow pathogens to travel between continents in a matter of days. The COVID-19 pandemic illustrated how quickly a localized outbreak can evolve into a global health crisis, disrupting economies and overwhelming health systems. Additionally, trade-driven economic activities such as large-scale agricultural expansion and deforestation can alter ecological balances and increase human contact with wildlife. These environmental changes raise the probability of zoonotic disease spillovers, where pathogens move from animal populations into humans.

Taken together, global trade plays a complex role in shaping health outcomes. While it provides critical channels for the dissemination of medical technologies and knowledge, it can simultaneously intensify health risks through the spread of unhealthy products and infectious diseases. Effective governance, strong regulatory frameworks, and international cooperation are therefore essential to ensure that the benefits of global trade support, rather than undermine, public health objectives.

The Role of Technology and Innovation

Technological advancements, disseminated through global trade networks, offer powerful tools to mitigate health risks and enhance

healthcare delivery. The international exchange of medical knowledge and equipment enables more effective disease surveillance, diagnosis, and treatment. The rapid development and global distribution of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines is a testament to the power of international scientific collaboration and trade in responding to a health emergency (Gavi, 2022).

Digital health technologies, including telemedicine platforms, mobile health (mHealth) applications, and electronic health records, are expanding access to care, particularly in remote and underserved areas. These tools, often developed and refined through international partnerships, allow for remote patient monitoring, chronic disease management, and the dissemination of health information. The global trade in digital services accelerated their adoption during the pandemic, bridging gaps in healthcare access (Okereke et al., 2021).

However, a "digital divide" persists. Unequal access to technology, infrastructure, and digital literacy particularly in LMICs threatens to exacerbate existing health inequities. Ensuring equitable access to these innovations requires international cooperation and investment in health system strengthening, addressing issues of cost, infrastructure, and training.

Policy Pathways for Aligning Global Trade with Public Health Goals

The growing interdependence between international trade and public health presents policymakers with a complex governance challenge. While global trade can accelerate economic growth and facilitate access to life-saving medical technologies, it can also amplify health risks through the spread of unhealthy commodities, infectious diseases, and environmental degradation. Addressing this dual impact requires a policy framework that integrates health considerations directly into trade governance. The concept of "health in all policies" has therefore emerged as a guiding approach, encouraging governments to evaluate trade agreements not only through economic indicators but also through their potential implications for population health.

One critical policy direction is the incorporation of explicit health protections within trade agreements. Governments must

preserve sufficient regulatory space to implement evidence-based public health measures. Such measures include plain packaging for tobacco products, excise taxes on sugar-sweetened beverages, and restrictions on the marketing of unhealthy foods to children. Without these safeguards, trade and investment agreements may inadvertently constrain national public health policies by allowing corporations to challenge health regulations through dispute settlement mechanisms. Embedding health clauses in trade frameworks helps ensure that economic integration does not undermine national health priorities.

Another essential component is the strengthening of international health governance systems. The International Health Regulations provide a global legal framework to prevent and respond to public health emergencies that may spread across borders through travel and trade. However, many low- and middle-income countries still face capacity constraints in surveillance, laboratory infrastructure, and rapid response systems. Increased investment in these capacities is essential to ensure early detection and containment of emerging health threats.

Equitable access to medicines and health technologies also remains a central policy concern. Trade policies should facilitate technology transfer, voluntary licensing agreements, and flexible intellectual property arrangements to ensure that critical medicines and vaccines remain affordable and accessible during global health crises. Furthermore, effective governance of the trade–health nexus requires sustained collaboration among ministries of trade, health, agriculture, and finance, alongside international organizations. Through coordinated policy design and international cooperation, countries can better balance the economic benefits of trade with the protection and promotion of global public health.

Conclusion

Global trade has become one of the most influential forces shaping modern health outcomes, accelerating the epidemiological transition in many parts of the world. While it enables the rapid exchange of medical technologies, pharmaceuticals, knowledge, and innovations, it simultaneously contributes to

the global spread of health risks such as unhealthy dietary patterns, tobacco consumption, environmental degradation, and infectious diseases. This dual influence has intensified the "double burden" of disease, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where communicable diseases persist while non-communicable diseases continue to rise. As a result, health systems in many regions face increasing pressure to manage complex and overlapping health challenges.

Technological innovation and international collaboration offer promising tools to mitigate these risks. Advances in digital health, biotechnology, and global research partnerships have improved disease surveillance, diagnosis, and treatment. However, persistent inequalities in access to technology and healthcare infrastructure highlight the importance of ensuring that the benefits of globalization are distributed more equitably.

Ultimately, the relationship between global trade and health requires balanced governance. Policymakers must integrate public health considerations into trade policy, strengthen international health regulations, and promote equitable access to medicines and technologies. Effective collaboration between governments, international organizations, and health institutions will be critical in aligning economic integration with health protection. By adopting a coordinated and forward-looking policy approach, global trade can evolve into a powerful instrument for improving health outcomes while minimizing risks associated with the ongoing epidemiological transition.

References: Baker et al; Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance; Morand & Lajaunie; Okereke et al; Omran; Thow et al; World Bank; WHO; WTO.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writers are affiliated with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad Pakistan and can be reached at arслан.барозай20@gmail.com

Understanding Agricultural Pricing Dynamics

Explore how agricultural pricing is influenced by production conditions, market forces, consumer behavior, and global trends. This article delves into the complexities affecting the value of agricultural products, offering insights for farmers and policymakers.

Mithat Direk

3/13/2026

Farmers consider several important factors when determining the value of their agricultural products. Among the most significant are production costs, market competition, the efficiency of the distribution system, public perception of the product, and the quality of marketing services available. Production costs include expenses related to seeds, fertilizers, labor, irrigation, machinery, and transportation. If these costs increase, farmers naturally expect higher prices to maintain profitability. At the same time, the level of competition in the market influences how much consumers are willing to pay, particularly when similar products are available from other producers or regions.

The distribution system also plays a crucial role in determining the final price received by farmers. Efficient supply chains, storage facilities, and transportation networks can reduce post-harvest losses and marketing costs, allowing farmers to receive better returns. Public perception and consumer preferences are equally important. For example, products perceived as fresh, safe, or organically produced often command higher prices in the market. Marketing services such as branding, packaging, and certification can further increase the perceived value of agricultural goods.

However, the value of a product is not determined solely by its own characteristics. Consumers often compare the benefits of one product with those offered by alternative goods. In economic theory, this relationship is explained through the concept of cross elasticity of demand. When the price of one product rises significantly, consumers may shift their purchases to substitute products that offer similar benefits at lower prices. In agricultural markets, this substitution effect is particularly strong because many food items can be replaced by alternative crops or processed products.

As a result, agricultural prices are often influenced by comparisons with competing goods rather than by supply conditions alone. Even when production declines due to weather

or other factors, prices cannot rise indefinitely because consumers may switch to substitutes. This economic reality places a natural limit on price increases and highlights the importance of understanding market dynamics when making production and marketing decisions.

Production Shocks and Agricultural Price Dynamics

Agricultural markets often respond to production shocks in complex ways, and price movements do not always rise in proportion to supply losses. A clear example of this dynamic occurred in 2025, when several regions experienced severe climatic disruptions that significantly affected agricultural output. Extreme weather events, including prolonged droughts and unexpected frost conditions, damaged many crops and reduced overall agricultural production. In Türkiye, for instance, a severe frost event lasting three days between 17–19 April 2025 affected numerous agricultural provinces and caused considerable damage to fruit orchards. These weather shocks created major challenges for farmers and disrupted supply in domestic markets.

Despite these significant production losses, fruit prices increased only about twofold. Given the scale of the damage to crops, market observers initially expected prices to rise four or even five times higher. However, this did not occur because agricultural products often function as substitutes for one another. Consumers can easily replace one fruit with another if prices become too high. For example, if the price of apples increases sharply due to lower production, consumers may switch to alternatives such as oranges or pears. This substitution's behavior limits the extent to which prices of any single product can rise.

Similar patterns are observed in global commodity markets. For instance, cocoa prices surged dramatically in 2024 due to severe production shortfalls in West Africa, which is the world's primary cocoa-producing region. However, by late 2025, prices entered a sharp correction phase as high prices reduced demand and encouraged expectations of increased future supply. Likewise, arabica coffee prices

fell in early 2026 after reaching record highs in 2024, largely because improved crop prospects in Brazil signaled stronger supply. These examples demonstrate how agricultural markets constantly adjust as supply expectations and consumer behavior evolve.

Demand Constraints and the Role of the Food Industry in Agricultural Pricing

Agricultural markets operate under unique demand and supply conditions that often limit how prices respond to changes in production. Although the types and quantities of food consumed differ across countries, nearly every agricultural product has one or more substitutes. This availability of alternatives means that when production increases, particularly during harvest seasons, market supply can exceed immediate consumption needs, placing downward pressure on prices. Products that cannot be easily processed, stored, or absorbed by the food industry tend to experience sharper price declines during these periods because they must be sold quickly before spoilage occurs.

On the demand side, consumption is naturally limited by biological and dietary constraints. Unlike manufactured goods, food consumption cannot expand indefinitely even when prices fall significantly. For example, a consumer who normally buys one apple per day may purchase two when prices decline, but the quantity demanded will rarely increase beyond reasonable dietary limits. Because of these physiological constraints, the price elasticity of demand for most agricultural products is relatively low. This means that changes in prices do not lead to proportionally large changes in the quantity demanded, making agricultural markets particularly vulnerable to supply fluctuations.

These characteristics often create conditions like perfect competition, where individual farmers have little influence over market prices. In such an environment, the food processing industry plays a critical role in determining agricultural price dynamics. Large food processors purchase significant quantities of raw agricultural commodities for

transformation into processed food products such as juices, oils, canned foods, and packaged goods. By acting as major buyers in the market, these processors strongly influence price formation and demand patterns.

In sectors where the food processing industry is well developed, price fluctuations tend to be less extreme because a substantial portion of agricultural output can be absorbed and stored through processing activities. Grains, oilseeds, and industrial crops often follow relatively stable price trends due to their strong integration with food and feed industries. In contrast, products with limited industrial applications such as many fresh fruits and vegetables often experience greater price volatility because they rely primarily on direct consumption markets.

This relationship is further explained through the concept of derived demand. Derived demand refers to the demand for agricultural commodities that arises from their use as raw materials in the production of processed goods. When demand for processed food products increases, the demand for the agricultural inputs used in their production also rises. For instance, growing demand for biofuels and processed vegetable oils has increased global demand for oilseeds such as soybeans. As a result, changes in consumer demand for processed goods can significantly influence the prices of raw agricultural commodities.

Research also shows that price changes within the food manufacturing sector often have a stronger influence on retail food prices than changes at the farm level. This occurs because food processors and manufacturers operate closer to consumers in the supply chain and face fewer intermediaries when passing costs forward. Consequently, while farmers typically have limited pricing power, actors further along with the supply chain particularly processors and retailers, play a more decisive role in shaping final food prices and overall market dynamics.

Global Market Integration and Policy Influences on Agricultural Prices

In today's interconnected economy, domestic agricultural prices are increasingly influenced by developments in global markets and policy decisions. International trade, exchange rate movements, and geopolitical developments all play an important role in shaping agricultural price trends. According to recent assessments,

several macroeconomic factors including fluctuations in the U.S. dollar, global monetary policy, trade tensions, and expanding biofuel demand are among the key drivers affecting agricultural commodity prices in 2026. These factors influence both supply conditions and market expectations, often creating price volatility across different commodity groups.

Global commodity indices illustrate how agricultural markets can move in different directions simultaneously. For example, while some commodities such as grains and livestock products have experienced price gains due to stable demand and favorable market conditions, others particularly soft commodities like coffee and cocoa have faced downward pressure after earlier price surges. Such variations highlight the complexity of global agricultural markets, where regional production patterns, climate events, and consumer demand interact to shape price outcomes.

Trade policies remain one of the most critical variables influencing agricultural markets. In recent years, tensions between major economies such as the United States and China have caused significant fluctuations in global commodity prices. For instance, renewed trade disputes in 2025 affected soybean markets and altered global trade flows, allowing countries like Brazil to increase their share of international exports. Similar trade tensions in the future could again disrupt commodity markets by shifting demand patterns and affecting producers' planning decisions.

Global supply conditions also play a stabilizing role in price formation. Recent agricultural outlook reports indicate that global supplies of major grains remain relatively abundant, with countries such as Brazil achieving record soybean production levels. When global output is strong, international markets tend to experience lower price pressures, even when regional production challenges occur. As a result, global market integration ensures that agricultural prices increasingly reflect worldwide supply, demand, and policy developments rather than local conditions alone.

Conclusion

Agricultural pricing is shaped by a complex interaction of production conditions, market forces, consumer behavior, and global economic trends. As discussed in this article, the value of agricultural products is not

determined solely by production costs or supply levels. Instead, it reflects a broader set of factors including competition from substitute goods, the efficiency of marketing and distribution systems, the role of the food processing industry, and developments in international trade. Understanding these dynamics is essential for both farmers and policymakers seeking to improve agricultural market performance.

Production shocks such as droughts, frosts, or pest outbreaks can disrupt supply and influence prices, yet the presence of substitutes and the limited elasticity of food demand often prevent prices from rising as sharply as expected. At the same time, consumption constraints and the structure of agricultural markets limit the ability of individual farmers to influence prices. This makes the role of large buyers, particularly food processors and retailers, more significant in shaping market outcomes and transmitting price changes alongside the supply chain.

Global market integration further adds complexity to agricultural pricing. Exchange rates, trade policies, geopolitical tensions, and biofuel demand increasingly affect domestic agricultural markets. As a result, price movements often reflect international supply and demand conditions rather than purely local developments.

In this context, improving market information systems, strengthening value chains, and supporting better integration with processing and global markets can help stabilize prices and improve farmer incomes. A deeper understanding of agricultural price dynamics can therefore contribute to more efficient markets, better policy design, and more sustainable agricultural development.

References: European Commission; Hansen; Hernández et al; Meyer; Purdue University; Scoville; Universidad Politècnica de València; USDA Risk Management Agency; Van Reenen et al; World Bank.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Agricultural Economics, Selcuk University, Konya-Türkiye and can be reached at mdirek@selcuk.edu.tr

Transforming Healthcare: From Volume to Value

Explore how the shift from paying for volume to paying for value in healthcare systems enhances patient outcomes. Discover the impact of incentives in healthcare on prevention, quality, and long-term well-being.

Kinza Yousaf

3/24/2026

Imagine two doctors. One gets paid for every test she orders, every patient she sees, every procedure she performs. The other gets paid based on how many of her patients keep their blood pressure under control, get their vaccinations, and stay out of the hospital. Which doctor would you want to treat your family? This is not a hypothetical question. It sits at the heart of one of the most important shifts happening in healthcare systems around the world: the move from paying for volume to paying for value. And the tool driving this shift is incentive-based health policy.

For decades, most healthcare systems operated on a simple principle: the more you do, the more you get paid. This model, known as fee-for-service, seemed logical. A doctor examines a patient, performs a test, provides treatment, each action earns a payment.

But over time, a problem emerged. This system rewarded quantity without asking anything about quality. A doctor could order unnecessary tests, schedule frequent follow-up visits, and still receive full payment, regardless of whether patients got healthier. Healthcare costs began to spiral upward, yet population health outcomes often failed to keep pace.

In the United States alone, healthcare spending reached \$4.8 trillion in 2023, representing nearly 18 percent of GDP, yet life expectancy lagged other wealthy nations. Something clearly was not working.

The incentive-based approach emerged as an alternative. The idea is simple: align payment with desired outcomes. If we want doctors to focus on prevention, pay them when patients stay healthy. If we want hospitals to reduce infections, reward them for lower infection rates. If we want patients to adhere to treatment, give them a reason to do so.

In practice, this means shifting from activity to accountability. Doctors are encouraged to spend more time on counseling, early diagnosis, and long-term care rather than quick interventions. Hospitals begin to prioritize safety, coordination, and efficiency. Even patients become active participants, often receiving

incentives for preventive checkups or managing chronic conditions.

The shift is not easy. Measuring outcomes can be complex, and poorly designed incentives can create new distortions. But when done well, incentive-based policies move healthcare closer to its real purpose: not just treating illness but improving lives.

Making Incentives Work in Real Life

Incentive-based policies show up in several practical forms, each designed to nudge behavior in a specific direction. On the provider's side, pay-for-performance links income to measurable outcomes. Doctors and hospitals may earn bonuses for controlling diabetes, improving vaccination coverage, or reducing avoidable hospital readmissions. This shifts attention from how much care is delivered to how effective that care is.

On the patient side, tools like conditional cash transfers create direct motivation to engage with the system. Small, well-timed payments can encourage people to attend screenings, complete vaccination schedules, or stick with long treatment courses that might otherwise be abandoned midway.

The logic behind these approaches' rests on two ideas. First, principal-agent theory recognizes a gap between those who need care and those who provide it. Incentives help close that gap by aligning interests. Second, behavioral economics reminds us of that information alone rarely changes behavior. People respond to tangible rewards.

When designed carefully, these incentives spark practical problem-solving. Clinics reach deeper into communities. Patients follow through. Small shifts in motivation begin to produce meaningful gains in public health.

What Evidence Really Tells Us

Research on incentive-based health policies paints a picture that is encouraging, but far from straightforward. Results vary by context, design, and what exactly is being measured.

In high-income settings, pay-for-performance has delivered modest but consistent gains. A

2025 systematic review in the *BMJ* found small yet meaningful improvements in chronic disease management, preventive screenings, and vaccination uptake. These are not dramatic transformations, but they matter. Better diabetes control or higher immunization rates translate into fewer complications over time. Still, the financial side is less clear. Some systems saved money by reducing hospital admissions and emergency visits, while others saw those savings absorbed by administrative complexity and the cost of maintaining incentive schemes.

A 2024 modeling study in *BMC Health Services Research* adds an important nuance: design matters. Programs tied to narrow, easily measurable indicators often produce quick wins but struggle to sustain broader system improvements. The size of the incentive, how long it runs, and what outcomes are rewarded all shape the final impact.

In low- and middle-income countries, the story shifts. Conditional cash transfers and results-based financing tend to deliver stronger returns. A 2023 review in *Globalization and Health* shows consistent gains in maternal and child health, immunization, and infectious disease control. Where baseline coverage is low, even small incentives can drive large improvements. The takeaway is simple: incentives work, but only when they fit the system they are meant to change.

When Incentives Backfire and What That Means for Reform

Incentives can sharpen focus, but they can also distort it. One of the clearest risks is equity. When rewards are tied to performance, those already positioned to succeed tend to pull further ahead. Well-funded hospitals with better staffing, equipment, and data systems can hit targets more easily and collect bonuses. Meanwhile, clinics serving poorer or remote populations often start at a disadvantage. They face higher disease burdens, fewer resources, and weaker infrastructure. If targets do not account for these realities, the gap widens. A 2021 analysis in *BMJ Global Health* argues that standard cost-effectiveness metrics often miss this problem entirely. Without explicitly factoring in who benefits, a policy can look

efficient on paper while quietly deepening inequality.

Then there is the issue of gaming. When metrics drive money, behavior follows the metric. Providers may select patients who are easier to treat and avoid those with complex conditions. They may concentrate on a narrow set of rewarded indicators while ignoring other aspects of care that are harder to measure. In some cases, data itself becomes part of the game, with reporting shaped to meet targets rather than reflect reality. These responses are not always malicious; they are predictable reactions to poorly designed systems. But they can undermine trust and dilute real gains in health outcomes.

Administrative burden is another pressure point. Value-based programs often require detailed reporting, constant monitoring, and compliance with evolving rules. A 2022 analysis in the *New England Journal of Medicine* noted that while such reforms can slow spending growth, they also introduce layers of complexity that frustrate providers and divert time away from patient care. When clinicians spend more effort documenting performance than improving it, the system begins to lose its balance.

For countries like Pakistan, where resources are already stretched, these risks carry extra weight. Incentives cannot compensate for weak foundations. Without reliable primary care, functioning supply chains, and accurate health data, even well-designed programs struggle to deliver. In fact, they can become distractions, adding pressure without solving underlying problems.

What seems to work is not complexity, but clarity. Incentive systems perform better when goals are simple, indicators are meaningful, and payment structures are transparent. Providers need to understand what is expected and trust that the system is fair. At the same time, flexibility matters. Programs should evolve as evidence accumulates, with space to correct courses when unintended effects appear.

Equity must be built in from the start, not added later. This can mean adjusting targets based on local conditions, offering additional support to under-resourced facilities, or tracking outcomes across different population groups to ensure benefits are shared. Without these safeguards, even successful programs risk leaving the most vulnerable behind.

In the end, incentives are neither a silver bullet nor a flawed idea. They are tools. Used carefully, they can improve quality, encourage prevention, and make better use of limited resources. Used carelessly, they can skew priorities and widen gaps. The real challenge is not whether to use incentives, but how to design them in a way that keeps the system focused on its core purpose: better health for everyone, not just better numbers on a scorecard.

Conclusion

The shift from paying for volume to paying for value reflects a deeper realization: healthcare systems do not just respond to needs; they respond to incentives. When payments reward activity alone, systems expand in cost without necessarily improving health. When incentives are tied to outcomes, the focus begins to move

toward prevention, quality, and long-term well-being.

Yet the evidence makes one thing clear. Incentives are not a shortcut to reform. They can guide behavior, but they cannot replace strong systems. Without reliable infrastructure, accurate data, and a commitment to equity, even the best-designed programs risk falling short. Worse, they may unintentionally widen the very gaps they are meant to close.

For countries like Pakistan, the lesson is practical rather than theoretical. Incentive-based policies can play a valuable role, especially in improving service uptake and encouraging better care practices. But their success depends on simplicity, fairness, and continuous evaluation. They must be designed with local realities in mind, not imported as ready-made solutions.

At its core, the question is not whether incentives work, but whether they are used wisely. When aligned with broader health system goals, they can drive meaningful change. When treated as stand-alone fixes, they offer only temporary gains. The real opportunity lies in using incentives as part of a larger effort to build a healthcare system that is efficient, equitable, and focused on what truly matters: healthier lives.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad Pakistan and can be reached at kinzayousaf3@gmail.com

Commodity Shocks Impact on Pakistan Economy

Explore the challenges faced by Pakistan's economy between 2019 and 2025 due to global commodity shocks. Discover how COVID-19, the Ukraine war, and climate impacts have affected food security, energy prices, and the livelihoods of households and industries.

Haram Fatima

3/6/2026

In the bazaars of Lahore, Karachi, and Peshawar, the story of recent years is written not in newspaper headlines but on price tags. A kilo of sugar, a liter of cooking oil, or a bag of wheat flour has quietly become a signal of deeper economic pressures shaping everyday life. For a country like Pakistan, global economic shocks rarely remain distant events. When wheat prices rise in the ports of Ukraine along the Black Sea, or when oil traders in London push crude prices upward, the effects travel rapidly through international markets and appear almost immediately in Pakistani markets, affecting household budgets and food security.

Between 2019 and 2025, global commodity markets have experienced one of the most turbulent periods in modern economic history. The outbreak of COVID-19 disrupted production, transportation, and global supply chains, leading to shortages and price spikes across many commodities. Soon after, the Russian invasion of Ukraine further destabilized global grain and energy markets, as both Russia and Ukraine are major exporters of wheat, sunflower oil, and fertilizers. At the same time, climate-related shocks including droughts, floods, and heatwaves have damaged agricultural production in several regions, tightening global food supplies and intensifying price volatility.

For Pakistan, these global disruptions have translated directly into domestic economic pressures. The country relies heavily on imported energy, palm oil, and occasionally wheat to stabilize local supply. When global prices rise, import bills surge, placing additional strain on foreign exchange reserves and fiscal balances. Higher import costs also push up domestic inflation, raising the prices of food, electricity, and transportation. As a result, households, particularly low- and middle-income families, face increasing financial stress, while industries struggle with rising production costs and energy shortages. The combined effect has been a persistent cost-of-

living crisis and a challenging environment for economic stability.

Global Crises and Commodity Market Volatility

To understand Pakistan's current economic pressures, it is essential to examine the sequence of global disruptions that reshaped international commodity markets between 2019 and 2025. What initially appeared to be a period of relative stability quickly transformed into one of the most volatile economic episodes in recent history.

In 2019, global commodity markets were generally stable. Prices for oil, wheat, and other essential goods remained moderate, allowing many importing countries including Pakistan to manage their external balances without extraordinary pressure. However, this stability proved temporary. The emergence of COVID-19 in early 2020 triggered an unprecedented disruption of global economic activity. Lockdowns halted industrial production, reduced transportation, and sharply decreased energy demand worldwide. In an extraordinary event, oil demand collapsed so dramatically that futures for West Texas Intermediate crude oil briefly traded at negative prices in April 2020. For a short period, this decline reduced Pakistan's import costs and offered temporary relief to its balance of payments.

However, the recovery that began in 2021 brought a new set of challenges. As economies reopened, global demand for commodities surged rapidly. Supply chains that had been weakened by lockdowns, container shortages, and labor disruptions struggled to respond. Shipping delays and logistical bottlenecks pushed up the prices of key inputs such as edible oils, coal, fertilizers, and industrial metals.

The situation deteriorated further in February 2022 with the outbreak of the Russian invasion of Ukraine. Both Russia and Ukraine are among the world's leading exporters of wheat, sunflower oil, and fertilizers. The disruption of

Black Sea shipping routes and the imposition of economic sanctions significantly reduced global supply, causing sharp increases in food and energy prices. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization, the global Food Price Index rose dramatically during this period, reflecting widespread inflation in staple commodities.

For Pakistan, already facing fiscal constraints and declining foreign exchange reserves, these global shocks intensified inflationary pressures, weakened purchasing power, and created severe challenges for economic stabilization.

From Chicago to Karachi: How Global Prices Drive Local Inflation

The transmission of international commodity price shocks to Pakistani households operates through a complex chain of economic mechanisms, with each link amplifying the overall effect on inflation and cost of living. Research by Khan and Ahmed (2011) demonstrated that global fluctuations in oil and food prices significantly influence Pakistan's domestic inflation, interest rates, and output levels, a finding that has been reinforced by subsequent empirical studies.

The most immediate channel is the import bill. Pakistan relies heavily on imports of essential commodities such as wheat (to supplement domestic shortages), palm oil (primarily from Indonesia and Malaysia), and energy products. Even before recent crises, energy imports represented a substantial portion of total foreign expenditure. When global prices surge, the national import bill inflates sharply. In fiscal year 2022, for instance, Pakistan's current account deficit reached \$17.5 billion, over 4.5% of GDP, largely due to rising costs of imported fuel and staple food items (SBP, 2023).

The pressure on foreign exchange reserves triggers another effect. As more dollars are needed to pay for imports, demand for foreign currency rises, weakening the Pakistani rupee. A depreciating rupee, in turn, makes subsequent

imports costlier, creating a self-reinforcing cycle of depreciation and inflation. Econometric studies using vector autoregression models confirm the persistence and magnitude of this feedback loop (Bilqees et al., 2023).

Beyond the import channel, higher energy costs ripple across the economy. Increases in the prices of diesel, petrol, and electricity raise transportation, machinery operation, and irrigation expenses. These costs are passed along supply chains, affecting the prices of bread, vegetables, and consumer goods. Disaggregated analyses indicate that energy price shocks are the most inflationary, while food price spikes directly undermine household welfare, disproportionately impacting low- and middle-income families (Iram et al., 2021). In essence, a price surge in global markets whether in Chicago, Rotterdam, or Singapore translates almost instantly into higher household expenses in Karachi, Lahore, and Peshawar, highlighting Pakistan's vulnerability to external shocks.

The Human Toll of Inflation: Who Bears the Burden?

Inflation in Pakistan is far from neutral; it disproportionately affects the most vulnerable segments of society, who spend a larger portion of their income on necessities such as food, fuel, and energy. During the peak of the crisis in 2023, headline inflation soared to 38%, the highest in South Asia (SBP, 2024). The Sensitive Price Indicator, which specifically tracks essential goods consumed by low-income households, revealed even steeper price increases. Cooking oil prices doubled, wheat flour, a staple in nearly every Pakistani home, became increasingly unaffordable, and the cost of energy surged sharply. According to the World Bank (2024), these combined pressures pushed an additional 5–7% of Pakistan's population below the poverty line between 2022 and 2024. For millions of families, this meant skipping meals, withdrawing children from school, or falling into debt cycles that could take years to escape.

Industrial workers also bore a heavy brunt. Energy price shocks, as documented by Sheeraz et al. (2022), not only reduced GDP per capita but had persistent negative effects on manufacturing output. Energy-intensive sectors, particularly textiles which constitute Pakistan's largest export industry faced skyrocketing production costs. Factories cut shifts, laid off employees, or shut down temporarily. Large-scale manufacturing contracted by over 10% at the height of the crisis, marking a period where

job losses exceeded new employment creation for the first time in years.

The economic impact is uneven across sectors. Research by Bilqees et al. (2023) shows that after major oil price increases, industrial output typically declines for six to twelve months. Simultaneously, a depreciating real exchange rate reduces competitiveness in global markets. Given Pakistan's structural trade deficit, repeated rounds of global price surges exacerbate existing economic imbalances. In sum, inflation in Pakistan is not merely a numerical statistic; it is a lived experience of hardship, pushing households, workers, and entire industries into sustained vulnerability and highlighting the urgent need for economic stabilization and social protection measures.

What Makes Pakistan So Vulnerable?

The empirical literature points to several structural factors that amplify Pakistan's susceptibility to commodity shocks. First, the country's energy mix remains heavily dependent on imported fossil fuels. When global prices spike, the economy has limited ability to substitute toward domestic alternatives in the short run (Sheeraz et al., 2022).

Second, food security remains precarious. Despite being an agricultural country, Pakistan regularly imports substantial quantities of wheat, sugar, and edible oil to meet domestic demand. This import dependence creates a direct channel for global food price transmission that hits household consumption immediately (Iram et al., 2021).

Third, weak foreign exchange reserves limit the country's ability to buffer shocks. Unlike economies with substantial reserves that can smooth out price fluctuations, Pakistan's thin reserves mean that any deterioration in the trade balance quickly translates into currency pressure and inflation (Abbasi & Hussain, 2024).

Fourth, monetary policy faces difficult tradeoffs. Research by Ahmed and colleagues (2024) highlights the two-way relationship between monetary policy and commodity prices. Contractionary policy in response to imported inflation can itself amplify output volatility, leaving policymakers with few good options.

The COVID-19 pandemic and the Ukraine war added new dimensions to this picture. Unlike previous shocks, which were often driven by a single factor (like the 1970s oil embargo), the recent crisis was multi-dimensional: demand

collapse, supply disruption, war, and financial volatility all struck simultaneously. This compounded the difficulty of policy responses.

Building Resilience: Pathways for Pakistan

The pressing question for Pakistan is whether it can reduce its vulnerability to global commodity shocks and build an economy capable of withstanding external volatility. Evidence from research and policy experience points to a set of strategic directions. Energy diversification is paramount. Each dollar spent on imported oil exposes Pakistan directly to global market fluctuations. Expanding domestic energy production through solar, wind, hydro, and indigenous coal and gas can mitigate this dependence. The rapid adoption of solar tube wells in Punjab, despite environmental concerns, demonstrates the potential of decentralized renewable energy to offset imported fuel costs. Analysts argue that reducing reliance on imported energy is essential for long-term macroeconomic stability and for preventing recurrent shocks from reverberating across the economy (Sheeraz et al., 2022).

Food security requires similar attention. Investments in agricultural productivity, including higher-yield seeds, improved irrigation systems, and reduced post-harvest losses, can increase domestic production and lower dependence on imported wheat and edible oil. Strategic commodity reserves provide additional buffers against global price spikes; the 2022–2023 crisis highlighted the high costs of inadequate reserves and poorly functioning grain markets. Complementing these measures, social protection systems must be more responsive. Programs like the Benazir Income Support Program can be designed to expand automatically during crises, delivering extra cash transfers when food or fuel prices spike. Such targeted safety nets can cushion poor households from price shocks without lengthy legislative delays, as emphasized by the World Bank (2024).

Trade and macroeconomic policy are also critical. Diversifying import sources reduces exposure to geopolitical disruptions, while maintaining fiscal discipline and building foreign exchange reserves create buffers to absorb shocks. Countries with strong reserves and lower debt consistently weather commodity volatility better than those with weak fiscal space. The State Bank of Pakistan (2024) underscores that rebuilding policy room through reserve accumulation and prudent fiscal management is essential for crisis resilience.

The period from 2019 to 2025 has illustrated the harsh realities of global interdependence. Empirical research consistently shows that commodity shocks directly affect inflation, output, exchange rates, and household welfare in Pakistan (Khan & Ahmed, 2011; Iram et al., 2021; Bilqees et al., 2023). While the poor bear the brunt, the evidence also points to achievable solutions: energy and food diversification, strategic reserves, responsive safety nets, and disciplined macroeconomic policies.

The alternative repeated crises eroding living standards and increasing debts are unsustainable. Protecting households from global volatility is not a miraculous goal; it is a feasible one, but it demands sustained political will, technical competence, and a long-term strategy. If the last five years have taught anything, it is that shocks will continue. Pakistan's future resilience depends on whether it can prepare now, before the next wave of instability arrives.

Conclusion

The experience of Pakistan between 2019 and 2025 underscores a stark reality: global

commodity shocks are not distant phenomena but immediate and tangible pressures on households, industries, and the national economy. From COVID-19 disruptions to the Ukraine war, combined with climate-induced agricultural losses, the country has faced a series of intertwined crises that exposed structural vulnerabilities in energy, food security, fiscal management, and social protection systems. The poorest households have borne the heaviest burden, as soaring food and energy prices eroded purchasing power, increased poverty, and forced difficult coping strategies, including skipping meals or withdrawing children from school. At the same time, industrial sectors, particularly energy-intensive industries like textiles, have struggled with rising production costs, leading to layoffs, reduced output, and declining competitiveness in international markets.

Yet the research and policy experience suggest that Pakistan's vulnerability is not immutable. Pathways to resilience exist diversifying energy sources, boosting domestic agricultural productivity, establishing strategic reserves, implementing responsive safety nets,

diversifying trade partners, and maintaining fiscal discipline can collectively mitigate the impact of external shocks. The lessons of the past five years emphasize that resilience cannot be an afterthought; it must be built proactively through deliberate policies, investments, and institutional reforms. The alternative, repeating cycles of inflation, poverty, and economic instability, is unsustainable. Pakistan's capacity to withstand future global shocks will depend on its ability to act decisively now, balancing immediate relief with long-term structural reform to protect households, stabilize industries, and safeguard national economic stability.

References: Abbasi & Hussain; Ahmed et al; Bilqees et al; FAO; IMF; Iram et al; Khan & Ahmed; Sheeraz et al; SBP; World Bank.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Institute of Agricultural & Resource Economics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan and can be reached at hharamfatima22@gmail.com

Youth Engagement in Pakistan's Agricultural Modernization

Explore the importance of youth engagement for the sustainability and modernization of Pakistan's agricultural sector, addressing challenges like limited access to resources and negative perceptions, to ensure food security and rural development.

Ayesha Noreen

3/10/2026

Agriculture plays a fundamental role in the economy and rural development of Pakistan. The sector contributes nearly 22 percent to the national Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and remains one of the largest sources of employment in the country. According to the latest Labor Force Survey 2024–25, agriculture employs about 33.1 percent of the national labor force, although this share has declined slightly compared with previous years due to structural changes in the economy and revised international labor classification standards (PBS, 2025).

In rural areas, agriculture continues to be the backbone of livelihoods, providing income and employment to millions of households through crop farming, livestock production, and related activities. For many villages, agricultural activities also stimulate local markets, rural

trade, and small-scale enterprises, making the sector central to overall rural economic stability.

Despite its importance, Pakistan's agricultural sector faces numerous structural challenges. Climate variability, frequent floods and droughts, declining soil fertility, water scarcity, and low productivity remain persistent obstacles to sustainable agricultural growth (World Bank, 2025). In addition, rural poverty and limited access to modern technologies, credit facilities, and extension services continue to constrain the capacity of farmers to improve productivity and resilience. These structural issues are further compounded by the gradual decline in youth participation in agriculture.

A growing number of young people are moving away from farming due to limited income opportunities, unstable market conditions, and the perception that agriculture is a low-status occupation. As a result, many rural youth

migrate to urban centers in search of employment in industrial or service sectors (ILO, 2025). This trend raises concerns about the future sustainability of agricultural systems because youth are often more open to adopting modern technologies, climate-smart practices, digital agriculture tools, and innovative business models that can enhance farm productivity and sustainability (FAO, 2025).

Pakistan has a significant demographic advantage, with nearly 64 percent of its population under the age of 30 (World Bank, 2025). This youthful population presents a major opportunity to transform agriculture into a more dynamic, innovative, and profitable sector. Globally, around 1.3 billion young people aged 15–24 are positioned to shape the future of agri-food systems (FAO, 2025). Therefore, effective policy interventions such as youth-focused agricultural training, improved

rural infrastructure, access to finance, and entrepreneurship programs are essential to encourage rural youth to participate in modern agriculture and contribute to sustainable rural economic growth and national food security (FAO, 2025; PMYP, 2025).

Barriers to Youth Participation in Agriculture in Pakistan

Despite the significant potential benefits of youth engagement in agriculture, several structural and institutional barriers continue to limit their participation in the sector. These challenges discourage young people from pursuing farming as a viable career and reduce the pace of agricultural modernization and rural development.

One of the most critical obstacles is the limited access to land and financial resources. In many rural areas of Pakistan, land ownership remains concentrated among older generations, leaving little opportunity for young people to establish independent farming operations. As a result, many rural youth are either compelled to work as unpaid family labor or seek employment outside agriculture (PBS, 2025). Financial constraints further exacerbate this problem. Agricultural credit has historically been difficult for young farmers to obtain because financial institutions often consider them high-risk borrowers due to their lack of collateral, credit history, and farming experience (FAO, 2025). However, recent initiatives such as the Prime Minister's Youth Program (PMYP) Agriculture Loan Scheme 2025 have attempted to address this challenge by offering loans ranging from PKR 100,000 to PKR 7.5 million with subsidized interest rates between 0% and 7%. Importantly, Tier 1 loans are available without collateral and are specifically designed to support rural youth, women, and agriculture graduates (PMYP, 2025).

Another major barrier is the negative perception of agriculture among young people. Farming is frequently viewed as a low-income and labor-intensive occupation with uncertain returns. Consequently, many rural families encourage their children to pursue employment in urban areas rather than remain in agriculture (ILO, 2025). This perception discourages educated youth from entering the sector, even though modern agriculture increasingly involves agribusiness management, digital technologies, and value-added production systems that can generate higher incomes (FAO, 2025).

Limited access to agricultural education and training also restricts youth participation. While

universities and agricultural institutes offer formal degree programs, many rural youth lack access to practical training in modern farming techniques, climate-smart agriculture, and agribusiness management. Extension services in Pakistan are often oriented toward established farmers rather than newcomers to agriculture (FAO, 2025). Innovative models implemented in other countries, such as mobile agricultural training units used in Timor-Leste for agroforestry education, could provide useful lessons for Pakistan (ILO, 2025).

Finally, weak market access further discourages youth engagement. Small-scale farmers frequently face difficulties accessing reliable markets, fair prices, transportation infrastructure, and storage facilities (World Bank, 2025). Without efficient value chains and profitable market opportunities, agriculture becomes less attractive to young entrepreneurs seeking stable and rewarding livelihoods. Strengthening market linkages and improving rural agribusiness infrastructure will therefore be essential for encouraging greater youth participation in the agricultural sector.

Emerging Opportunities for Youth in Modern Agriculture

Despite the numerous challenges faced by young people in entering the agricultural sector, several emerging trends are creating promising opportunities for youth engagement in agriculture. Technological innovation, expanding agribusiness activities, and the growing focus on climate-resilient farming are transforming traditional agricultural systems and opening new pathways for young entrepreneurs.

One of the most significant developments is the rise of digital agriculture. Digital technologies are rapidly reshaping farming practices across the world by improving access to information, enhancing decision-making, and increasing productivity. Farmers can now obtain real-time information on weather forecasts, crop diseases, soil conditions, and market prices through mobile applications, satellite monitoring systems, and digital advisory platforms (FAO, 2025). In Pakistan, initiatives such as the Agri Stack platform under the Land Information Management System (LIMS) are integrating advisory services, e-commerce platforms, and digital land management tools to support farmers with timely and accurate information (PTV, 2025). Young people, who are generally more familiar with information and communication technologies, are particularly well positioned to adopt and utilize these digital

solutions, making agriculture more efficient and attractive as a career option.

Another important opportunity lies in agribusiness and agricultural value chain development. Modern agriculture is no longer limited to crop cultivation; it now includes activities such as food processing, packaging, branding, logistics, and marketing. These value-added activities create new business opportunities that can increase rural employment and profitability. Youth-led agribusiness ventures can help strengthen agricultural supply chains, reduce post-harvest losses, and connect farmers to larger domestic and international markets (FAO, 2025). According to recent labor statistics, entrepreneurial and gig-based employment is also gradually expanding in Pakistan, with approximately 2.9 percent of workers engaged in gig-based primary jobs (PBS, 2025). This trend indicates growing opportunities for young entrepreneurs to participate in agriculture-related services and digital marketplaces.

Climate-smart agriculture also offers a promising pathway for youth engagement. Climate change poses serious threats to agricultural productivity through rising temperatures, water scarcity, and extreme weather events. Globally, around 395 million rural youth live in regions expected to experience declining agricultural productivity due to climate change (FAO, 2025). Climate-smart agricultural practices such as efficient water management, improved crop varieties, conservation agriculture, and sustainable soil management aim to increase productivity while reducing environmental impacts and enhancing resilience to climate shocks (FAO, 2025; World Bank, 2025). By engaging young farmers in climate-smart innovations, agriculture can become more sustainable while ensuring long-term food security and environmental protection for future generations.

Policy Measures to Promote Youth Engagement in Agriculture

To encourage greater participation of young people in agriculture, policymakers must design targeted strategies that address the structural barriers limiting youth involvement in the sector. Effective policies should focus on improving access to education, finance, technology, and infrastructure while creating an enabling environment for youth-led agricultural innovation and entrepreneurship.

Strengthening agricultural education and skills development is an essential first step.

Governments should expand vocational and technical training programs that equip rural youth with knowledge of modern farming practices, agribusiness management, and digital agriculture technologies (FAO, 2025). Agricultural universities and research institutions can play a key role in designing youth-oriented training initiatives, practical field programs, and entrepreneurship workshops. Inspired by successful initiatives in other countries, Pakistan could also introduce mobile agricultural training units and online learning platforms to reach young people in remote rural areas who have limited access to formal education and extension services (ILO, 2025).

Improving access to financial resources is equally important. Many young farmers struggle to obtain credit due to a lack of collateral and limited financial history. Financial institutions should therefore develop youth-friendly agricultural credit programs that include low-interest loans, start-up grants, and microfinance schemes designed specifically for young farmers and agribusiness entrepreneurs (World Bank, 2025). Building on the success of the Prime Minister's Youth Program (PMYP) Loan Scheme 2025, the government should expand its coverage and simplify application procedures to ensure wider participation among rural youth (PMYP, 2025).

Encouraging youth agribusiness entrepreneurship can also stimulate innovation and employment in rural areas. Governments can establish agricultural innovation hubs and business incubators that provide mentorship, technical training, and financial support for youth-led agribusiness startups (FAO, 2025). Public-private partnerships can further help connect young entrepreneurs with markets, investors, and agricultural technology providers.

The expansion of digital agricultural services is another priority. Digital platforms can deliver

real-time information on weather forecasts, pest management, and market prices, helping farmers make informed decisions (FAO, 2025). Expanding rural digital infrastructure will make platforms such as LIMS and Agri Stack accessible through smartphones, increasing the efficiency of agricultural advisory services (PTV, 2025).

Investments in rural infrastructure are also necessary to strengthen agricultural value chains. Improved rural roads, storage facilities, and market centers can reduce post-harvest losses and increase farm profitability (World Bank, 2025). Such improvements can make agriculture more economically attractive for young people.

Finally, policies must promote inclusion by supporting women and marginalized youth. Recent labor statistics show rising female entrepreneurship and a narrowing gender wage gap in Pakistan (PBS, 2025). Policymakers should build on this progress by ensuring equal access to land, credit, training, and technology for women and disadvantaged youth groups. By strengthening cooperation among government institutions, universities, the private sector, and development partners, Pakistan can create a supportive ecosystem that encourages youth participation, fosters innovation, and promotes sustainable agricultural development.

Conclusion

Youth engagement is essential for the long-term sustainability and modernization of Pakistan's agricultural sector. As agriculture continues to provide livelihoods for millions of rural households and contributes significantly to the national economy, the declining participation of young people presents a serious challenge for the future of rural development and food security. Structural barriers such as limited access to land and finance, inadequate training opportunities, weak market linkages, and negative perceptions about farming have discouraged many young individuals from

pursuing agriculture as a viable career. If these issues remain unaddressed, the sector may struggle to maintain productivity and adapt to emerging challenges such as climate change, resource scarcity, and increasing food demand.

At the same time, Pakistan's large youth population presents a powerful opportunity to transform the agricultural sector. Young people are generally more open to adopting digital technologies, climate-smart farming practices, and innovative agribusiness models that can improve productivity and profitability. Emerging opportunities in digital agriculture, value chain development, and sustainable farming systems demonstrate that agriculture can evolve into a modern and dynamic sector capable of generating employment and economic growth.

Realizing this potential requires coordinated policy action. Expanding youth-focused agricultural education, improving access to finance, strengthening rural infrastructure, and promoting agribusiness entrepreneurship can create an enabling environment for young farmers. By integrating youth into agricultural development strategies, Pakistan can revitalize rural economies, enhance food security, and ensure a more resilient and sustainable agricultural future.

References: FAO; ILO; Pakistan Bureau of Statistics; Prime Minister's Youth Program; Pakistan Television; World Bank.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Institute of Business Management Sciences (IBMS), University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan and can be reached at ayesha_noreen@uaf.edu.pk

Impact of Climate Change on Pakistan's Livestock Sector

Explore how climate change poses an immediate threat to Pakistan's livestock sector, affecting rural livelihoods, food security, and public health. Rising temperatures and extreme weather events are reducing animal productivity and increasing disease outbreaks.

Ehsanullah, Aliza Ahsan Ali & Naima Waheed

3/26/2026

In Pakistan, the visible face of climate change is often portrayed through dramatic events such as glacial melt, erratic monsoon rainfall, and devastating floods. While these shocks capture public and policy attention, a quieter yet more persistent crisis is unfolding within the livestock sector. This hidden dimension of climate change is steadily eroding rural livelihoods, weakening food systems, and posing emerging risks to public health. The increasing incidence and spread of livestock diseases, which are caused by changing temperature regimes, humidity patterns, and ecological disruptions represent a critical but under-recognized challenge.

Livestock remains the backbone of Pakistan's rural economy, contributing approximately 60.6 percent to the agriculture sector and around 14 percent to national GDP, according to the Pakistan Economic Survey 2022–23. More than 8 million rural households depend on livestock for income, nutrition, and financial resilience. Cattle, buffalo, sheep, and goats serve as vital assets, providing milk, meat, draft power, and a buffer against economic shocks. However, the sustainability of this sector is increasingly threatened by climate-induced stressors.

Rising temperatures are intensifying heat stress in animals, reducing feed intake, fertility, and milk production. At the same time, changing rainfall patterns and increased humidity are creating favorable conditions for the proliferation of disease vectors such as ticks, mosquitoes, and flies. These vectors facilitate the spread of infections including foot-and-mouth disease, hemorrhagic septicemia, and parasitic infestations. Moreover, prolonged droughts and floods weaken animal immunity by limiting access to quality feed and clean water, further increasing disease susceptibility.

As highlighted by recent studies, climate change is effectively reshaping the epidemiology of livestock diseases in Pakistan. Without timely adaptation measures, this evolving threat could significantly undermine rural incomes, disrupt food supply chains, and intensify poverty in already vulnerable communities.

Climate-Induced Livestock Vulnerability in Pakistan

Climate change is exerting a profound and multifaceted impact on livestock health in Pakistan, with heat stress emerging as one of the most immediate physiological challenges. Rising ambient temperatures, coupled with increasing frequency of heatwaves, directly impair animal metabolism and immune function. Heat-stressed animals exhibit reduced feed intake, lower fertility rates, and diminished milk and meat productivity. High-yielding exotic breeds such as Holstein Friesians are particularly susceptible due to their limited adaptability to tropical climates. This physiological strain weakens disease resistance, making livestock more vulnerable to opportunistic infections and exacerbating farm-level disease burdens.

Simultaneously, climate change is reshaping the epidemiology of vector-borne diseases by altering the habitats and life cycles of disease-carrying organisms. Warmer temperatures and fluctuating humidity levels have facilitated the expansion of ticks, mosquitoes, and flies into new ecological zones. This shift has heightened the risk of zoonotic diseases, notably Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever (CCHF), which has shown increasing incidence in Pakistan. The rise in tick populations during prolonged hot and dry spells has intensified disease transmission between animals and humans, posing serious public health concerns. Additionally, the potential emergence of diseases such as Rift Valley Fever underscores the growing threat posed by changing vector ecology in the region.

Extreme weather events further compound these challenges. The devastating floods of 2022 led to massive livestock mortality and displacement, while also creating conditions conducive to disease outbreaks. Contaminated water sources and degraded feed supplies triggered the spread of bacterial infections and parasitic diseases, including hemorrhagic septicemia and foot-and-mouth disease. These outbreaks placed immense pressure on already strained veterinary services. In contrast,

recurrent droughts in regions such as Balochistan and Tharparkar result in acute shortages of water and pasture, forcing pastoralists to migrate. This movement increases herd interactions, facilitating the spread of transboundary animal diseases. Moreover, chronic malnutrition during drought periods weakens animal immunity, perpetuating a cycle of disease susceptibility, productivity loss, and rural economic vulnerability.

Zoonotic Risks and the Imperative for a One Health Strategy in Pakistan

The growing burden of zoonotic diseases represents a critical intersection between livestock health and public health in Pakistan. Globally, more than 60% of emerging infectious diseases originate from animals, and Pakistan's dense human-livestock interface significantly amplifies the risk of disease spillover. Individuals working near animals including farmers, butchers, veterinarians, and dairy handlers face continuous exposure to pathogens, often in environments with limited biosecurity. The recent experience of the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated how a localized zoonotic transmission can escalate into a global crisis with devastating health and economic consequences. In Pakistan, the rising incidence of Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever and the persistent threat of Avian Influenza (H5N1) in poultry systems highlight the urgency of strengthening preventive mechanisms. Without proactive intervention, these risks could evolve into large-scale outbreaks, further straining already fragile healthcare and veterinary systems.

Addressing this complex challenge requires a fundamental shift toward the One Health framework, which emphasizes the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health. Rather than operating in silos, institutions must adopt coordinated, cross-sectoral strategies that integrate disease surveillance, environmental monitoring, and public health planning. Evidence suggests that the cost of prevention through such integrated systems is significantly lower than the economic fallout of a major epidemic,

reinforcing the need for early investment and institutional alignment.

Strengthening Pakistan's capacity to manage zoonotic risks demands targeted interventions across multiple domains. First, veterinary infrastructure must be expanded by increasing the availability of trained field veterinarians, establishing mobile clinics, and upgrading diagnostic laboratories to enable rapid disease detection. Second, the development of real-time, climate-sensitive surveillance systems leveraging digital technologies can facilitate early warning and targeted immunization campaigns. Third, promoting climate-smart livestock practices, including improved housing, biosecurity protocols, and resilient fodder systems, can reduce disease exposure under extreme weather conditions. Finally, investment in research and innovation is essential. Institutions such as University of Agriculture Faisalabad can play a pivotal role in developing locally adapted vaccines, diagnostic tools, and evidence-based policy solutions tailored to Pakistan's unique agro-ecological conditions.

Conclusion

Climate change is no longer a distant environmental concern but an immediate and systemic threat to Pakistan's livestock sector, with far-reaching implications for rural livelihoods, food security, and public health. The evidence presented in this article underscores how rising temperatures, shifting precipitation patterns, and extreme weather events are not only reducing animal productivity but also accelerating the spread and emergence of infectious and zoonotic diseases. This evolving risk landscape is particularly alarming in a country where millions of rural households depend on livestock as a primary source of income and nutrition.

The convergence of animal health challenges with human health risks highlights the urgency of adopting integrated and forward-looking policy responses. Strengthening veterinary infrastructure, investing in climate-resilient livestock practices, and developing real-time disease surveillance systems are no longer optional they are essential pillars of sustainable agricultural development. Equally important is

the institutionalization of the One Health approach, ensuring coordination between agricultural, health, and environmental sectors to effectively manage complex disease dynamics.

Ultimately, building resilience in Pakistan's livestock sector requires a proactive rather than reactive strategy. Policymakers, researchers, and practitioners must work collaboratively to anticipate risks, invest in innovation, and empower farming communities with the knowledge and tools needed to adapt. Without decisive action, the hidden crisis of livestock diseases will continue to deepen, undermining both economic stability and public health outcomes.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writers are affiliated with the *Department of Pathology*, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad Pakistan and can be reached at sanoakhtar@gmail.com

RURAL INNOVATION

Challenges in Agricultural Marketing Strategies

Explore the critical challenges faced by farmers in modern agricultural marketing, highlighting the importance of market integration and strategic planning from production to consumer engagement.

Mithat Direk

3/20/2026

Marketing of agricultural products is often narrowly interpreted, leading to analytical and policy misunderstandings that obscure the real constraints faced by farmers. A common misconception is that marketing begins only after harvest and is limited to the physical act of selling produce. Marketing is a comprehensive process that starts well before production decisions are made and continues through pricing, storage, transportation, processing, and final delivery to consumers. It involves understanding consumer preferences, anticipating demand trends, and aligning production choices accordingly. When farmers fail to integrate these elements into their planning, they become price takers in volatile markets rather than strategic participants.

Another critical misunderstanding is the assumption that market demand is highly flexible. In practice, aggregate demand for agricultural commodities tends to be relatively stable and grows slowly over time. As highlighted by the OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook, demand increases at a modest pace, reflecting population growth and gradual dietary changes rather than sharp fluctuations. This implies that short-term imbalances in agricultural markets are more often driven by supply-side variations than by shifts in demand.

Consequently, the so-called “marketing problem” in agriculture is less about the absence of buyers and more about inefficiencies in timing, coordination, and market integration. When large volumes of produce enter the market simultaneously, especially during harvest seasons, prices decline due to excess supply. Conversely, inadequate storage, weak value chains, and limited processing capacity prevent farmers from smoothing supply over time. Addressing these structural issues requires a shift from viewing marketing as a post-harvest activity to recognizing it as an integral component of farm management and agricultural planning.

Aligning Production with Evolving Consumer Demand

In modern agricultural systems, the traditional separation between production and marketing is rapidly disappearing, giving way to a more integrated, consumer-oriented approach. Today’s consumers are no longer satisfied with basic food availability; instead, they demand diversity, quality, convenience, and health-oriented attributes in the products they purchase. Recent global evidence suggests that nearly half of consumer choices are influenced by health considerations, while convenience-driven consumption accounts for a similarly large share of food purchases. These shifting preferences fundamentally reshape how agricultural production decisions must be made.

As a result, marketing can no longer be viewed as an activity that begins after harvest. Rather, it must be embedded at the very start of the production cycle. Farmers and agribusinesses need to anticipate demand by analyzing consumer trends, dietary transitions, and emerging lifestyle patterns. For instance, younger consumer groups increasingly prefer high-value and specialty products such as premium fruits, ready-to-eat vegetables, and niche organic items. These preferences reflect not only nutritional concerns but also experiential consumption, where food is valued for its uniqueness and convenience.

This transformation implies that successful farming now depends on informed planning and market intelligence. Producers who align their crop selection, production methods, and quality standards with consumer demand are more likely to achieve stable market access and better prices. In contrast, failure to anticipate market trends can lead to oversupply of less demanded products and subsequent income losses. Therefore, integrating consumer insights into production planning is essential for improving efficiency, reducing marketing risks, and enhancing farm profitability in an increasingly competitive agricultural economy.

Innovation, Differentiation, and the Imperative of Sustained Agricultural Support

Agricultural marketing has undergone a structural transformation, shifting from a supply-driven model to a consumer-oriented paradigm where value creation extends beyond price competitiveness. In contemporary markets, differentiation has become a central strategy for enhancing product appeal and capturing consumer attention. Simple innovations such as creative packaging, mixed product presentation, or providing information about origin and production practices can significantly influence purchasing decisions. Consumers increasingly evaluate products based on attributes such as quality, safety, traceability, taste, and overall experience. This evolution reflects a broader transition toward value-added agriculture, where branding, storytelling, and product positioning play critical roles in market success.

In this context, multi-channel marketing strategies have gained prominence. Producers and agribusinesses now utilize a combination of traditional media and digital platforms to reach diverse consumer segments. The integration of social media, e-commerce, and data-driven marketing tools enables more precise targeting and better communication of product attributes. As a result, marketing is no longer a passive function but an active, strategic component of agricultural value chains that directly influences profitability.

At the same time, the need for consistent and well-designed agricultural support remains fundamental. Unlike industrial production, agriculture is inherently seasonal, exposed to climatic uncertainties, and characterized by biological production cycles. These features limit farmers’ ability to respond quickly to market signals and justify the need for policy support. However, such support should not be viewed as a distortion but as a stabilizing mechanism that ensures food security, rural

livelihoods, and sustainable production systems.

Future growth in agriculture is expected to rely predominantly on productivity improvements rather than land expansion. This underscores the importance of investments in research, innovation, and extension services. A balanced approach, combining market-oriented innovation with sustained institutional support, will be essential to ensure that agricultural systems remain competitive, resilient, and capable of meeting evolving consumer demands.

Price Elasticity, Food Security, and the Role of Regional Systems in Agricultural Markets

Agricultural commodities occupy a unique position in economic systems because they are essential for human survival, resulting in inherently low-price elasticity of demand. Consumers cannot significantly reduce consumption of staple foods even when prices rise, nor can they increase consumption proportionately when prices fall. This structural characteristic stabilizes demand but simultaneously amplifies price volatility when supply fluctuates. Even modest production shocks, caused by droughts, floods, or input cost surges, can trigger disproportionate price movements. Recent projections indicate a slight softening of global agricultural prices, yet underlying risks linked to climate variability, trade disruptions, and rising production costs continue to create uncertainty in markets.

At the same time, global food security challenges remain deeply concerning. With the world population expected to reach approximately 8.5 billion by 2030, the demand for food will increase steadily. However, expansion of agricultural land is highly constrained, placing greater emphasis on productivity growth, technological innovation, and efficient resource use. Despite global production gains, food insecurity persists at alarming levels, driven not only by supply constraints but also by conflicts, income inequality, and weak distribution systems. This highlights a critical paradox: food shortages often coexist with adequate global production due to inefficiencies in market integration and access.

In this context, the importance of regional production systems becomes evident. Agricultural markets are not perfectly integrated at the global level, and reliance on imports exposes countries to external shocks and price volatility. Strengthening domestic

production capacity and ensuring sustainable resource management are therefore essential for resilience. At the same time, fair and transparent trade systems remain necessary to balance regional deficits and surpluses. A strategic combination of local self-reliance and efficient global trade is crucial for achieving long-term food security and stable agricultural markets.

Addressing Distribution Inequity and Strengthening Agricultural Marketing Systems

A critical challenge in global agriculture is not the absolute level of food production but the inequitable distribution of available resources. While aggregate food demand, both nationally and globally, remains relatively stable, access to food varies widely across regions and socioeconomic groups. This imbalance creates a paradox where surplus production coexists with persistent hunger and malnutrition. Structural inequalities in income, infrastructure, and market access allow some populations to overconsume while others face chronic food insecurity. Evidence suggests that import-dependent regions are particularly vulnerable, as rising energy costs and global market disruptions disproportionately increase their exposure to food shortages and price instability.

These disparities highlight deep-rooted distortions within agricultural marketing systems. Weak supply chains, inadequate storage, poor transportation networks, and limited market integration prevent efficient movement of food from surplus to deficit areas. As a result, farmers may experience low prices due to localized oversupply, while consumers in other regions face high prices or limited availability. This disconnect underscores that the issue is not production failure but systemic inefficiency.

Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive and forward-looking marketing approach. Agricultural marketing must be understood as an integrated process that begins before production decisions are made and extends through distribution, value addition, and final consumption. Effective systems should incorporate market intelligence, infrastructure development, transparent pricing mechanisms, and inclusive policies that protect both producers and consumers.

Ultimately, sustainable agricultural development depends on creating balanced markets where efficiency and equity coexist. Strengthening the link between production and consumption through improved marketing

systems is essential for reducing food insecurity, stabilizing prices, and ensuring that the benefits of agricultural production are shared more fairly across society.

Conclusion

The analysis of modern agricultural marketing underscores that the challenges faced by farmers are not merely a consequence of insufficient demand or post-harvest sales inefficiencies. Instead, the real constraints arise from systemic gaps in market integration, distribution, and planning. Marketing in agriculture must be recognized as a comprehensive, strategic process that begins before production and continues through pricing, storage, transportation, processing, and consumer engagement. By aligning production with evolving consumer preferences such as health-conscious choices, convenience, and specialty products, farmers can reduce market risks and enhance profitability.

Innovation and differentiation are central to contemporary agricultural marketing. Value creation now extends beyond price competitiveness to include product quality, traceability, presentation, and experiential appeal. Multi-channel marketing strategies that integrate traditional media, digital platforms, and e-commerce facilitate better market reach and consumer engagement. At the same time, consistent institutional support is necessary to address agriculture's inherent seasonality, biological cycles, and susceptibility to climate shocks. Investments in research, extension services, and sustainable practices are essential for boosting productivity and ensuring resilience.

Furthermore, global food security is intricately linked to equitable distribution and robust regional production systems. While overall production is sufficient, inefficiencies in supply chains and trade disparities create persistent food insecurity. Strengthening local systems, promoting fair trade, and integrating consumer intelligence into production planning are critical steps toward stabilizing markets. Ultimately, a balanced, market-oriented, and equity-focused approach is key to sustainable agricultural development, ensuring that both producers and consumers benefit while minimizing vulnerability to shocks.

References: Brush Art Corporation; Global Growth Insights; OECD; FAO; The Journal Nigeria; The Packer; World Bank; WFP.

The Role of Food Storage in Modern Systems

Explore how food storage is essential for food security and agricultural production. Learn about its evolution from ancient techniques to modern processing methods that ensure a stable supply year-round.

Mithat Direk

3/27/2026

Walk into any supermarket today, and you are greeted with mountains of apples, neatly stacked grains, and an apparent abundance that feels permanent. This visual certainty creates a powerful illusion that food supply is continuous and effortless. What sustains this consistency is not just production, but the often-overlooked system of storage. It is this silent infrastructure that transforms seasonal harvests into year-round availability, making modern food systems possible.

Agriculture operates within strict biological and climatic cycles. Most crops are harvested once annually in summer, rice at the end of monsoon cycles, and fruits like apples during specific seasonal windows. Yet human consumption is continuous and non-negotiable. This fundamental mismatch between periodic production and daily consumption creates a temporal gap that must be managed efficiently. Storage serves as the critical mechanism that bridges this gap, stabilizing supply across time and shielding societies from seasonal volatility.

The importance of storage extends beyond convenience; it underpins food security, price stability, and economic resilience. Without effective storage systems, post-harvest losses would surge, leading to shortages, price spikes, and increased vulnerability, particularly in low-income regions. In countries like Türkiye, where supply chains are often fragmented, inadequate storage contributes significantly to food waste and market inefficiencies.

Consider the simple act of eating an apple months after harvest. This is not merely preservation, it is a carefully managed process involving temperature control, humidity regulation, and protection from spoilage. The apple appears fresh, but it represents a coordinated system working behind the scenes. Storage, therefore, is not a passive activity; it is an active, strategic component of agricultural economics. Without it, the promise of

abundance would quickly collapse into cycles of feast and famine.

Storage as the Foundation of Civilization and Modern Food Systems

The ability to store food marks one of the most transformative turning points in human history. Early human societies lived as nomadic hunter-gatherers, consuming resources as they found them, with little capacity for surplus or long-term planning. Survival depended on mobility and immediate availability of food. The transition to settled life, enabling agriculture, permanent settlements, and eventually complex civilizations, became possible only when humans learned to preserve and store food. Techniques such as drying grains, curing meat, and protecting harvests from spoilage allowed communities to accumulate surplus, reduce uncertainty, and invest time in activities beyond food acquisition. In this sense, storage is not merely an agricultural practice; it is a foundational pillar of economic and social development.

In modern food systems, preservation operates through three primary pathways, each addressing different dimensions of time, efficiency, and resource use. The first is fresh consumption, where produce is consumed shortly after harvest. This approach maintains nutritional quality and sensory attributes but is inherently time-bound, as most fresh commodities deteriorate rapidly due to microbial activity and physiological changes.

The second pathway is drying, one of the oldest and most resilient preservation techniques. By reducing moisture content to levels that inhibit microbial growth, drying ensures long-term storability of staples such as grains, pulses, and dried fruits. Its low technological requirements make it particularly valuable in resource-constrained settings, including rural areas of Türkiye.

The third pathway is cooling, which extends shelf life by slowing biochemical and microbial processes. Modern cold storage systems allow perishable goods to be preserved for extended periods, but they require precise environmental control. Each commodity has specific temperature and humidity requirements, making cold storage a technically demanding yet indispensable component of contemporary supply chains. Together, these methods sustain continuous food availability across seasons.

From Preservation to Transformation: Storage, Processing, and Food System Resilience

Beyond simple preservation, the modern food system relies on a transformative pathway that converts raw agricultural commodities into value-added products. This is the domain of the food processing industry, where wheat becomes flour and bread, milk is converted into cheese and yogurt, and fruits are processed into juices, jams, or dried snacks. Processing fundamentally extends the concept of storage by not only increasing shelf life but also enhancing utility, safety, and marketability. A processed product is often more stable than its raw counterpart, less vulnerable to spoilage, and easier to transport and distribute across long distances.

This transformation also introduces new dimensions of value, improved taste, texture, nutritional fortification, and convenience. For urban consumers with limited time and storage capacity, processed foods bridge the gap between agricultural production and daily consumption needs. In countries like Türkiye, the expansion of agro-processing industries has significant implications for rural incomes, employment generation, and reduction of post-harvest losses.

When combined with fresh consumption, drying, and cooling, processing completes an integrated system that ensures food availability across time and space. This system operates so

efficiently that it creates an illusion of continuous production. Consumers perceive supermarket shelves as constantly replenished, rarely considering the intricate chain of harvesting, storing, transporting, and transforming that underpins this availability. In reality, this perceived continuity is a sophisticated achievement of logistics, infrastructure, and preservation science.

The importance of such systems becomes even more pronounced in the context of climate change, population growth, and increasing supply chain volatility. Storage and processing act as buffers against shocks, allowing surplus production in favorable seasons to offset deficits during adverse periods. However, these systems are not without vulnerabilities. They depend heavily on reliable energy, infrastructure, and management. Disruptions such as power failures, inadequate storage facilities, or poor handling practices can lead to substantial losses. Strengthening storage and processing capacity is therefore essential not only for efficiency but also for long-term food security and resilience.

Recognizing the Invisible Pillar of Food Systems

Storage remains one of the most underappreciated yet indispensable components of modern agriculture. When we consume food, we rarely consider the complex chain of preservation that we made available at that exact moment. The apple in our hand does not reveal the months it spent in controlled storage, nor does a bag of rice indicate the silos and systems that protected it from spoilage. Yet behind every meal that is not harvested and consumed on the same day lies an intricate network of drying, cooling, and processing, each step carefully

designed to extend usability and maintain quality.

This invisible chain represents centuries of accumulated knowledge, technological advancement, and coordinated human effort. From traditional sun-drying techniques to advanced cold storage logistics, storage systems reflect both historical ingenuity and modern scientific precision. In countries like Türkiye, where post-harvest losses remain a significant challenge, strengthening these systems is essential for improving food security and reducing economic waste.

Despite its critical role, storage rarely features in public narratives about agriculture. It lacks the visibility of cultivation or the appeal of “farm-to-table” movements, yet it underpins both. The seamless availability of food in markets is not a reflection of constant production but a testament to effective preservation and distribution systems working behind the scenes.

As global challenges such as climate change, population growth, and supply chain disruptions intensify, the importance of storage infrastructure will only increase. Recognizing its value is the first step toward investing in more resilient and efficient food systems. What appears to be ordinary, a stocked pantry or a full supermarket shelf, is the outcome of one of humanity’s most significant and enduring achievements: the ability to preserve abundance across time.

Conclusion

Storage is the silent enabler of modern food systems, transforming seasonal agricultural production into a stable, year-round supply that sustains economies and societies. As this article

has shown, the apparent abundance in markets is not a result of continuous production, but of carefully managed systems of preservation, processing, and distribution. From ancient drying techniques to sophisticated cold storage and food processing industries, storage has evolved into a cornerstone of food security, economic stability, and human development.

In countries like Türkiye, where post-harvest losses, fragmented supply chains, and climate vulnerabilities persist, strengthening storage infrastructure is not merely a technical improvement, it is a strategic necessity. Efficient storage reduces waste, stabilizes prices, supports farmers’ incomes, and ensures that food remains accessible even during periods of disruption. Moreover, as climate change and population pressures intensify, the role of storage as a buffer against uncertainty becomes even more critical.

Ultimately, storage is far more than a background process; it is a foundational system that sustains the continuity of human life. Recognizing its importance is essential for building resilient, efficient, and inclusive food systems. What appears to be ordinary fully stocked, fully stocked shelf is, in fact, the result of one of humanity’s most transformative and enduring innovations.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Agricultural Economics, Selcuk University, Konya-Türkiye and can be reached at mdirek@selcuk.edu.tr

Pakistan's WTO Agriculture Challenges

Explore Pakistan's experience under the WTO agreement on agriculture, highlighting the challenges of trade liberalization and its impact on domestic agricultural development.

Syed Ali Ummar & Syed Ali Akbar

3/13/2026

Under the AoA, members agreed to negotiate in three areas: market access, domestic support, and export subsidies. In terms of market access, non-tariff barriers were converted into tariffs through a process known as tariffication. Developed countries committed to average tariff reductions of 36 percent, while developing nations such as Pakistan committed to average reductions of 24 percent, with a minimum reduction of 10 percent per product line (Chishti & Malik, 2001).

The AoA classifies domestic support into three policy boxes: the Amber Box (trade-distorting subsidies), the Blue Box (production-limiting programs), and the Green Box (minimally trade-distorting support such as research, environmental protection, and rural development). Empirical data indicates that Green Box and Blue Box provisions are structurally biased toward developed countries, which can continue providing substantial support to their agricultural sectors without violating WTO regulations (Khan & Ashfaq, 2018). Global notifications to the WTO in 2018 showed Amber Box support at USD 62.5 billion, Blue Box support at USD 6.4 billion, and Green Box support at USD 265.5 billion, reinforcing the systemic favoritism toward high-income nations.

Pakistan's experience illustrates the asymmetry between bound and applied tariffs. While the bound tariff ceiling for agricultural products averages 60.8 percent, the applied Most Favored Nation (MFN) tariff is approximately 10.4 percent, suggesting that Pakistan has theoretical policy space to increase tariffs if necessary (WTO Trade Policy Review, 1995). However, this space has remained largely unused due to budgetary and administrative constraints. For Pakistan's principal exportable commodities like cotton, rice, and sugar, the Aggregate Measurement of Support (AMS) has been negative, indicating minimal trade-distorting domestic support (Sharif et al., 2008). Export subsidies have been virtually eliminated in compliance with WTO obligations, though initial notifications flagged freight and

marketing support to exporters. These empirical indicators suggest that Pakistan suffers from a relative structural disadvantage compared to developed nations that maintain extensive subsidization (Khan & Ashfaq, 2018).

Current Trade Performance and Structural Challenges

Despite theoretical expectations that international competition should improve efficiency and expand production in the most productive sectors, Pakistan's agriculture faces severe structural barriers. The sector is characterized by a large and growing number of smallholders. According to the latest census, there are approximately 11.8 million farmers in Pakistan, with 95 percent owning less than five hectares and only a few animals (Ali, 2026). This fragmentation limits economies of scale and constrains farmers' ability to respond to liberalization.

Recent trade data reveals deepening challenges. In the first half of fiscal year 2026, rice exports including Basmati, the country's flagship agricultural export, fell by nearly 50 percent compared to the same period last year. This decline has been attributed not to cyclical factors but to systemic failures, including high energy costs, expensive financing, inflated inland transport costs, and rising farm input prices (Hussain, 2026). The situation is further complicated by the fact that a significant number of Pakistani rice exporters have established overseas entities to re-route exports through the United Arab Emirates, Kenya, Madagascar, and other African countries, allowing them to maximize rebates while inflating declared prices. Meanwhile, India has overtaken Pakistan in both coarse and premium Basmati rice markets, including segments traditionally dominated by Pakistani exports in the United Kingdom, European Union, Saudi Arabia, and Gulf Cooperation Council countries (Hussain, 2026).

Beyond rice, broader agricultural trade performance remains concerning. The sector generates an annual trade deficit of

approximately USD 4 billion, with the actual deficit likely higher when imported input costs are included (Ali, 2026). Projections for fiscal year 2026 indicate that cotton imports could rise by USD 1.06 billion, while textile exports may decline by USD 300 million. Rice and sugar exports could fall by another USD 561 million, together inflicting a USD 1.93 billion blow to Pakistan's current account (Climate Finance Pakistan, 2026).

Developmental Implications and Market Structure

Several structural factors limit agriculture's ability to contribute to rural development under the current policy framework. Productivity growth has lagged significantly behind population growth. Over the past 25 years, wheat yields increased from 878 kilograms to 1,322.5 kilograms per acre, a compound annual growth rate of just 1.66 percent, compared with a population growth rate of 2.5 percent (Daily Times, 2026b). Similar low yield growth has been observed for rice, sugarcane, rapeseed, mustard, and potatoes. Decades of underinvestment in agricultural research have limited the development of high-yielding, climate-resilient seed varieties.

Harvest and post-harvest losses further constrain output, with estimates suggesting up to 10 percent losses for grains and oilseeds and 30 percent for fruits and vegetables (Daily Times, 2026b). Poor storage, outdated equipment, and contamination risks have led to millions of tonnes of produce being wasted and export shipments rejected due to aflatoxin. The supply chain itself remains dominated by traditional wholesale markets governed by provincial legislation commonly referred to as the Market Produce Act, which requires buying and selling to take place within officially notified wholesale markets. This system concentrates trading power among commission agents (arthis) who provide credit to farmers and control access to trading space, creating cycles of dependence that limit farmers' bargaining power (Hanif, 2026). Despite the

expansion of digital marketplaces, technology platforms currently handle no more than 2 to 3 percent of fruit and vegetable volumes, leaving the broader supply chain largely unchanged.

Value chain development remains minimal. Agricultural commodities often fail to meet the quality standards demanded in international markets, reflected in lower export prices compared to world averages. The agriculture market system, distant from producers and controlled by intermediaries, does not transmit consumer demand for quality back to farmers (Ali, 2026). Limited processing of agricultural products in rural areas means Pakistan fails to capture value addition that could generate employment and diversify rural incomes. Experts estimate that if Pakistan could attain yields, quality, and processing at par with world averages, agricultural stakeholders could additionally earn USD 22 billion, with further gains possible through diversification to high-value crops (Ali, 2026).

Policy Responses and Recommendations

Several important non-tariff aspects of the AoA affect Pakistan's agriculture sector structurally. While the agreement's Special and Differential Treatment provisions for developing countries provide longer time frames and lower reduction commitments, domestic policy space remains constrained by scarce administrative and financial resources (Sharif et al., 2008). Recent WTO negotiations suggest some evolution in the policy environment. In March 2026, the chair of the Doha agriculture negotiations, Pakistan's Ambassador Ali Sarfraz Hussain, secured approval for a draft text from many members and coalitions ahead of the 14th Ministerial Conference (MC14), though the United States and Cotton-Four countries expressed opposition on key issues (Third World Network, 2026). The draft text includes recognition of the WTO Agreement on Agriculture's role in supporting global trade and

food security since 1995, but significant divergences remain on negotiating approaches.

Sustainable agricultural development under WTO commitments requires balanced policies that integrate trade with capacity building. Experts recommend a focus on crop diversification and value addition, noting Pakistan's comparative advantage in high-value crops such as garlic, turmeric, olives, peas, and groundnuts (Daily Times, 2026b). Supporting small and medium enterprises in agricultural processing, improving supply chains, and securing reliable export markets are crucial for these initiatives to succeed.

Several alternative approaches merit consideration. First, removing policy biases by assuring output prices for low-value uncompetitive crops while ensuring input supplies of seed, seedlings, information, credit and training value chain agents to handle competitive emerging crops. Second, corporate farming models in which corporations provide technologies and training while ensuring output prices through contractual arrangements with farmers. The successful example of corporate-farmer cooperation in maize and potatoes, where yields have increased, exports boosted, and local processing encouraged many-fold in just one decade, demonstrates this model's potential (Ali, 2026). Third, establishing trading platforms furnished with value addition facilities in rural areas, owned by farmer entrepreneur groups, can connect producers directly with markets while ensuring they share proportionately in profits.

Institutional capacity building with particular emphasis on targeted Green Box-compatible programs and mobilizing trade policy flexibility can offer a pathway to sustainable agricultural development. Recent bilateral initiatives, such as tariff concessions with Afghanistan under the Early Harvest Program reducing duties on tomatoes, grapes, pomegranates, and apples, demonstrate the potential for regional trade cooperation to improve market access for agricultural products (Minute Mirror, 2025).

Conclusion

Pakistan's experience under the WTO Agreement on Agriculture illustrates the complex interplay between international trade rules and domestic structural constraints. While trade liberalization creates export opportunities, Pakistan's weak fiscal and institutional capacity limits its ability to utilize Green Box or Blue Box measures that could support agricultural development. The sector's persistent challenges of fragmented landholdings, lagging productivity, inadequate value chain development, and limited processing, require comprehensive policy responses that go beyond trade policy alone.

Empirical evidence confirms that agricultural exports remain concentrated in rice, cotton, and fruits, indicating low diversification and structural reliance on a few products (FAO, 2001). The disparity between support available in Pakistan and developed economies underscores ongoing challenges in achieving equitable access to global markets. To counter these limitations, Pakistan must strategically adopt WTO-compliant policies that support productivity, curtail market distortions, and ensure stability in rural incomes. Without accompanying institutional change and investment in rural infrastructure, research, and technology, liberalization may lead to greater vulnerability rather than increased welfare for the millions who depend on agriculture for their livelihoods.

References: Ali; Chishti & Malik; Climate Finance Pakistan; Daily Times; FAO; Hanif; Hussain; Khan & Ashfaq; Krueger; Minute Mirror; Sadia et al; Sharif et al; Third World Network; WTO.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writers are affiliated with the Institute of Agricultural & Resource Economics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan and University of North America, USA can be reached at syedaliammad22@gmail.com

Tharparkar Desert Flora: Resilient Ecological System

Discover the unique flora of the Tharparkar Desert, a resilient ecological system that supports diverse plant species essential for soil conservation, dune stabilization, and the livelihoods of local communities. Learn how these plants provide food, medicine, fuel, and income.

Nazar Gul, Muhammad Ashraf & Hafiz Abdul Salam

3/18/2026

The flora of the Tharparkar Desert represents a highly specialized and resilient assemblage of plant species that have evolved to survive under extreme arid conditions characterized by high temperatures, erratic rainfall, and saline soils. This unique vegetation structure reflects both ecological adaptation and long-term human-environment interaction. The region hosts a diverse range of plant forms, including trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species, distributed across varied microhabitats such as sand dunes, rocky outcrops, hilly terrains, and saline depressions.

Recent botanical surveys conducted in the Nagarparkar region after monsoon rains have recorded around 89 plant species belonging to 26 families, indicating considerable biodiversity despite harsh environmental constraints. Among these, Poaceae and Fabaceae dominate, reflecting the ecological importance of grasses and legumes in arid ecosystems. Key species such as *Acacia jacquemontii*, *Prosopis cineraria*, *Tecomella undulata*, and *Cenchrus* grasses play vital roles in maintaining ecological balance. They contribute to dune stabilization, prevent soil erosion, and provide essential fodder resources for livestock, thereby supporting pastoral livelihoods.

In addition to ecological functions, many plant species in the region have significant socioeconomic value. Species such as *Azadirachta indica* (Neem), *Salvadora oleoides*, and *Leptadenia pyrotechnica* are widely used for medicinal, fuelwood, and agroforestry purposes. Grasses like *Cenchrus biflorus* and *Cenchrus setigerus* are particularly important for sustaining rangeland productivity and livestock systems.

However, this fragile ecosystem is increasingly under threat. Overgrazing, deforestation, climate variability, and especially the rapid spread of invasive species such as *Prosopis juliflora* are disrupting native biodiversity and ecosystem functions. These pressures highlight the urgent need for conservation strategies to protect the ecological integrity and livelihood value of Tharparkar's flora.

Key Floral Species and Their Ecological and Socioeconomic Roles in Tharparkar

The vegetation of the Tharparkar Desert is characterized by a rich diversity of drought-resistant plant species that provide critical ecological services and sustain rural livelihoods. Among the dominant tree species, *Acacia jacquemontii* stands out for its exceptional tolerance to extreme temperatures and drought. It plays a vital role in sand dune stabilization, provides fodder, fuelwood, and construction material, and is widely used in traditional medicine. Similarly, *Vachellia leucophloea* and *Acacia senegal* (Kumbhat) are valued for their medicinal properties and economic importance, particularly for gum production, which contributes to local incomes.

Multipurpose species such as *Azadirachta indica* (Neem) and *Prosopis cineraria* (Kandi) are central to agroecological sustainability. Neem supports natural pest management and environmental protection, while Kandi serves as a key source of fodder, food, and medicine, making it a keystone species in arid ecosystems. However, the spread of invasive *Prosopis juliflora* is increasingly threatening native biodiversity by competing for scarce water resources.

Other important species include *Salvadora oleoides*, which provides edible fruits and fodder, and *Tecomella undulata* (Rohiro), valued for its high-quality timber and medicinal uses. Shrubs such as *Calligonum polygonoides* and *Ziziphus nummularia* contribute to dune stabilization, fuelwood supply, and food security during droughts. Medicinal and multipurpose plants like *Calotropis procera*, *Crotalaria burhia*, and *Aerva javanica* further support traditional healthcare and rural economies.

Highly adaptive species such as *Leptadenia pyrotechnica* play a crucial role in sand dune fixation and livestock feeding, especially for camels. Meanwhile, *Commiphora wightii* (Guggul), though economically valuable for its medicinal resin, is now critically endangered due to overexploitation. Other resilient species like *Capparis decidua* demonstrate strong

tolerance to salinity and drought, offering both ecological and medicinal benefits.

Grasses form the backbone of rangeland productivity. Species such as *Cenchrus biflorus* and *Cenchrus setigerus* are essential for livestock nutrition and soil conservation, while *Cynodon dactylon*, *Dichanthium annulatum*, *Saccharum spontaneum*, and *Desmostachya bipinnata* contribute to grazing systems and traditional medicine.

Overall, these species collectively sustain the ecological balance and socioeconomic fabric of Tharparkar, though increasing environmental and human pressures demand urgent conservation and sustainable management strategies.

Vegetation Conditions, Performance, and Emerging Ecological Threats

The vegetation dynamics of the Tharparkar Desert reflect both the resilience and vulnerability of arid ecosystems under environmental and human pressures. Field-based evidence indicates considerable spatial variation in plant survival and productivity across the region. A study conducted in multiple villages, including Ratnore and Mithrio Charan, revealed that plant mortality rates differ significantly depending on local ecological conditions and stress factors. The highest mortality was recorded in Ratnore, while Mithrio Charan exhibited relatively better vegetation performance. Key causes of plant damage include natural climatic stress, pest infestations particularly termite attacks and plant diseases. Termites emerged as the most destructive factor in several locations, severely affecting species such as *Prosopis cineraria*, which is otherwise a keystone species in desert ecology.

Grassland productivity remains a critical component of the region's livestock-based economy. Biomass assessments show that certain grasses perform significantly better than others under arid conditions. Elephant grass recorded the highest green and dry biomass yields, although its relatively higher water requirement limits its adaptability in extremely

dry environments. In contrast, most native grasses demonstrated strong resilience and adaptability, providing valuable fodder. Feeding trials indicated that these grasses are highly palatable and contribute positively to livestock productivity, particularly by enhancing milk yield in goats, thereby supporting household nutrition and income.

Despite these adaptive strengths, the ecosystem is increasingly threatened by invasive species and anthropogenic pressures. The spread of *Prosopis juliflora* has become a major ecological concern. Introduced decades ago, it now dominates large tracts of land due to its aggressive growth and extensive root system, which depletes groundwater and suppresses native vegetation. Its toxic thorns and harmful effects on livestock further exacerbate its impact, while dense thickets create favorable conditions for disease vectors such as mosquitoes.

Deforestation and land degradation add to these challenges. Illegal tree cutting, overexploitation of valuable species like *Commiphora wightii*, and large-scale land-use changes such as those observed near the Gorano Dam have resulted in the loss of natural pastures and biodiversity. Together, these pressures highlight the urgent need for sustainable land management and conservation interventions in Tharparkar.

Strategic Recommendations for Conserving Tharparkar's Flora

The flora of the Tharparkar Desert constitutes a critical ecological and economic asset, underpinning both environmental stability and rural livelihoods. Key species such as *Acacia senegal*, *Prosopis cineraria*, and *Salvadora oleoides* provide essential income, fodder, and ecosystem services, while native grasses sustain the region's livestock-based economy. However, increasing ecological stress, driven by invasive species, deforestation, and unsustainable resource use, demands urgent and coordinated intervention.

A primary priority is the management of *Prosopis juliflora*, whose aggressive spread continues to displace native vegetation and deplete groundwater resources. A well-coordinated eradication and control strategy, supported by community participation and government backing, is essential. Equally important is the conservation of endangered species such as *Commiphora wightii*, which requires strict enforcement of bans on illegal resin extraction, alongside the establishment of protected zones and ex-situ conservation programs.

Afforestation initiatives should prioritize native, drought-resistant species including *Tecomella undulata*, *Azadirachta indica*, and *Prosopis cineraria*, ensuring ecological compatibility and long-term sustainability. At the same time, sustainable harvesting guidelines must be developed to regulate the use of economically valuable plants and prevent overexploitation.

Rangeland management is another critical area, requiring the protection of communal grazing lands and the promotion of high-nutrition native grasses such as *Cenchrus biflorus* and *Cenchrus setigerus*. Strengthening community awareness and participation, by integrating indigenous knowledge with modern conservation practices, can significantly enhance the effectiveness of these interventions.

Finally, policy support and research investment are essential. Strengthening institutional frameworks, promoting ecological research, and implementing evidence-based policies will be key to preserving Tharparkar's fragile ecosystem while sustaining rural livelihoods.

Conclusion

The flora of the Tharparkar Desert represents a delicate yet highly resilient ecological system that supports both environmental stability and rural livelihoods. Despite harsh climatic conditions, the region sustains a diverse range of plant species that play essential roles in soil conservation, dune stabilization, and livestock

feeding. These ecological functions are closely intertwined with the socioeconomic well-being of local communities, where plants serve as sources of food, medicine, fuel, and income.

However, this balance is increasingly under threat. The rapid spread of invasive species, particularly *Prosopis juliflora*, along with deforestation, overgrazing, and climate variability, is disrupting native biodiversity and weakening ecosystem services. The decline of key species such as *Prosopis cineraria* and the critically endangered *Commiphora wightii* signals a broader ecological imbalance that could have long-term consequences for both the environment and livelihoods in the region.

Addressing these challenges requires a coordinated and sustained approach. Conservation of native species, restoration of degraded rangelands, and effective control of invasive plants must be prioritized. Equally important is the active involvement of local communities, whose traditional knowledge and dependence on these resources make them key stakeholders in conservation efforts.

Ultimately, safeguarding Tharparkar's flora is not only an environmental necessity but also a development imperative. Sustainable management of this unique ecosystem can enhance resilience, protect biodiversity, and secure livelihoods for future generations.

References: Kalroo et al; Kumar; Memon et al; Mirbahar; Pakistan Press Foundation; Punhani.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

First and third writers are affiliated with the *Drainage and Reclamation Institute of Pakistan (DRIP)*, Pakistan Council of Research in Water Resources (PCRWR); and the second writer is affiliated with International Water Management Institute (IWMI) and can be reached at nazargul43@gmail.com

Vaccine Hesitancy in Rural Pakistan: A Systemic Challenge

Explore the profound impact of vaccine hesitancy in rural Pakistan, highlighting its economic, social, and public health implications. Delayed vaccinations strain healthcare systems, disrupt agriculture, and threaten the financial stability of vulnerable households.

Ifra Tahir

3/25/2026

In the villages of Punjab, Sindh, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, and Balochistan, daily life is shaped by agriculture, livestock, and seasonal uncertainty. A missed harvest or a diseased animal can destabilize an entire household economy. Yet alongside these visible risks exists a quieter but equally dangerous threat: the decision to delay or refuse vaccination. In rural Pakistan, this choice is rarely isolated, its consequences ripple across families and communities.

Conversations in rural settlements reveal a mix of skepticism and lived experience. Concerns about vaccines weakening children, distrust of “foreign” medicines, and memories of poorly managed immunization campaigns such as broken cold chains shape perceptions. These factors align with what the World Health Organization defines as vaccine hesitancy: the reluctance or refusal to vaccinate despite availability. Importantly, hesitancy is not driven solely by misinformation; it is also rooted in systemic gaps, including inconsistent service delivery and limited trust in healthcare institutions.

The implications of missed vaccinations are particularly severe in rural settings. Unlike urban areas, where healthcare access is relatively closer and outbreaks can be contained more rapidly, rural communities often face delayed response times, inadequate sanitation, and higher population clustering within households. Under such conditions, infectious diseases spread rapidly, moving from one family to another and escalating into widespread outbreaks before intervention becomes possible.

What begins as an individual decision quickly transforms into a collective crisis. The resulting costs are multidimensional loss of life, increased healthcare expenses, reduced labor productivity, and long-term impacts on child development. In these contexts, vaccine hesitancy is not merely a health issue; it is an economic and social risk that undermines the resilience of already vulnerable rural communities.

Strain on Rural Health Systems: The Cost of Preventable Outbreaks

Rural healthcare in Pakistan operates under persistent resource constraints, and Basic Health Units (BHUs) represent the frontline of service delivery for millions. Typically serving populations of 10,000 to 20,000 people, these facilities often function with minimal staff, one doctor, a few lady health workers, and limited support personnel. Budgetary limitations further constrain their capacity, leading to frequent shortages of essential medicines and delayed supply chains. Under normal circumstances, BHUs manage routine care such as maternal health, vaccinations, and minor illnesses. However, when vaccine-preventable diseases emerge, this delicate balance quickly deteriorates.

Take the example of measles outbreaks. A single infected child can trigger rapid transmission across a village, particularly in settings with low immunization coverage. As cases multiply, the clinical burden intensifies. Children are present with complications such as pneumonia, acute diarrhea, and in severe cases, encephalitis, which can result in long-term neurological damage. The sudden surge in patient load overwhelms already strained facilities. Hospital beds become scarce, healthcare workers are forced into extended shifts, and medical supplies that were meant to last months are depleted within weeks.

The consequences extend far beyond the immediate outbreak. As resources are diverted to emergency response, essential healthcare services are disrupted. Pregnant women miss antenatal checkups, chronic disease patients such as those with hypertension remain untreated, and routine injuries or illnesses receive delayed attention. This phenomenon, often referred to as “crowding out,” reflects a systemic inefficiency where preventable diseases consume disproportionate resources.

From an economic perspective, the burden is significant. Treating vaccine-preventable diseases is far more costly than preventive immunization. In a system already operating below optimal funding levels, each avoidable

case imposes additional fiscal pressure. Ultimately, these costs are borne by the public, disproportionately affecting rural populations whose livelihoods are already fragile.

Productivity Losses, Livestock Risks, and Inequality in Vaccine Hesitancy

In rural Pakistan, time is inseparable from income. Agricultural livelihoods depend on precise seasonal windows, planting, irrigating, harvesting, and even short disruptions can have cascading economic effects. When vaccine-preventable diseases strike, the loss is immediate and tangible. A day of illness means a day without labor in the fields or care for livestock. For daily wage earners, this can translate directly into lost meals. For smallholder farmers, illness during critical agricultural periods can reduce yields for the entire season, undermining household food security and income stability.

The impact is rarely confined to one individual. Illness spreads within households, multiplying productivity losses. A father unable to work due to influenza, a mother occupied with caring for sick children, or multiple infected family members can bring economic activity to a standstill. Children’s illnesses carry additional consequences. Beyond missed schooling, older children in rural households often contribute to domestic and agricultural tasks. Their absence increases the burden on adults, further reducing productive capacity.

The COVID-19 pandemic illustrated these dynamics vividly. In regions with lower vaccination uptake, prolonged infection waves disrupted labor availability and agricultural operations. Movement restrictions and market closures delayed harvests and reduced market access, leaving farmers unable to sell perishable produce. These disruptions created lingering economic shocks that extended well beyond the immediate health crisis.

Livestock, a cornerstone of rural wealth, is equally vulnerable. Many farmers delay or avoid vaccinating animals, exposing them to diseases such as foot-and-mouth disease and hemorrhagic septicemia. The consequences are

severe: reduced milk production, livestock mortality, and loss of critical financial assets. Since animals often serve as a primary form of savings, disease outbreaks can erase years of accumulated wealth. Moreover, the close interaction between humans and animals increases the risk of zoonotic disease transmission, compounding both health and economic risks.

These burdens are disproportionately borne by the poorest and most remote communities. In regions like Tharparkar, Cholistan, and parts of Balochistan, limited healthcare access intensifies vulnerability. Outreach efforts by community health workers are often hindered by distance and terrain and missed vaccination opportunities can render costly efforts ineffective. For affected families, a preventable illness frequently triggers a cycle of debt, asset liquidation, and long-term financial instability.

Building Trust, Reducing Risk: A Path Forward for Rural Immunization

Breaking the cycle of vaccine hesitancy in rural Pakistan requires more than the physical availability of vaccines, it demands the restoration and strengthening of trust. In many villages, decisions about vaccination are shaped not only by access but by perception. Misinformation regarding side effects, fertility concerns, or cultural and religious compatibility continues to circulate through informal networks and increasingly through mobile-based social media. In low-literacy environments, such narratives can quickly outweigh formal health messaging, making trust the central determinant of vaccine uptake.

Addressing this challenge requires a community-centered approach. Frontline workers, particularly Lady Health Workers, must be equipped not only with vaccines but also with communication skills to engage households effectively. Their role extends beyond service delivery to include dialogue, reassurance, and clarification of misconceptions. Equally important is the

involvement of trusted local figures, religious leaders, village elders, and influential farmers, who can validate vaccination within the community's social and cultural framework. When endorsement comes from within, resistance tends to decline.

Practical barriers must also be addressed. For many rural families, the cost of accessing vaccination is not monetary but logistical. Travel time, lost workdays, and competing household responsibilities discourage timely immunization. Bringing services closer to communities through mobile clinics, flexible schedules, and integrated outreach can significantly improve uptake. Ensuring reliability, vaccines available, properly stored, and administered professionally, is equally critical for maintaining confidence.

Encouragingly, Pakistan's experience with the Polio eradication initiative demonstrates that sustained commitment can yield results. However, these gains remain fragile. Vaccine hesitancy, if unaddressed, risks reversing progress and increasing vulnerability to outbreaks. The economic implications are substantial. Preventive immunization reduces healthcare expenditures, avoids productivity losses, and protects household assets, particularly in agriculture-dependent communities.

Ultimately, vaccination should be understood not as a recurring cost but as a high-return investment in rural resilience. Each vaccinated child, protected herd, and immunized community contributes to stable livelihoods and reduced economic shocks. Policymakers must recognize that addressing hesitancy is integral to rural development strategy. The cost of inaction, measured in lost income, strained health systems, and deepening poverty, far exceeds the investment required to build trust and ensure coverage.

Conclusion

Vaccine hesitancy in rural Pakistan is not merely a matter of individual choice, it is a systemic challenge with far-reaching economic, social, and public health consequences. As this article demonstrates, delayed or refused vaccination places immense pressure on fragile healthcare systems, disrupts agricultural productivity, and erodes the financial stability of already vulnerable households. From overcrowded Basic Health Units to lost workdays in fields and livestock losses, the costs of inaction are both immediate and long-lasting.

Crucially, the issue is deeply rooted in trust, access, and lived realities. Misinformation, logistical barriers, and past service delivery failures all contribute to hesitancy, making simple supply-side solutions insufficient. Instead, a coordinated, community-centered approach is required, one that strengthens frontline health workers, engages local leaders, and ensures reliable and accessible vaccination services.

The economic argument is unequivocal: prevention is far less costly than treatment. Investments in immunization yield high returns by reducing healthcare expenditures, safeguarding livelihoods, and enhancing rural resilience. Pakistan's progress in Polio control shows what is possible, but sustaining and expanding these gains demands renewed commitment. Ultimately, addressing vaccine hesitancy is not just a health priority, it is a development imperative. Building trust today will protect lives, stabilize rural economies, and secure a healthier, more resilient future.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad Pakistan and can be reached at ifraatahir@gmail.com

Boost Farm Profitability in Pakistan

Discover how strengthening farm profitability in Pakistan requires a shift from traditional farming practices to informed management. Learn about crop budgeting, risk management, and profit optimization to enhance decision-making and financial stability for farmers.

Sarmad Veesar

3/11/2026

For farmers in Pakistan, profitability is not simply a matter of luck; it depends largely on careful planning, financial discipline, and efficient farm management. However, many farmers still operate without formal budgeting, risk protection, or strategies to maximize returns. Without these tools, even hardworking farmers remain highly vulnerable to unpredictable market prices, rising input costs, and climate-related shocks. Strengthening three key pillars, i.e. crop budgeting, risk management, and profit optimization can significantly improve farm livelihoods and make agriculture more resilient and sustainable.

Consider the situation of a typical smallholder farmer such as Ghulam Mustafa from rural Sindh. At the beginning of every planting season, he must make several crucial decisions. He must estimate how much certified seed will cost, whether he can afford the recommended quantity of fertilizers such as DAP, and whether the expected market price of cotton or wheat will cover his production expenses. These decisions determine whether the season will end in profit or loss. Yet like many farmers across the country, he often makes these choices without a structured financial plan, relying instead on experience, informal advice, and hope.

Modern agriculture, however, requires more systematic decision-making. Crop budgets allow farmers to estimate production costs, expected yields, and potential revenues before planting begins. Risk management strategies such as crop diversification, crop insurance, and forward marketing can help protect farmers from unexpected losses caused by weather variability or price fluctuations. Profit optimization, on the other hand, involves improving efficiency through better input management, technology adoption, and market planning.

Despite their importance, these practices remain underutilized in Pakistan. According to the State Bank of Pakistan, fewer than 18 percent of

smallholder farmers maintain formal crop budgets, and even fewer use structured risk management tools. Studies by the Pakistan Agricultural Research Council indicate that farmers who adopt these practices can achieve profit margins that are 35–50 percent higher than those who do not. Promoting financial planning and risk management in agriculture can therefore transform farming from a high-risk activity into a more stable and profitable enterprise.

Crop Budgets: A Foundation for Farm Profitability

A crop budget serves as a financial blueprint for farming operations, helping farmers estimate production costs and expected returns before planting begins. It provides a clear picture of whether a particular crop is likely to be profitable. However, for many farmers in Pakistan, this essential planning tool is rarely used. Instead, farming decisions are often based on tradition, personal experience, or advice from landlords and local traders, with limited understanding of the actual costs involved or the minimum price required to break even.

A well-prepared crop budget distinguishes between variable costs and fixed costs. Variable costs include expenses that change with each cropping cycle, such as seeds, fertilizers, pesticides, fuel, irrigation, and hired labor. Fixed costs, on the other hand, include expenses that remain relatively constant regardless of production levels, such as land rent, machinery depreciation, and irrigation infrastructure. By calculating total costs and comparing them with expected revenue, farmers can estimate potential profits and make more informed cropping decisions.

The absence of proper budgeting can have serious financial consequences. A 2024 study conducted by the University of Agriculture Faisalabad on farms in Punjab's cotton belt found that more than 60 percent of farmers underestimated their production costs by 20–35 percent. As a result, many sold their crops at

prices that did not fully cover their costs, gradually eroding their financial resources over time. Farmers who maintained written crop budgets, however, were able to determine their break-even prices more accurately and negotiate better market prices.

Recent advances in digital technology are creating new opportunities to promote crop budgeting. Mobile applications developed by provincial technology initiatives now integrate market price information and input cost data, allowing farmers to prepare crop budgets more easily. Farmers who have adopted these tools report improved decision-making and greater awareness of production costs, demonstrating the potential of digital solutions to strengthen farm profitability.

Risk Management: Safeguarding Farmers against Uncertainty

Even the most carefully prepared crop budget can be disrupted by factors beyond a farmer's control. Agriculture operates at the intersection of natural forces and market dynamics, making it one of the most unpredictable economic activities. Sudden weather events such as floods, droughts, or hailstorms can damage standing crops, while fluctuations in global and domestic markets can sharply reduce commodity prices. Without effective risk management strategies, farmers remain highly vulnerable to shocks that can erase years of hard work in a single season.

Agricultural risks generally fall into two main categories: production risk and price risk. Production risk arises from weather variability, pest infestations, and plant diseases that directly affect crop yields. Price risk, on the other hand, is linked to market fluctuations that determine the final income farmers receive for their produce. Addressing these risks requires a combination of strategies including crop insurance, diversification, improved storage systems, and better marketing practices.

Crop insurance is one of the most effective tools for managing production risk. However, agricultural insurance coverage in Pakistan remains extremely limited. According to recent reports, fewer than one million farmers out of approximately 82 million are covered by crop insurance schemes, leaving the majority exposed to climate-related losses. Pilot programs introduced in provinces such as Punjab have shown promising results, helping farmers stabilize household incomes during adverse seasons. Expanding such programs through public-private partnerships and the use of modern technologies, including satellite monitoring and digital claim systems, could significantly strengthen agricultural resilience.

Managing price risk is equally important. Farmers often sell their crops immediately after harvest when market supply is high and prices are low. Improved storage facilities and systems such as warehouse receipt financing allow farmers to store produce and access credit while waiting for more favorable market prices. Diversification of crops and integration of livestock enterprises can also reduce vulnerability by spreading risks across multiple income sources. Strengthening these risk management mechanisms can help farmers protect their livelihoods and improve the stability of agricultural incomes.

Profit Optimization and Integrated Farm Management

For many years, agricultural policies in Pakistan primarily emphasized increasing crop production and maximizing yields. The assumption was that higher output would automatically translate into higher farm incomes and improved food security. While productivity growth remains important, practical experience has shown that increased production does not always guarantee higher profits. In many cases, farmers who focus solely on maximizing yields apply excessive amounts of fertilizers, pesticides, and irrigation, which increases production costs and reduces overall profitability while also degrading soil health.

Profit optimization requires a more balanced approach that focuses not only on productivity but also on efficiency and cost management. One of the most effective strategies is enterprise analysis, which involves comparing the

profitability of different crops and selecting the most suitable crop combinations based on farm resources, soil conditions, and market opportunities. For example, a cotton farmer in southern Punjab may increase overall profitability by allocating a portion of land to high-value crops such as vegetables, pulses, or oilseeds, even if total cotton production decreases slightly.

Technological innovations are also creating new opportunities to improve farm efficiency. Precision agriculture tools such as soil testing, satellite monitoring, and variable-rate fertilizer application allow farmers to apply inputs more efficiently and reduce waste. Digital agricultural platforms and emerging agri-tech startups are increasingly providing farmers with data on soil conditions, weather forecasts, and crop health, helping them make more informed decisions. However, technology adoption often requires time and trust, as farmers prefer to observe tangible benefits over several cropping seasons before fully adopting new tools.

Improved farm management practices can also enhance profitability. Careful analysis of cost structures can reveal inefficiencies, such as maintaining outdated machinery with high repair costs or overusing hired labor during peak seasons. Adjusting these practices can significantly improve net farm income without increasing production.

Equally important is the role of marketing and value chain participation. Farmers who access reliable market information, store crops strategically, or collaborate through farmer organizations are often able to secure better prices. When combined with sound budgeting and risk management, these strategies form an integrated farm management system that helps farmers treat agriculture as a business rather than a gamble, ultimately leading to more stable and sustainable farm incomes.

Conclusion

Strengthening farm profitability in Pakistan requires a shift from traditional farming practices toward more structured and informed farm management. As discussed in this article, the combined use of crop budgeting, risk management, and profit optimization can significantly improve farmers' decision-making and financial stability. When farmers

understand their production costs, calculate break-even prices, and plan their cropping activities carefully, they are better prepared to navigate uncertainties in markets and weather conditions.

Crop budgeting provides the foundation for informed planning by helping farmers estimate costs and expected returns before investing in a crop. Risk management strategies such as crop diversification, insurance, and improved storage systems help safeguard farmers from production and price shocks that frequently affect agricultural incomes. At the same time, profit optimization encourages farmers to focus not only on increasing yields but also on improving efficiency through better resource use, technology adoption, and strategic marketing.

For Pakistan's agriculture sector, dominated by smallholder farmers, these practices can transform farming from a high-risk livelihood into a more stable and profitable enterprise. However, achieving this transformation requires stronger institutional support. Agricultural extension services must expand their focus to include financial literacy and farm management training, while policymakers should promote digital tools, crop insurance programs, and market access initiatives that support better decision-making at the farm level.

Ultimately, when farmers begin to treat agriculture as a planned business activity rather than a seasonal gamble, they can build more resilient livelihoods, improve household incomes, and contribute to the long-term sustainability of Pakistan's rural economy.

References: ADB; FAO; Heinrich-Böll-Stiftung; Lokmat Times; MNFSR; Minute Mirror; MNSUAM; PARC; PITB; ScienceDirect; SECP; SBP; UAF; World Bank.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agricultural Social Sciences, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan and can be reached at sarmadveesar61@gmail.com

Impact of Energy Markets on Pakistan's Agriculture

Explore how fluctuations in energy markets affect Pakistan's agricultural sector, driving up costs for farmers and contributing to food inflation. Understand the challenges faced by smallholder farmers in this dynamic landscape.

Muhammad Abdullah Khalid

3/19/2026

Modern agriculture in Pakistan is closely tied not only to natural resources such as land and water but also to the dynamics of global energy markets. The sector's reliance on fossil fuels is substantial: diesel powers tractors, harvesters, and irrigation tube wells, while natural gas serves as a key input in the production of fertilizers such as urea. As a result, fluctuations in international energy prices are quickly transmitted into domestic agricultural costs. Recent fuel price hikes, driven by geopolitical conflicts and global supply disruptions, have significantly increased the cost of cultivation, influencing farmers' input use decisions, cropping patterns, and ultimately food prices and food security outcomes in Pakistan.

Global energy markets experienced unprecedented volatility. The post-COVID economic recovery increased demand for oil and gas, while supply chains struggled to adjust. The Russia-Ukraine conflict pushed crude oil prices above \$120 per barrel, triggering a global energy shock. Simultaneously, ongoing tensions in the Middle East, particularly involving Iran, added further uncertainty to supply expectations. For Pakistan, which imports a large share of its energy requirements, these developments translated into sharp increases in domestic fuel prices, especially diesel.

The implications for agriculture have been immediate and far-reaching. Higher diesel prices have raised the cost of land preparation, irrigation, and transportation, while increases in gas prices have escalated fertilizer costs. Smallholder farmers, who already operate under tight financial constraints, are particularly vulnerable, often reducing input use, which can negatively affect crop yields. Moreover, rising transportation costs contribute to higher food prices, amplifying inflationary pressures across the economy. In this context, Pakistan's agricultural sector has become increasingly exposed to external energy shocks, highlighting the urgent need for energy-efficient technologies, alternative energy adoption, and policy measures that can buffer farmers against global market volatility.

Global Oil Market Volatility and Pakistan's Agricultural Vulnerability

The global oil market underwent significant turbulence between 2021 and 2025, creating far-reaching implications for energy-importing countries such as Pakistan. After the sharp decline in demand during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, oil prices rebounded in 2021, surpassing \$80 per barrel by year-end as global economic activity resumed. However, the situation escalated dramatically with the Russia-Ukraine war, which disrupted global supply chains and pushed crude oil prices beyond \$120 per barrel. This shock generated supply deficits estimated at around 2.5 million barrels per day, intensifying competition in international energy markets.

Further instability emerged from geopolitical tensions in the Middle East, particularly involving Iran. Concerns over potential disruptions in the Strait of Hormuz, a strategic chokepoint through which nearly one-fifth of global oil trade passes, added a geopolitical risk premium of \$10 to \$15 per barrel. Although prices moderated slightly by 2025, they remained structurally higher than pre-pandemic levels, reflecting persistent uncertainty and tight supply conditions in global energy markets.

For Pakistan, a net importer of oil, these global developments translated into frequent domestic fuel price adjustments. Diesel prices rose sharply, directly increasing the cost of agricultural operations such as land preparation, irrigation, and transportation. This escalation not only compressed farm profitability but also contributed to broader inflationary pressures, reinforcing the strong linkage between global energy markets and domestic agricultural sustainability.

Energy Price Shocks and Agricultural Cost Pressures in Pakistan

Agriculture in Pakistan is deeply dependent on energy inputs, making it highly vulnerable to fluctuations in global oil and gas prices. Diesel remains the backbone of farm operations, powering tractors, tube wells, threshers, and harvesters, particularly in regions reliant on

groundwater irrigation such as Punjab and Sindh. This heavy reliance on fossil fuels, combined with the limited adoption of renewable energy technologies like solar-powered irrigation, intensifies the sector's exposure to external energy shocks. As fuel prices rise, operational costs increase immediately, leaving farmers with little flexibility to absorb these shocks.

A second major transmission channel is fertilizer production. Nitrogen-based fertilizers, widely used in Pakistan's cropping systems, depend heavily on natural gas as a primary input. When global energy prices surged between 2021 and 2025, domestic fertilizer prices followed, significantly increasing the cost of crop production. Many farmers, particularly smallholders, responded by reducing fertilizer application or shifting toward low-input crops, which can negatively affect yields and soil fertility over time.

Transportation further amplifies these cost pressures. Pakistan's food supply chains rely predominantly on road networks, where diesel is the main fuel source. Rising fuel prices increase the cost of moving inputs to farms and transporting produce to markets. Given existing inefficiencies in storage, logistics, and market infrastructure, these higher costs are quickly passed on to consumers.

Between 2021 and 2025, these combined pressures led to a sharp rise in agricultural input costs. Fertilizer prices surged globally, while domestic diesel prices increased substantially, raising the overall cost of cultivation. Small farmers, constrained by limited access to credit, were disproportionately affected. Many reduced cultivated areas, delayed mechanization, or cut input use, responses that may weaken long-term productivity.

These rising costs ultimately translated into higher food prices. Increased production and transportation expenses fed directly into food inflation, as energy costs also affected processing, storage, and distribution. The result has been a strong pass-through effect from global energy markets to local food systems, highlighting the structural vulnerability of

Pakistan's agriculture to external economic shocks.

Food Security Pressures and Policy Responses in Pakistan

Rising energy and food prices have significantly strained food security in Pakistan, affecting all four key dimensions: availability, access, utilization, and stability. On the supply side, higher input costs, particularly for fuel and fertilizers, have slowed agricultural production growth, constraining food availability. At the same time, food access has deteriorated sharply as inflation erodes household purchasing power. During peak periods, food inflation in Pakistan exceeded 30 percent, placing basic commodities beyond the reach of many low-income households. Globally, acute food insecurity has surpassed 300 million people, with Pakistan among the countries experiencing heightened vulnerability.

Nutritional outcomes have also been adversely affected. As prices rise, households tend to substitute cheaper, calorie-dense but nutrient-poor foods, reducing dietary diversity and increasing risks of malnutrition. This decline in dietary quality undermines long-term human capital development, particularly among children and vulnerable populations. Moreover, persistent price volatility has weakened stability, making it difficult for both farmers and policymakers to plan production and manage food supplies effectively.

In response, Pakistan has adopted several short-term policy measures, including fertilizer subsidies, support prices for staple crops such as wheat, and targeted relief programs. However, fiscal constraints limit the scale and

sustainability of these interventions. Consequently, there is an increasing need to shift toward long-term structural solutions. Reducing dependence on fossil fuels is critical, with solar-powered irrigation emerging as a promising alternative. Adoption of such technologies has already begun to expand, offering cost savings and resilience against energy price shocks.

Additionally, improving energy efficiency through precision agriculture, along with strengthening storage, transportation, and market infrastructure, can reduce post-harvest losses and stabilize supply chains. Looking ahead, building an energy-resilient agricultural system will require coordinated investments in technology, institutional reforms, and social protection mechanisms to safeguard food security in an increasingly volatile global environment.

Conclusion

The experience of Pakistan's agricultural sector over the past several years clearly demonstrates the deep and often underappreciated link between energy markets and food systems. As global oil and gas prices fluctuated sharply, these shocks were rapidly transmitted into domestic agriculture through higher diesel, fertilizer, and transportation costs. The result has been a significant increase in the cost of cultivation, reduced profitability for farmers, and heightened food inflation, with particularly severe consequences for smallholder farmers who operate with limited financial buffers.

These dynamics reveal a structural vulnerability: Pakistan's agriculture remains heavily dependent on fossil fuels and external

energy markets, making it highly exposed to global geopolitical and economic uncertainties. The cascading effects, from rising input costs to reduced input use, lower productivity, and declining dietary quality, underscore how energy shocks can evolve into broader food security challenges affecting availability, access, utilization, and stability.

While short-term policy responses such as subsidies and price supports have provided temporary relief, they are not fiscally sustainable in the long run. The pathway forward lies in building resilience through structural transformation. This includes promoting renewable energy solutions such as solar-powered irrigation, improving energy efficiency, strengthening supply chains, and investing in agricultural innovation and infrastructure.

Ultimately, ensuring food security in Pakistan requires recognizing energy as a central pillar of agricultural policy. A strategic shift toward energy-resilient and sustainable farming systems will be essential to protect rural livelihoods, stabilize food prices, and safeguard the country against future external shocks.

References: FAO; Global Nutrition Report; Headey et al; IEA; IMF; IRENA; World Bank; WFP.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan and can be reached at 1222vvgvv@gmail.com

FOOD AND NUTRITION

Hunger Paradox in the Global Economy

Explore the persistence of hunger in a world capable of producing ample food. Despite advances in agriculture and trade, millions face food insecurity due to unequal distribution and economic barriers.

Mithat Direk

3/6/2026

The month of Ramadan serves as an annual reminder of a fundamental truth that is often obscured by the routines of modern life: our absolute dependence on food. For those observing the fast, the daily cycle of abstinence and replenishment brings into sharp focus the vulnerability of the human condition and the gratitude owed to life's most necessities. Alongside breathing and access to water, food constitutes the triad of human survival. This hierarchy of needs is starkly illustrated by the "rule of threes" a physiological guideline suggesting that while a person can survive for approximately three minutes without oxygen and three days without water, the timeline for survival without food stretches to roughly three weeks, depending on an individual's health and body composition (Lieberman, 2018).

Beyond these limits, the continuation of life becomes impossible. The critical importance of meeting these fundamental needs was famously articulated by psychologist Abraham Maslow. In his 1943 paper "A Theory of Human Motivation," Maslow proposed the Hierarchy of Needs, a pyramid-shaped model depicting the layers of human psychological development. At the pyramid's expansive base lie physiological needs: food, water, warmth, and rest. Maslow (1943) argued that these needs are the most prepotent of all meaning that until they are reasonably satisfied, they dominate human motivation and make it nearly impossible to focus on higher-level needs such as safety, love, esteem, and self-actualization. In this framework, food is not merely a commodity but the very foundation upon which all human potential (intellectual, social, and spiritual) is built. A hungry person, Maslow would argue, is a person whose world is necessarily narrowed to the pursuit of sustenance.

The Humanitarian Challenge of Hunger in an Unequal Global Food System

Despite significant advances in agricultural productivity and global food supply, hunger remains one of the most persistent humanitarian

challenges of the modern era. At the forefront of the global response is the United Nations World Food Program, the world's largest humanitarian agency dedicated to addressing food insecurity and malnutrition. The organization operates in some of the most fragile and conflict-affected regions, delivering emergency food assistance, nutritional support, and livelihood programs to populations facing acute food shortages. In 2023 alone, the World Food Program assisted approximately 158.9 million people across 120 countries and territories, reflecting the immense scale of global food insecurity. Its interventions include direct food distributions, cash-based transfers that allow families to purchase food locally, and targeted nutrition programs designed for vulnerable groups such as pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, and young children. These efforts aim not only to prevent starvation but also to stabilize communities affected by conflict, climate disasters, and economic crises.

The persistence of hunger is particularly paradoxical because the world currently produces more than enough food to meet global demand. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization, existing agricultural production levels could theoretically feed nearly 12 billion people far exceeding the current global population. Yet millions still face chronic food shortages. The latest global assessments indicate that between 713 and 757 million people experienced hunger in 2023, meaning nearly one out of every eleven individuals lacked sufficient food for a healthy and active life.

At the same time, the global food system exhibits another troubling contradiction: the rapid rise of obesity and diet-related diseases. The World Health Organization estimates that more than one billion people worldwide are living with obesity, highlighting a growing imbalance between food availability and nutritional quality. This coexistence of undernutrition and overnutrition often referred to as the "double burden of malnutrition" illustrates deep structural inequalities in global

food distribution, affordability, and dietary patterns. Addressing this imbalance requires not only humanitarian assistance but also systemic reforms that improve access, equity, and sustainability across global food systems.

Regional Dimensions of Food Insecurity: Lessons from Türkiye and Pakistan

The geography of global hunger reveals that severe food insecurity is concentrated in regions where structural vulnerabilities intersect with environmental and political pressures. According to assessments by the Food and Agriculture Organization and its partner agencies, Sub-Saharan Africa remains the most affected region, with approximately 20.4% of its population experiencing chronic undernourishment. The drivers of hunger in these regions extend far beyond agricultural production alone. Prolonged conflict, fragile governance systems, weak market integration, and limited storage infrastructure contribute to high post-harvest losses and reduced food availability. Climate change further intensifies these challenges through recurring droughts, erratic rainfall patterns, and floods that disrupt both crop production and rural livelihoods.

A different but equally complex situation can be observed in Türkiye. Located at the crossroads of Europe, Asia, and the Middle East, the country possesses significant agricultural potential and rich biodiversity. Nevertheless, long-term food security challenges are emerging due to rapid urbanization, industrial expansion over fertile farmland, and increasing water scarcity. These pressures threaten the sustainability of agricultural production systems. At the same time, Türkiye plays a major humanitarian role in the region by hosting one of the largest refugee populations in the world. Programs coordinated with the United Nations World Food Program support millions of displaced people, but the scale of assistance required places additional pressure on public resources, food supply systems, and social protection mechanisms.

In contrast, Pakistan faces a more severe food security crisis. The country ranks in the “serious” category in the Global Hunger Index, reflecting widespread nutritional vulnerability. A large proportion of households struggle to afford a balanced and nutritious diet, often allocating a substantial share of their income to basic food consumption. Climate shocks such as the devastating floods of 2022 have further disrupted agricultural production and rural livelihoods. The consequences are most visible among women and children. High rates of child stunting, acute malnutrition, and limited educational opportunities highlight the intergenerational effects of food insecurity. Together, these regional experiences demonstrate that hunger is not merely a question of food supply, but a multidimensional challenge shaped by governance, economic resilience, environmental sustainability, and social inclusion.

From Emergency Relief to Long-Term Food Security

Humanitarian food assistance plays a critical role in saving lives during crises, but lasting food security requires solutions that move beyond short-term relief. Recognizing this reality, the United Nations World Food Program has increasingly shifted its focus toward building resilience and addressing the structural drivers of hunger. While emergency food distribution remains essential during conflicts, natural disasters, and economic shocks, long-term strategies aim to strengthen food systems and empower vulnerable communities to sustain themselves.

One major priority is strengthening local food systems. Programs that support smallholder farmers through improved seeds, agricultural training, and access to farming tools can significantly raise productivity and incomes. By connecting farmers to local and regional markets, such initiatives help stabilize food supplies and reduce dependence on external aid. Improving storage facilities and transportation networks further reduces post-harvest losses, ensuring that a greater share of produced food reaches consumers.

Another key area involves disaster risk reduction in regions highly exposed to climate variability. Investments in ecosystem restoration, water management, and flood protection can help communities withstand environmental shocks. Introducing drought-resistant crop varieties and climate-smart agricultural practices also enables farmers to adapt to changing weather patterns, thereby protecting livelihoods and food availability. These measures are particularly important as climate change continues to increase the frequency and severity of extreme weather events.

Investing in human capital is equally central to sustainable food security strategies. School feeding initiatives represent one of the most effective tools in this regard. These programs not only address child malnutrition but also encourage school attendance, particularly among girls. By sourcing food locally, many school feeding initiatives stimulate rural economies and create stable demand for small-scale farmers. According to the United Nations World Food Program, more than 20 million children benefited from school meal programs across dozens of countries in recent years.

Food security is also deeply linked to social stability. History shows that rising food prices and shortages often trigger unrest and political instability. When populations struggle to secure basic nutrition while witnessing stark inequalities in access to food, public trust in institutions erodes. Ensuring reliable and equitable access to food therefore represents not only a humanitarian responsibility but also a fundamental pillar of economic resilience, social cohesion, and long-term political stability.

Conclusion

The persistence of hunger in a world capable of producing more than enough food for its population represents one of the most striking paradoxes of the modern global economy. Despite remarkable advances in agricultural productivity, technology, and international trade, millions of people continue to face

chronic food insecurity. This contradiction highlights that the problem of hunger is not primarily a matter of insufficient production, but rather one of unequal distribution, limited economic access, fragile institutions, and environmental vulnerability. As the global food system evolves, these structural inequalities continue to shape who eats adequately and who does not.

The analysis presented in this discussion demonstrates that food security is deeply interconnected with broader social, economic, and environmental systems. Factors such as conflict, climate change, poverty, and weak infrastructure disrupt food availability and access, particularly in vulnerable regions. At the same time, the coexistence of undernutrition and obesity illustrates the growing imbalance between food quantity and nutritional quality in modern food systems. Addressing this dual challenge requires coordinated efforts that go beyond short-term humanitarian assistance.

Organizations such as the United Nations World Food Program play a crucial role in responding to immediate crises, yet long-term solutions must focus on strengthening local food systems, improving agricultural sustainability, and expanding social protection mechanisms. Investments in rural development, climate-resilient agriculture, and human capital are essential to breaking the cycle of hunger. Ultimately, building a fair and resilient global food system requires sustained international cooperation, effective governance, and policies that prioritize equitable access to safe and nutritious food for all.

References: FAO; IFAD; UNICEF; WFP; WHO; Lagi et al; Lieberman; Maslow; UN Environment Program; von Grebmer et al.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Agricultural Economics, Selcuk University, Konya-Türkiye and can be reached at mdirek@selcuk.edu.tr

Fermented Synbiotic Sorghum-Coconut Porridge

Discover the benefits of fermented synbiotic sorghum-coconut porridge, a functional food that enhances gut health through probiotics and prebiotics. This nutrient-rich porridge supports digestive function, boosts immunity, and improves metabolic health while reducing antinutritional compounds.

Bahzad Afzal & Hafsa Yasin

3/9/2026

Gut health is increasingly recognized as a fundamental component of overall human well-being, playing a crucial role in digestion, immune regulation, and metabolic balance. The human gastrointestinal tract hosts trillions of microorganisms collectively known as the gut microbiota, which influence nutrient absorption, immune responses, and protection against pathogens. Scientific research has demonstrated that disturbances in this microbial ecosystem are associated with numerous gastrointestinal and metabolic disorders, including inflammatory bowel diseases, obesity, and diabetes. As a result, there has been growing interest in dietary strategies that can help maintain or restore a healthy microbial balance.

Functional foods enriched with probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics have therefore gained considerable attention for their potential to support gut health. Synbiotics are specialized formulations that combine beneficial microorganisms (probiotics) with substrates that promote their growth (prebiotics), thereby improving the survival, colonization, and activity of beneficial microbes in the gastrointestinal tract (Luo et al., 2025). Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) is an ancient cereal grain and the fifth most widely produced crop globally, serving as a staple food for nearly 500 million people, especially in the semi-arid regions of Africa and Asia (de São José et al., 2025; Afolabi & Olasupo, 2025). The grain is nutritionally valuable because it contains high levels of dietary fiber, resistant starch, and bioactive phenolic compounds such as flavonoids and phenolic acids. These compounds exhibit prebiotic properties that can stimulate the growth of beneficial gut bacteria and contribute to improved intestinal health (de São José et al., 2025; Afolabi & Olasupo, 2025). Despite these advantages, the nutritional benefits of sorghum are often limited by the presence of antinutritional factors, including phytates and tannins, which can reduce the bioavailability of proteins and essential minerals (USDA, 2021; Kim et al., 2025). Traditional food processing techniques, particularly fermentation, offer an

effective solution to these limitations by breaking down antinutritional compounds, enhancing nutrient digestibility, and improving the functional properties of the grain.

Incorporating coconut milk as a fermentation medium further enhances the nutritional and functional value of sorghum-based foods. Coconut milk is a plant-based ingredient rich in natural sugars, healthy lipids, and micronutrients, providing an excellent environment for the growth of probiotic microorganisms. Moreover, the use of coconut milk addresses the increasing demand for dairy-free alternatives among lactose-intolerant consumers and those following plant-based diets (Adebo & Adebisi, 2025; Abeyundara et al., 2025). Consequently, the development of a fermented synbiotic sorghum-coconut porridge represents a promising innovation in functional foods. Such a product has the potential to deliver beneficial probiotics while simultaneously providing prebiotic substrates, making it an affordable, culturally acceptable, and nutritionally valuable dietary option for promoting gut health, particularly in regions where sorghum is already widely consumed.

Composition and Nutritional Significance

Synbiotic sorghum-coconut porridge is a nutrient-rich fermented functional food developed from sorghum flour and coconut milk. This product represents a gluten-free alternative to traditional porridges made from oats or wheat and is particularly suitable for individuals seeking plant-based or dairy-free dietary options. During the process of controlled fermentation, beneficial microorganisms, primarily lactic acid bacteria, grow and transform the raw ingredients into a nutritionally enhanced synbiotic food. The term synbiotic refers to the combination of probiotics and prebiotics in a single product. In this formulation, sorghum provides prebiotic dietary fibers that stimulate beneficial gut bacteria, while coconut milk serves as a supportive medium for probiotic growth and delivery (Abeyundara et al., 2025). As a result, porridge offers a balanced profile of

fiber, protein, and bioactive compounds that contribute to digestive health and overall nutrition.

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) is widely recognized for its rich nutritional composition. It contains complex carbohydrates that provide sustained energy, along with substantial amounts of dietary fiber and plant-based protein. In addition, sorghum is a good source of B vitamins and essential minerals such as iron, phosphorus, potassium, and magnesium (Afolabi & Olasupo, 2025). The nutritional composition can vary depending on the genotype, with certain varieties such as brown sorghum showing higher levels of minerals and bioactive compounds compared with red varieties. These nutrients play important roles in metabolic processes, bone health, and overall physiological functioning.

Coconut milk further enhances the nutritional value of the porridge by supplying medium-chain fatty acids, proteins, and micronutrients such as potassium, phosphorus, and vitamin E (Adebo & Adebisi, 2025; Abeyundara et al., 2025). Its natural sugars and lipids create an ideal environment for probiotic bacteria such as *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, and *Lactobacillus pentosus* to grow effectively during fermentation (Adebo & Adebisi, 2025).

Fermentation is the critical step that improves the nutritional and functional quality of this food. Through microbial activity, antinutritional compounds such as tannins and phytates are reduced, which enhances protein digestibility and mineral absorption (USDA, 2021; Kim et al., 2025). At the same time, fermentation increases beneficial phytochemicals including flavonoids and phenolic acids such as gallic, caffeic, and ferulic acids. These compounds act as antioxidants and support gut health by promoting a favorable intestinal environment. Consequently, fermented synbiotic sorghum-coconut porridge represents a promising functional food with improved nutritional availability and potential health benefits.

Health Benefits of Fermented Synbiotic Sorghum–Coconut Porridge

Fermented synbiotic sorghum–coconut porridge offers a wide range of health benefits due to the combined effects of fermentation, probiotic activity, and the natural nutritional properties of sorghum and coconut milk. These benefits are particularly relevant for improving digestive health, enhancing nutrient absorption, and supporting overall metabolic well-being.

One of the most important benefits of this functional food is its role in modulating the gut microbiota and improving digestive health. Sorghum contains dietary fibers and resistant starches that act as prebiotics, stimulating the growth of beneficial gut microorganisms. Research indicates that sorghum consumption can enhance the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), which are essential for maintaining colon health and regulating intestinal metabolism (de São José et al., 2025). When combined with probiotics produced during fermentation, the resulting synbiotic formulation promotes a balanced gut microbiome, strengthens the intestinal barrier, and contributes to improved digestive function. Studies have also shown that synbiotic foods may reduce intestinal inflammation and enhance immune responses by maintaining microbial balance in the gastrointestinal tract (Green et al., 2025).

Another significant advantage of this porridge is the improved bioavailability of nutrients resulting from fermentation. Sorghum naturally contains antinutritional compounds such as phytates and tannins that can limit the absorption of minerals and proteins. The fermentation process helps break down these compounds, thereby increasing the bioavailability of essential nutrients such as

iron and zinc while also improving protein digestibility (USDA, 2021; Kim et al., 2025).

In addition, the porridge exhibits antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. Sorghum is rich in phenolic compounds and polyphenols, which are known for their antioxidant effects. Fermentation further increases these bioactive compounds, including phenolic acids such as gallic, caffeic, and ferulic acids. These compounds help reduce oxidative stress, support immune health, and alleviate digestive discomfort (Kim et al., 2025; Afolabi & Olasupo, 2025).

The product is also suitable for individuals with dietary sensitivities. Being naturally gluten-free and lactose-free, it provides a nutritious alternative for people with celiac disease, gluten intolerance, or lactose intolerance. Furthermore, the use of locally available ingredients such as sorghum and coconut makes this porridge an affordable and sustainable functional food option, particularly in many regions of Africa and Asia where these crops are widely cultivated (de São José et al., 2025; Afolabi & Olasupo, 2025).

Conclusion

Fermented synbiotic sorghum–coconut porridge represents a promising functional food that combines traditional ingredients with modern nutritional science to support gut health and overall well-being. The integration of sorghum and coconut milk, combined with controlled fermentation, creates a nutrient-rich food product that delivers both probiotics and prebiotic substrates. This synbiotic interaction promotes balanced gut microbiota, enhances digestive function, and contributes to improved immune and metabolic health. The fermentation process further strengthens the nutritional quality of the porridge by reducing

antinutritional compounds such as phytates and tannins while increasing the bioavailability of essential minerals and proteins. At the same time, fermentation enhances the concentration of beneficial phytochemicals and antioxidants that help reduce oxidative stress and support intestinal health.

Another significant advantage of this functional food is its suitability for diverse dietary needs. Being naturally gluten-free, lactose-free, and plant-based, synbiotic sorghum–coconut porridge offers a healthy dietary alternative for individuals with food sensitivities or those following vegetarian or dairy-free diets. Moreover, the use of widely available crops such as sorghum and coconut makes this product affordable and culturally adaptable in many developing regions.

Overall, the development of fermented synbiotic sorghum–coconut porridge highlights the potential of combining traditional grains with fermentation technology to create innovative, nutritious, and sustainable foods. Such functional foods can play an important role in promoting gut health, improving nutritional outcomes, and supporting healthier dietary patterns worldwide.

References: Abeysundara et al; Adebo & Adebisi; Afolabi & Olasupo; de São José et al; Green et al; Kim et al; Luo et al; USDA.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writers are affiliated with National Institute of Food Science and Technology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan and can be reached at bahzad.afzal@uaf.edu.pk

Agriculture's Role in Economic Stability and Food Security

Explore how agriculture supports rural livelihoods, food security, and national economic stability in developing economies. Understand the multifaceted effects of IMF programs on agricultural productivity and market responsiveness.

Meerab Riaz

3/19/2026

Agriculture continues to serve as the backbone of many developing economies, particularly in countries such as Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Egypt, Nigeria, and Kenya, where a large share of the population relies directly on

farming, livestock, and natural resources for their livelihoods. The sector not only provides food and employment but also underpins rural economies, supports agro-industries, and contributes to national GDP. Despite its

centrality, agriculture in these countries faces persistent structural constraints, including low productivity, outdated technologies, insufficient infrastructure, poor access to

markets, limited credit availability, and vulnerability to climate shocks.

These challenges are compounded by recurring macroeconomic instabilities, characterized by fiscal deficits, balance-of-payment pressures, high public debt, and declining foreign exchange reserves, which further strain rural households and smallholder farmers.

To address macroeconomic instability, developing nations frequently turn to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) for financial assistance. IMF lending typically comes with conditionalities, often in the form of Structural Adjustment Programs (SAPs), designed to restore macroeconomic stability through fiscal consolidation, market liberalization, and institutional reforms. According to the IMF's 2023 Annual Report, over \$90 billion in new financing was approved globally under 41 programs, with a large portion directed to low-income and emerging economies (IMF, 2023). While these programs are not specifically targeted at agriculture, they indirectly influence the sector by shaping policies related to subsidies, trade, pricing, and investment. The effects are multifaceted: efficiency-oriented gains, such as improved resource allocation and market responsiveness, are often accompanied by socio-economic costs, including reduced support for smallholder farmers, food price volatility, and threats to food security.

This article critically examines the interplay between IMF interventions and agriculture, emphasizing the need to balance macroeconomic stabilization with the protection of rural livelihoods, equitable access to inputs, and sustainable food systems. By analyzing both benefits and risks, it seeks to inform policy strategies that promote resilience and inclusive agricultural development under externally influenced economic frameworks.

How IMF Programs Impact Agriculture at the Farm Level

Although IMF programs are designed primarily for macroeconomic stabilization, their policies have far-reaching implications for agriculture, which is highly sensitive to economic conditions. IMF conditionalities influence farming indirectly through several channels, reshaping the environment in which producers operate. Subsidy reforms affect the affordability of critical inputs such as fertilizer, fuel, electricity, irrigation, and credit. Currency devaluations alter import and export prices, influencing the cost of inputs and the

competitiveness of agricultural commodities in global markets. Fiscal austerity often constrains public investment in rural infrastructure, research, and extension services. Trade liberalization exposes domestic farmers to international competition, while privatization changes the institutional support landscape. The World Bank notes that these macroeconomic interventions frequently have more immediate and pronounced effects on smallholder farmers than targeted sectoral policies themselves (World Bank, 2023).

Despite the challenges, IMF-backed reforms can generate benefits for agriculture. Rationalizing input subsidies can improve resource-use efficiency by discouraging wasteful consumption of fertilizer, water, and energy, mitigating environmental risks such as soil degradation and groundwater depletion. Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa shows that reductions in fertilizer subsidies encouraged targeted application while maintaining yields, with some farmers adopting water-saving technologies like drip irrigation (FAO, 2022). Currency devaluation can enhance export competitiveness, supporting sectors such as rice, cotton, fruits, fisheries, and tea. Following Pakistan's 2019 IMF program, rice exports rose by 15 percent due to rupee depreciation (Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, 2023). Privatization and deregulation can also stimulate private sector investment in seeds, fertilizers, machinery, and agro-processing, improving supply chains and introducing modern technologies, as observed in Kenya and Tanzania (USAID, 2023). Finally, subsidy rationalization may free fiscal resources for long-term investments in rural infrastructure, agricultural research, and climate resilience, with examples from India and Ethiopia demonstrating improvements in agricultural sustainability (IFPRI, 2022).

The Hidden Costs: Smallholders and Food Security Under IMF Programs

While IMF programs aim to restore macroeconomic stability, their impacts on agriculture are often severe, especially for smallholder farmers and food security. The removal of subsidies increases the cost of essential inputs such as fertilizer, diesel, electricity, and irrigation water. The Food and Agriculture Organization reports that fertilizer prices in developing countries rose by 25 to 40 percent following subsidy reforms under IMF programs between 2018 and 2023 (FAO, 2023). In Pakistan, urea prices increased by 30 percent within two years of the 2019 Extended

Fund Facility, forcing small farmers to reduce fertilizer application and compromising crop yields (PIDE, 2023). Higher input costs discourage technology adoption and disproportionately affect resource-poor farmers who lack access to credit or savings.

Currency devaluation, while beneficial for exporters, increases the cost of imported inputs including hybrid seeds, pesticides, machinery, and irrigation equipment. This contributes to overall inflation, raising food prices and reducing real incomes in rural areas. The World Food Program notes that food inflation averaged 18 percent in IMF-program countries during 2022–2023, compared to 12 percent in non-program developing economies, with rural households hardest hit (WFP, 2023).

Fiscal austerity further reduces public investment in rural development. Spending cuts affect roads, irrigation systems, research and extension services, veterinary programs, and flood protection infrastructure, slowing agricultural modernization and widening rural–urban disparities. Oxfam (2022) found that public agricultural spending fell by an average of 12 percent during program implementation years.

Exposure to global competition under trade liberalization undermines local producers. In Senegal, rice liberalization led to a 30 percent decline in domestic production between 1990 and 2010 (FAO, 2022). Privatization and institutional restructuring weaken public seed corporations, marketing boards, and extension services, leaving small farmers in remote areas underserved (IFAD, 2023).

Finally, IMF programs often encourage a shift toward export-oriented cash crops such as cotton, sugarcane, and fruits, reducing support for staple foods. This has led to a decline in staple food self-sufficiency by 5–8 percentage points in program countries, heightening dependence on imports and exacerbating food security risks (IFPRI, 2022). Collectively, these factors illustrate that macroeconomic stabilization measures, while necessary, can carry substantial hidden costs for smallholder agriculture and rural food security.

Country Patterns and Policy Implications for Agriculture

Across developing countries such as Pakistan, Bangladesh, Nigeria, Kenya, and Egypt, IMF-supported programs reveal remarkably consistent patterns. Subsidy reductions increase the cost of key agricultural inputs, currency devaluation raises the price of

imported seeds and fertilizers, and fiscal austerity reduces public spending on rural development. While export-oriented sectors may benefit from improved competitiveness, food crops and smallholder farmers often face disproportionate burdens.

In Pakistan, the 2019-2025 Extended Fund Facility required cuts in agricultural subsidies, energy tariff reforms, and exchange rate liberalization. Although the program stabilized external accounts, small farmers contended with rising input costs, electricity shortages for tubewells, and limited access to subsidized credit (Pakistan Economic Survey, 2023). In Egypt, IMF-supported reforms led to bread subsidy reductions and fuel price hikes, raising concerns about urban food security and increased transportation costs for rural farmers (World Bank, 2023). Nigeria's agricultural sector experienced fertilizer price spikes and shrinking extension services following its 2020 IMF facility, highlighting the vulnerability of smallholders to macroeconomic adjustments (USAID, 2023).

These experiences suggest that IMF programs create a complex mixture of outcomes: efficiency gains and export growth coexist with higher production costs, weakened institutions, and increased vulnerability for subsistence farmers. To mitigate these risks, policymakers should adopt gradual reform implementation, allowing farmers time to adjust production practices and invest in alternative inputs. Targeted subsidy protections for smallholders, protection of rural infrastructure during fiscal consolidation,

expanded social safety nets, and integration of agricultural policy into broader macroeconomic frameworks are essential. Such measures can help balance macroeconomic stability with agricultural sustainability, ensuring that small farmers remain productive, and food security is maintained while pursuing broader economic reforms.

Conclusion

Agriculture remains a vital sector in developing economies, underpinning rural livelihoods, food security, and national economic stability. While IMF programs aim to restore macroeconomic balance through fiscal consolidation, subsidy reforms, currency devaluation, trade liberalization, and institutional restructuring, their effects on agriculture are multifaceted. On one hand, rationalization of input subsidies can improve resource-use efficiency, currency devaluation may enhance export competitiveness, and privatization can attract private investment and modernize supply chains. These outcomes can stimulate productivity and strengthen market responsiveness, particularly for commercial crops and export-oriented sectors.

However, the hidden costs of IMF interventions disproportionately affect smallholder farmers and staple food production. Rising input costs, inflationary pressures, weakened public institutions, reduced rural development spending, and exposure to global competition exacerbate vulnerabilities, limit technology adoption, and

threaten local food security. The shift toward export-focused crops further undermines domestic staple self-sufficiency, creating heightened dependence on imports and increasing risks for vulnerable populations.

Experience from countries such as Pakistan, Egypt, Nigeria, Bangladesh, and Kenya demonstrates that IMF programs generate both efficiency gains and socio-economic challenges, highlighting the critical need for policy calibration. Gradual reform implementation, targeted support for smallholders, protection of rural infrastructure, expansion of social safety nets, and integration of agricultural priorities into macroeconomic frameworks are essential to ensure that stabilization measures do not compromise rural livelihoods or national food security. Balancing economic reform with agricultural sustainability is crucial for resilient and inclusive growth in developing economies.

References: FAO; IFPRI; IFAD; IMF; Oxfam; Pakistan Bureau of Statistics; Pakistan Economic Survey; PIDE; USAID; World Bank; WFP.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan and can be reached at officialabdullah332@gmail.com

Agriculture's Role in Economic Stability and Food Security

Explore how agriculture supports rural livelihoods, food security, and national economic stability in developing economies. Understand the multifaceted effects of IMF programs on agricultural productivity and market responsiveness.

Meerab Riaz

3/19/2026

Agriculture continues to serve as the backbone of many developing economies, particularly in countries such as Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Egypt, Nigeria, and Kenya, where a large share of the population relies directly on farming, livestock, and natural resources for their livelihoods. The sector not only provides food and employment but also underpins rural economies, supports agro-industries, and contributes to national GDP. Despite its centrality, agriculture in these countries faces

persistent structural constraints, including low productivity, outdated technologies, insufficient infrastructure, poor access to markets, limited credit availability, and vulnerability to climate shocks.

These challenges are compounded by recurring macroeconomic instabilities, characterized by fiscal deficits, balance-of-payment pressures, high public debt, and declining foreign

exchange reserves, which further strain rural households and smallholder farmers.

To address macroeconomic instability, developing nations frequently turn to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) for financial assistance. IMF lending typically comes with conditionalities, often in the form of Structural Adjustment Programs (SAPs), designed to restore macroeconomic stability through fiscal consolidation, market

liberalization, and institutional reforms. According to the IMF's 2023 Annual Report, over \$90 billion in new financing was approved globally under 41 programs, with a large portion directed to low-income and emerging economies (IMF, 2023). While these programs are not specifically targeted at agriculture, they indirectly influence the sector by shaping policies related to subsidies, trade, pricing, and investment. The effects are multifaceted: efficiency-oriented gains, such as improved resource allocation and market responsiveness, are often accompanied by socio-economic costs, including reduced support for smallholder farmers, food price volatility, and threats to food security.

This article critically examines the interplay between IMF interventions and agriculture, emphasizing the need to balance macroeconomic stabilization with the protection of rural livelihoods, equitable access to inputs, and sustainable food systems. By analyzing both benefits and risks, it seeks to inform policy strategies that promote resilience and inclusive agricultural development under externally influenced economic frameworks.

How IMF Programs Impact Agriculture at the Farm Level

Although IMF programs are designed primarily for macroeconomic stabilization, their policies have far-reaching implications for agriculture, which is highly sensitive to economic conditions. IMF conditionalities influence farming indirectly through several channels, reshaping the environment in which producers operate. Subsidy reforms affect the affordability of critical inputs such as fertilizer, fuel, electricity, irrigation, and credit. Currency devaluations alter import and export prices, influencing the cost of inputs and the competitiveness of agricultural commodities in global markets. Fiscal austerity often constrains public investment in rural infrastructure, research, and extension services. Trade liberalization exposes domestic farmers to international competition, while privatization changes the institutional support landscape. The World Bank notes that these macroeconomic interventions frequently have more immediate and pronounced effects on smallholder farmers than targeted sectoral policies themselves (World Bank, 2023).

Despite the challenges, IMF-backed reforms can generate benefits for agriculture. Rationalizing input subsidies can improve resource-use efficiency by discouraging wasteful consumption of fertilizer, water, and

energy, mitigating environmental risks such as soil degradation and groundwater depletion. Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa shows that reductions in fertilizer subsidies encouraged targeted application while maintaining yields, with some farmers adopting water-saving technologies like drip irrigation (FAO, 2022). Currency devaluation can enhance export competitiveness, supporting sectors such as rice, cotton, fruits, fisheries, and tea. Following Pakistan's 2019 IMF program, rice exports rose by 15 percent due to rupee depreciation (Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, 2023). Privatization and deregulation can also stimulate private sector investment in seeds, fertilizers, machinery, and agro-processing, improving supply chains and introducing modern technologies, as observed in Kenya and Tanzania (USAID, 2023). Finally, subsidy rationalization may free fiscal resources for long-term investments in rural infrastructure, agricultural research, and climate resilience, with examples from India and Ethiopia demonstrating improvements in agricultural sustainability (IFPRI, 2022).

The Hidden Costs: Smallholders and Food Security Under IMF Programs

While IMF programs aim to restore macroeconomic stability, their impacts on agriculture are often severe, especially for smallholder farmers and food security. The removal of subsidies increases the cost of essential inputs such as fertilizer, diesel, electricity, and irrigation water. The Food and Agriculture Organization reports that fertilizer prices in developing countries rose by 25 to 40 percent following subsidy reforms under IMF programs between 2018 and 2023 (FAO, 2023). In Pakistan, urea prices increased by 30 percent within two years of the 2019 Extended Fund Facility, forcing small farmers to reduce fertilizer application and compromising crop yields (PIDE, 2023). Higher input costs discourage technology adoption and disproportionately affect resource-poor farmers who lack access to credit or savings.

Currency devaluation, while beneficial for exporters, increases the cost of imported inputs including hybrid seeds, pesticides, machinery, and irrigation equipment. This contributes to overall inflation, raising food prices and reducing real incomes in rural areas. The World Food Program notes that food inflation averaged 18 percent in IMF-program countries during 2022–2023, compared to 12 percent in non-program developing economies, with rural households hardest hit (WFP, 2023).

Fiscal austerity further reduces public investment in rural development. Spending cuts affect roads, irrigation systems, research and extension services, veterinary programs, and flood protection infrastructure, slowing agricultural modernization and widening rural–urban disparities. Oxfam (2022) found that public agricultural spending fell by an average of 12 percent during program implementation years.

Exposure to global competition under trade liberalization undermines local producers. In Senegal, rice liberalization led to a 30 percent decline in domestic production between 1990 and 2010 (FAO, 2022). Privatization and institutional restructuring weaken public seed corporations, marketing boards, and extension services, leaving small farmers in remote areas underserved (IFAD, 2023).

Finally, IMF programs often encourage a shift toward export-oriented cash crops such as cotton, sugarcane, and fruits, reducing support for staple foods. This has led to a decline in staple food self-sufficiency by 5–8 percentage points in program countries, heightening dependence on imports and exacerbating food security risks (IFPRI, 2022). Collectively, these factors illustrate that macroeconomic stabilization measures, while necessary, can carry substantial hidden costs for smallholder agriculture and rural food security.

Country Patterns and Policy Implications for Agriculture

Across developing countries such as Pakistan, Bangladesh, Nigeria, Kenya, and Egypt, IMF-supported programs reveal remarkably consistent patterns. Subsidy reductions increase the cost of key agricultural inputs, currency devaluation raises the price of imported seeds and fertilizers, and fiscal austerity reduces public spending on rural development. While export-oriented sectors may benefit from improved competitiveness, food crops and smallholder farmers often face disproportionate burdens.

In Pakistan, the 2019–2025 Extended Fund Facility required cuts in agricultural subsidies, energy tariff reforms, and exchange rate liberalization. Although the program stabilized external accounts, small farmers contended with rising input costs, electricity shortages for tubewells, and limited access to subsidized credit (Pakistan Economic Survey, 2023). In Egypt, IMF-supported reforms led to bread subsidy reductions and fuel price hikes, raising concerns about urban food security and

increased transportation costs for rural farmers (World Bank, 2023). Nigeria's agricultural sector experienced fertilizer price spikes and shrinking extension services following its 2020 IMF facility, highlighting the vulnerability of smallholders to macroeconomic adjustments (USAID, 2023).

These experiences suggest that IMF programs create a complex mixture of outcomes: efficiency gains and export growth coexist with higher production costs, weakened institutions, and increased vulnerability for subsistence farmers. To mitigate these risks, policymakers should adopt gradual reform implementation, allowing farmers time to adjust production practices and invest in alternative inputs. Targeted subsidy protections for smallholders, protection of rural infrastructure during fiscal consolidation, expanded social safety nets, and integration of agricultural policy into broader macroeconomic frameworks are essential. Such measures can help balance macroeconomic stability with agricultural sustainability, ensuring that small farmers remain productive, and food security is maintained while pursuing broader economic reforms.

Conclusion

Agriculture remains a vital sector in developing economies, underpinning rural livelihoods, food security, and national economic stability. While IMF programs aim to restore macroeconomic balance through fiscal consolidation, subsidy reforms, currency devaluation, trade liberalization, and institutional restructuring, their effects on agriculture are multifaceted. On one hand, rationalization of input subsidies can improve resource-use efficiency, currency devaluation may enhance export competitiveness, and privatization can attract private investment and modernize supply chains. These outcomes can stimulate productivity and strengthen market responsiveness, particularly for commercial crops and export-oriented sectors.

However, the hidden costs of IMF interventions disproportionately affect smallholder farmers and staple food production. Rising input costs, inflationary pressures, weakened public institutions, reduced rural development spending, and exposure to global competition exacerbate vulnerabilities, limit technology adoption, and threaten local food security. The shift toward export-focused crops further undermines domestic staple self-sufficiency, creating heightened dependence on imports and increasing risks for vulnerable populations.

Experience from countries such as Pakistan, Egypt, Nigeria, Bangladesh, and Kenya demonstrates that IMF programs generate both efficiency gains and socio-economic challenges, highlighting the critical need for policy calibration. Gradual reform implementation, targeted support for smallholders, protection of rural infrastructure, expansion of social safety nets, and integration of agricultural priorities into macroeconomic frameworks are essential to ensure that stabilization measures do not compromise rural livelihoods or national food security. Balancing economic reform with agricultural sustainability is crucial for resilient and inclusive growth in developing economies.

References: FAO; IFPRI; IFAD; IMF; Oxfam; Pakistan Bureau of Statistics; Pakistan Economic Survey; PIDE; USAID; World Bank; WFP.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan and can be reached at officialabdullah332@gmail.com

Vaccine Acceptance: Economic Structures Impact Decisions

Explore how economic structures influence vaccine acceptance and immunization decisions. Learn about the role of opportunity costs, labor market insecurity, and perceptions of institutional legitimacy in shaping public health choices.

Maham Asif

3/2/2026

The global landscape of vaccination has undergone a seismic shift between 2016 and 2025. While traditional public health models concentrated on health literacy deficits and the diffusion of misinformation, contemporary scholarship increasingly situates vaccine uptake within the broader economic architecture of household decision-making. As Sallam (2021) demonstrated, vaccine hesitancy is not a homogeneous behavioral anomaly, but a context-dependent response shaped by socioeconomic constraints, institutional trust, and perceived cost-benefit trade-offs. This evolving perspective reframes immunization behavior as an outcome of rational, albeit constrained, economic choices.

Recent empirical evidence highlights household income as a structural determinant of vaccine acceptance. Low-income households often face indirect costs, transportation expenses, forgone wages, and time lost from informal employment, that elevate the opportunity cost of vaccination. In fragile labor markets, occupational instability further discourages preventive health investments, particularly when short-term income security supersedes long-term health considerations. Conversely, stable employment with paid leave and employer-supported health benefits correlates strongly with higher immunization coverage.

At the meso and macro levels, corporate incentives and political economy dynamics also shape vaccine confidence. Pharmaceutical pricing strategies, intellectual property regimes, and perceptions of profit maximization influence public trust. In contexts marked by high out-of-pocket health expenditures or visible corporate windfalls, skepticism may intensify, particularly among economically marginalized groups.

Macroeconomic instability, including inflationary pressures, fiscal austerity, and public debt crises can erode institutional credibility and weaken public health

infrastructure. Under such conditions, vaccination decisions become embedded within broader anxieties about governance and economic security. Collectively, these findings underscore that vaccine uptake cannot be divorced from economic realities; sustainable immunization strategies must therefore integrate financial accessibility, labor protections, transparent pricing, and macroeconomic stability into public health design.

Economic Structures and Vaccine Uptake

Vaccination behavior across countries reflects structural economic conditions more than simple attitudinal resistance. In Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs), refusal is frequently rooted in financial exclusion rather than ideological opposition. Evidence from rural Pakistan shows that every 10-kilometer increase in distance to a vaccination facility reduces full immunization coverage by 8.5 percentage points (Abidi et al., 2023), illustrating the decisive role of access costs. For households living near or below the poverty line, the opportunity cost of seeking care can be prohibitive. Lost daily wages, transportation expenses, and informal user fees convert preventive health into an immediate economic sacrifice. Ozawa et al. (2018) estimate that completing childhood immunization in LMICs requires median out-of-pocket expenditures equal to 3.2% of monthly non-food spending. Amid rising inflation, a 2024 UNICEF report documented declining vaccine confidence in 55 countries as families prioritized food and fuel over preventive services.

In High-Income Countries (HICs), a contrasting “wealth paradox” emerges. Financial security can reduce perceived vulnerability to communicable disease. Affluent households often rely on superior housing, nutrition, and sanitation as substitutes for collective immunity, fostering a perception of health independence. A 2024 study in

California identified lower childhood vaccination rates in wealthy, highly educated communities, where non-medical exemptions and preference for natural immunity were prevalent (Smith et al., 2024).

Labor market conditions further shape vaccine decisions. Formal-sector workers with paid leave are more likely to accept boosters, whereas gig and informal workers view short-term side effects as income risks. Lin et al. (2025) found that 44% of surveyed U.S. gig workers avoided COVID-19 boosters due to inability to afford time off. Finally, perceptions of pharmaceutical profit motives have intensified distrust. A 2023 poll by the Kaiser Family Foundation reported that 71% of unvaccinated adults believed vaccine benefits were exaggerated to enhance corporate profits, underscoring how commodification narratives erode institutional trust and weaken public health compliance.

Macro-Economic Instability and the Political Economy of Vaccine Behavior

Since 2020, the global economy has been marked by inflationary surges, energy price volatility, and supply chain fragmentation. Within this context, scholars have advanced the concept of an “economic infodemic,” arguing that macroeconomic instability distorts health communication and reshapes risk perception (Chowdhury et al., 2024). Under extreme inflation, households adopt a scarcity mindset: when food, rent, and fuel costs rise abruptly, cognitive bandwidth narrows and long-term preventive health behaviors are deprioritized. Cross-national evidence from 14 European countries during the 2022–2023 cost-of-living crisis shows that a 10% rise in household energy expenditures corresponded with a 2.5% decline in willingness to receive seasonal influenza vaccination. Preventive care becomes subordinate to immediate material survival.

Debates over financial incentives further illuminate the limits of transactional public

health strategies. While lotteries and cash transfers have produced short-term increases in uptake, emerging literature questions their durability. Incentives may unintentionally signal risk-imposing that compensation is necessary because the intervention is undesirable. In contrast, structural grants such as workplace-based mobile clinics reduce logistical barriers without commodifying the decision, generating more sustainable compliance.

At the macro level, vaccine uptake is closely tied to perceptions of the social contract. When governments visibly invest in public health infrastructure, immunization is framed as a public good rather than a market commodity. Conversely, in systems shaped by prolonged austerity or privatization, declining trust may weaken compliance. Survey evidence from the Kaiser Family Foundation illustrates how skepticism intensifies when pharmaceutical profitability dominates public discourse. This dynamic reflects what some scholars term “economic separation,” where citizens who feel economically unprotected resist granting the state authority over bodily decisions.

Labor market precarity adds another structural layer. For hourly or gig workers, vaccination entails a “time-tax.” Each hour spent traveling or waiting reduces the probability of completing multi-dose schedules, particularly where paid leave is absent. Such behavior is often mislabeled as apathy but reflects rational adaptation to rigid labor markets.

Globally, vaccine nationalism between 2020 and 2022 reinforced perceptions of geopolitical inequality. Delayed access in parts of the Global South fostered resentment, reframing immunization as an instrument of economic power rather than solidarity. Simultaneously, the digital attention economy monetized polarization, amplifying sensational anti-vaccine narratives because engagement generates advertising revenue. The commercialization of misinformation compounds economic stress, embedding vaccine decisions within broader systems of financial volatility, institutional trust, and global power asymmetries.

Toward Economic Integration in

Immunization Policy

Future scholarship increasingly advocates an “economic integration” framework in public health, recognizing that vaccine uptake is inseparable from labor protections, income security, and institutional design. Rather than treating hesitancy as an isolated behavioral anomaly, this approach embeds immunization within broader socioeconomic policy architecture. A central proposal is the institutionalization of universal paid sick leave as a mandatory component of vaccine rollout. Evidence indicates that guaranteed recovery time reduces perceived income risk associated with short-term side effects and significantly improves booster compliance (Rhodes et al., 2023). By internalizing the temporary productivity loss within formal labor systems, governments can neutralize one of the most persistent barriers among precarious workers.

Complementing this, direct-to-workplace vaccine delivery models aim to eliminate the “time-tax” that disproportionately burdens hourly and informal employees. Mobile clinics stationed at factories, offices, and agricultural sites reduce travel and waiting time, thereby lowering opportunity costs (Lin et al., 2025). This structural solution reframes access as a public responsibility rather than an individual logistical challenge.

On the financing side, sliding-scale co-payment systems can balance equity and fiscal sustainability. By adjusting contributions according to household income, policymakers can preserve program funding while shielding low-income families from out-of-pocket burdens. Finally, proposals for public-option or non-profit vaccine development models seek to counteract distrust rooted in profit-driven narratives (Abidi et al., 2023). By positioning vaccines unequivocally as public goods, such reforms could strengthen vertical trust between citizens and the state, anchoring immunization within a more credible and socially embedded economic contract.

Conclusion

Vaccine acceptance and refusal have increasingly reflected economic structures rather than purely informational deficits. The evidence reviewed demonstrates that

immunization decisions are embedded in opportunity costs, labor market insecurity, macroeconomic volatility, and perceptions of institutional legitimacy. For low-income households, access barriers and forgone wages transform vaccination into an immediate financial calculation. For affluent populations, perceived health security can weaken the perceived necessity of collective immunity. Across contexts, precarious employment, inflationary pressures, and austerity policies reshape risk perception and compress the cognitive bandwidth required for preventive decision-making.

Moreover, the political economy of pharmaceutical profits, vaccine nationalism, and digital misinformation ecosystems has complicated public trust. When health interventions are framed as market commodities rather than public goods, compliance becomes entangled with broader grievances about inequality and governance. Survey findings from the Kaiser Family Foundation underscore how profit narratives amplify skepticism, particularly during periods of economic stress.

The central implication is clear: sustainable immunization policy must extend beyond communication campaigns. Integrating paid sick leave, reducing time-tax burdens, ensuring equitable financing, and reinforcing public-sector stewardship are not peripheral reforms but foundational strategies. Ultimately, vaccine confidence is built upon economic security and credible institutions; without strengthening these pillars, public health objectives will remain structurally constrained.

References: Abidi et al; Aregbeshola; Chowdhury et al; Ettman et al; Hadjipanayis et al; Kaiser Family Foundation; Kirbiš; Lin et al; Ozawa et al; Rhodes et al; Sallam; Smith et al; UNICEF.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad Pakistan and can be reached at maham.asif1414@gmail.com

Combatting Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) Challenges

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) creates serious economic and health challenges, driving up healthcare costs and affecting national budgets and labor markets. Learn how AMR impacts our health systems.

Javaria Iftikhar

3/3/2026

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) imposes an immediate and measurable strain on national health budgets by increasing direct medical expenditures. This fiscal pressure arises primarily from prolonged hospital stays, reliance on expensive second-line therapies, and intensified demand for diagnostics and supportive care. The central cost driver has extended length of stay (LoS). When first-line empiric antibiotics fail due to resistance, patients experience more complex and protracted clinical courses. A meta-analysis by Naylor et al. (2018) estimates that resistant infections extend hospitalization by 6.4 to 12.7 additional days, depending on pathogen and infection site.

In resource-constrained systems, these excess bed-days translate into substantial opportunity costs, limiting access for other patients. Evidence from Ghana (Amponsah et al., 2021) shows that multidrug-resistant infections increase hospital occupancy by 4.2 to 5.5 days per case, while Australian surveillance data report additional LoS of 2.9 days for MRSA bloodstream infections and 4.6 days for resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, cumulatively resulting in thousands of lost bed-days annually.

Cost escalation intensifies when generic first-line antibiotics are rendered ineffective. Health systems must shift to newer patented agents or last-resort drugs, often at dramatically higher prices. For example, while vancomycin remains relatively affordable, alternatives such as linezolid or daptomycin can cost hundreds to thousands of dollars per day (Stratchounski et al., 2020). In many Low- and Middle-Income Countries, reliance on colistin for carbapenem-resistant organisms increases the risk of nephrotoxicity, necessitating expensive renal monitoring and, in severe cases, dialysis (Kaye et al., 2021).

The economic burden is particularly severe for high-priority pathogens identified by the World Health Organization. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales infections can add \$22,993 to \$35,503 per bloodstream infection episode (Bartsch et al., 2022). Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis treatment costs are 10 to 25 times

higher than drug-susceptible TB, while MRSA infections increase inpatient costs by over \$12,000 per patient (Nelson et al., 2021). Collectively, AMR represents a compounding fiscal threat to health system sustainability.

Macroeconomic Consequences of Antimicrobial Resistance

Beyond escalating hospital expenditures, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) generates profound macroeconomic disruptions that reverberate through labor markets, household welfare, and long-term growth trajectories. The most substantial fiscal damage occurs outside clinical settings, where prolonged illness and premature mortality erode workforce participation and depress productivity (Jonas et al., 2017). AMR removes economically active individuals from labor supply through extended morbidity, disability, and death, thereby shrinking the effective labor force and reducing aggregate output.

Mortality estimates underscore the magnitude of this threat. A comprehensive global assessment by Murray et al. (2022) found that bacterial AMR was directly responsible for 1.27 million deaths in 2019 and associated with an additional 3.68 million deaths. Forward-looking projections are even more alarming. The O'Neill Review (2016) warned that, without coordinated global action, annual deaths attributable to AMR could reach 10 million by 2050. Macroeconomic modeling cited by the World Bank suggests that under a high-impact scenario, global GDP could contract by 3.8% annually by mid-century, with cumulative output losses reaching \$100 trillion.

Morbidity-related productivity losses compound these mortality effects. Survivors of resistant infections often experience long-term complications such as amputations following diabetic infections or chronic kidney disease after sepsis leading to presenteeism, early retirement, and sustained income decline. In the European Union, productivity losses linked to AMR are estimated at €600 million annually (ECDC, 2022). Additionally, informal caregiving responsibilities frequently fall on women, reducing female labor force

participation and deepening gender disparities. In low- and middle-income countries lacking social protection systems, these combined shocks can precipitate downward mobility. The World Bank projects that AMR could push 24 million additional people into extreme poverty by 2030, underscoring its role as both a health and development crisis.

One Health Economics: Agriculture, Trade, and Environmental Spillovers

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is fundamentally a One Health challenge, with economic externalities transmitted across human medicine, livestock production, and ecological systems (Robinson et al., 2016). The interdependence of these sectors means that resistance emerging in one domain can rapidly propagate into others, generating cross-sectoral productivity losses and trade distortions.

In animal agriculture, the routine use of antimicrobials for growth promotion and disease prophylaxis has accelerated the development of resistant pathogens. As resistance intensifies, treatment efficacy declines, leading to higher animal morbidity and mortality. This translates directly into reduced feed efficiency, slower weight gain, increased veterinary expenditures, and elevated culling rates. The World Bank estimate that under a high-AMR scenario, global livestock output could decline by 2.6% to 7.5% annually by 2050. For countries heavily dependent on poultry, beef, or aquaculture exports, such contractions would significantly reduce rural incomes and strain agri-food value chains.

Beyond farm-level productivity, AMR poses risks to international trade through sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) regulations. Detection of resistant pathogens such as resistant *E. coli* strains in exported meat products can trigger import restrictions from high-standard markets, including the European Union. These trade barriers may disproportionately affect export-oriented economies in South America and Asia, amplifying fiscal vulnerability. According to projections by the World Bank, global real exports could decline by 1.1% to 3.8% by 2050 under severe resistance scenarios.

Consequently, AMR is not merely a clinical issue, but a systemic economic threat embedded within global food systems and environmental governance.

Regional Economic Burden of Antimicrobial Resistance

The economic consequences of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) differ markedly across regions, shaped by healthcare financing models, disease prevalence, demographic structures, and trade exposure. In high-income countries with advanced tertiary care systems, the direct fiscal burden is particularly pronounced. In the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimated that six major antibiotic-resistant pathogens impose annual direct healthcare costs of approximately \$4.6 billion. When productivity losses, disability, and premature mortality are incorporated, total societal costs may reach \$35 billion per year. High unit costs of hospitalization, intensive care, and advanced therapeutics amplify these financial pressures.

Across Europe, the combined medical expenditures and productivity losses attributed to AMR in the EU/EEA are estimated at €1.5 billion annually (ECDC, 2022). The United Kingdom has responded with innovative policy mechanisms, including £112 million in research funding through the Global AMR Innovation Fund and the piloting of a subscription-based reimbursement model for novel antibiotics under the oversight of National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. This model delinks pharmaceutical revenue from sales volume, incentivizing innovation while supporting stewardship.

The Asia-Pacific region faces a dual challenge of high infectious disease burdens and rapid antimicrobial consumption growth. In India, AMR-related costs are estimated at \$1.4 billion annually (Gandra et al., 2020). In China, total societal costs reached approximately 77 billion RMB (around \$11.2 billion) in 2017, representing 0.37% of GDP (Zhen et al., 2019). These figures underscore AMR's role as both a health crisis and a macroeconomic constraint across diverse economic contexts.

Cost of Inaction vs. Cost of Action

The economic rationale for proactive mitigation of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is increasingly clear: the cost of preventive action is consistently lower than the financial burden of inaction. Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs (ASPs) represent a highly effective investment, demonstrating both clinical and

economic benefits. A systematic review by Karanika et al. (2016) found that ASPs reduce overall antimicrobial use by 19.1% and associated costs by 33.9%, without adversely affecting patient outcomes. Hospitals that have implemented comprehensive ASPs have reported substantial savings; for example, a large healthcare group in Saudi Arabia realized \$1.65 million in cost reductions over three years (Enani et al., 2020).

Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) measures further illustrate the financial advantages of proactive interventions. Enhanced IPC programs, especially in high-risk areas such as surgical intensive care units, may require annual expenditures of approximately \$68,500 (Puchter et al., 2018). These investments are dwarfed by the treatment costs of multidrug-resistant infections. Treating even a few cases of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE) can surpass \$22,000 per patient, meaning that preventing a handful of infections can offset the entire cost of an IPC program.

Vaccination provides another compelling economic case. Prophylactic vaccines targeting key bacterial pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* have the potential to prevent millions of infections annually. A global economic analysis estimated that implementing a comprehensive vaccine program against AMR-relevant pathogens could yield \$283 billion in combined savings from reduced hospital expenditures and preserved productivity (Ahmed et al., 2022).

Taken together, these findings underscore that investments in stewardship, infection control, and immunization are not merely health interventions, they are economically rational strategies. Delaying or neglecting such measures magnifies costs exponentially, both in direct medical spending and in broader societal productivity losses, emphasizing that timely action is the most cost-effective approach to managing AMR.

The Funding Gap: From National Action Plans to Reality

Since 2015, countries worldwide have committed to National Action Plans (NAPs) to tackle antimicrobial resistance (AMR), in line with WHO guidance. These plans outline comprehensive strategies for surveillance, stewardship, infection prevention, and public awareness. However, the translation of these plans into actionable programs remains highly constrained by inadequate funding. According

to a WHO (2021) survey, only 11% of countries have allocated dedicated budget lines for implementing their NAPs, leaving the majority as aspirational frameworks rather than operational roadmaps. This funding shortfall undermines efforts to strengthen health systems, monitor resistance trends, and implement cross-sectoral interventions, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where resources are scarce.

To bridge this gap, innovative financing solutions are being explored to align public health goals with economic incentives. The United Kingdom's "Netflix model" provides a subscription-style payment to pharmaceutical companies, offering a fixed annual fee of up to £10 million per antibiotic regardless of usage volume (NICE, 2023). By decoupling profit from sales, this model encourages the development of novel antibiotics while minimizing the risk of over-prescription. Similarly, One Health budgeting approaches demonstrate the value of integrated, cross-sector interventions. France's Ecoantibio program, which allocated approximately €2 million annually to veterinary stewardship, reduced overall antibiotic exposure in livestock by over 40% from 2011 to 2021 (French Ministry of Agriculture, 2022), illustrating both economic and health gains from coordinated investment across human and animal health sectors.

These examples underscore that financial commitment is central to the success of NAPs. Without sustainable funding mechanisms, even well-designed strategies remain largely aspirational. By adopting innovative payment models and cross-sectoral budgeting, countries can transform policy intent into measurable outcomes, ensuring that AMR mitigation is both operationally feasible and economically rational.

Conclusion

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) represents a profound economic as well as health challenge, imposing escalating costs on healthcare systems, labor markets, and broader society. Direct medical expenditures, driven by prolonged hospital stays, reliance on expensive second-line therapies, and additional monitoring requirements, place a persistent strain on national health budgets. High-priority pathogens such as carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales, MRSA, and multidrug-resistant tuberculosis disproportionately contribute to these costs, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where treatment

options are limited and hospital capacity is constrained. Beyond clinical settings, AMR disrupts labor supply, reduces productivity, and exacerbates poverty, with long-term morbidity and caregiver burdens creating hidden economic losses that extend into households and communities.

From a macroeconomic perspective, AMR threatens GDP growth and global trade, as labor force reductions, livestock productivity losses, and trade barriers amplify financial vulnerabilities. Modeling studies predict that inaction could result in millions of deaths, tens of millions pushed into extreme poverty, and global economic output losses exceeding trillions of dollars. Conversely, proactive interventions including antimicrobial stewardship programs, infection prevention and

control measures, and vaccination strategies demonstrate strong cost-effectiveness, reducing both direct treatment costs and societal productivity losses. The success of National Action Plans hinges critically on sustainable funding, innovative financial mechanisms, and cross-sectoral coordination, as illustrated by the UK “Netflix model” and France’s Ecoantibio program.

Collectively, these findings highlight that AMR is not merely a biomedical issue but a systemic economic threat. Timely investment in preventive and stewardship measures, supported by robust financing strategies, is essential to protect public health, stabilize national budgets, and safeguard long-term economic development. Mitigation is not only

ethically imperative but also economically rational.

References: Ahmed et al; Amponsah et al; Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care; Bartsch et al; CDC; Enani et al; ECDC; French Ministry of Agriculture; Gandra et al; Jonas et al; Karanika et al; Kaye et al; Murray et al; Naylor et al; NICE; O’Neill; World Bank; WHO.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad Pakistan and can be reached at javariaiftikhar1012@gmail.com

Zoonotic Diseases in Livestock: A Growing Challenge

Explore the rising threat of zoonotic diseases in livestock and their impact on public health, agriculture, and economic development. Learn about the risks associated with human-animal interactions, climate change, and inadequate veterinary practices.

Ehsanullah

3/17/2026

Globally, livestock such as cattle, sheep, goats, and poultry play a central role in sustaining rural livelihoods, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). They provide essential products including milk, meat, and eggs, while also serving as a reliable source of household income and financial security. However, the close and continuous interaction between humans and animals creates significant public health challenges. This proximity facilitates the transmission of pathogens, bacteria, viruses, and parasites, from animals to humans, leading to zoonotic diseases that increasingly threaten global health systems.

The burden of zoonotic diseases is not static; it is expanding due to multiple interlinked drivers. Climate change, increased livestock mobility, deforestation, and intensified farming practices are altering ecosystems and increasing human–animal contact. According to the 2025 report by the World Organization for Animal Health, nearly 47 percent of notifiable animal diseases have zoonotic potential, and many are spreading into regions where they were previously absent. This geographic expansion complicates disease surveillance and control efforts, particularly in resource-constrained settings.

Recent disease outbreaks highlight the scale of the threat. Highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N1), traditionally limited to birds, has shown an alarming ability to infect mammals, including dairy cattle. This shift raises concerns about viral adaptation and the potential for human transmission. Similarly, transboundary animal diseases such as African swine fever and peste des petits ruminants (PPR) continue to disrupt livestock production, food security, and international trade.

Endemic bacterial zoonoses also persist in many regions. For instance, studies on bovine brucellosis reveal ongoing transmission risks linked to low farmer awareness, poor biosecurity, and wildlife reservoirs. These findings emphasize the urgent need for integrated “One Health” approaches that combine animal health, human health, and environmental management to effectively mitigate zoonotic risks and protect both livelihoods and public health.

Drivers and Socioeconomic Impacts of Zoonotic Diseases

The emergence and spread of zoonotic diseases are shaped by a complex interaction of environmental, economic, and demographic factors. Rapid population growth has

intensified demand for animal-based food products, leading to more concentrated and commercialized livestock production systems. As animal densities increase, so does the likelihood of disease transmission within herds and between animals and humans. At the same time, the globalization of trade has significantly expanded the movement of livestock and animal products across borders, allowing pathogens to spread more quickly and widely than ever before. Climate change further compounds these risks by altering ecosystems and shifting the distribution of disease vectors such as ticks and mosquitoes, exposing new geographic areas to infections that were previously confined elsewhere. Additionally, the expansion of human settlements and agricultural activities into wildlife habitats increases the chances of pathogen spillovers from wild animals to livestock and eventually to humans.

Beyond health risks, zoonotic diseases impose substantial socioeconomic costs on farmers and food systems. Disease outbreaks can sharply reduce livestock productivity through illness, mortality, and reproductive losses, while also increasing expenditures on veterinary care, biosecurity, and control measures. In many cases, fear of disease transmission leads to a

decline in the consumption of animal products, severely affecting market demand. Studies indicate that such consumption can drop dramatically during outbreaks, causing significant income losses for producers. Trade restrictions and export bans imposed during disease events further intensify these economic pressures. For smallholder farmers, particularly in developing countries, these combined effects can be devastating, as livestock often represents their primary asset and source of income. Consequently, zoonotic diseases not only threaten public health but also undermine food security, rural livelihoods, and the broader stability of agricultural economies.

Strengthening Prevention: Vaccination and Biosecurity Gaps

Vaccination remains one of the most effective and scientifically proven tools for preventing zoonotic diseases in livestock. By building immunity within animal populations, vaccination not only reduces disease incidence but also limits the risk of transmission to humans. Despite its importance, global vaccination coverage for livestock remains critically low and uneven across regions. Recent global estimates reveal that vaccination rates for key zoonotic diseases are alarmingly inadequate. Coverage for diseases such as brucellosis, anthrax, and rabies in cattle remains in single digits or low double digits, indicating a substantial gap in preventive capacity, particularly in low- and middle-income countries.

This shortfall reflects multiple structural challenges, including limited access to veterinary services, high costs of vaccines, weak cold chain infrastructure, and low awareness among farmers. While some countries have demonstrated that targeted vaccination campaigns can be highly effective significantly reducing disease outbreaks such successes are not yet widespread. In many developing regions, logistical and financial barriers continue to prevent the large-scale implementation of vaccination programs.

Beyond vaccination, biosecurity measures play a crucial complementary role in disease prevention. Practices such as controlled farm access, proper sanitation, quarantine of new or sick animals, and safe disposal of animal waste can significantly reduce the spread of infections. However, adoption of these practices remains inconsistent, especially among smallholder farmers who often lack training and resources.

Expanding vaccination coverage and strengthening on-farm biosecurity are also essential in reducing the overuse of antibiotics in livestock. This is particularly important in addressing antimicrobial resistance (AMR), an emerging global threat that could severely impact both animal productivity and human health. Strengthening preventive systems is therefore vital for sustainable livestock management and long-term food security.

Advances in Veterinary Science and the One Health Approach

Modern veterinary science is playing a pivotal role in addressing the growing threat of zoonotic diseases through rapid technological and scientific advancements. Diagnostic capabilities have improved significantly, with tools such as Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) enabling early and accurate detection of pathogens. These technologies allow veterinarians and health authorities to identify infections at an early stage, facilitating timely intervention and reducing the risk of widespread outbreaks. Enhanced surveillance systems, supported by digital tools and data analytics, are further strengthening the ability to monitor disease patterns and respond proactively.

At the same time, preventive veterinary medicine is undergoing a transformation. Innovations in immunology are leading to the development of next-generation vaccines, including mRNA and DNA-based vaccines, nanovaccines, and gene-editing approaches such as CRISPR. These technologies offer the potential for more precise, efficient, and long-lasting immunity against a wide range of animal diseases. Such advancements are particularly important for controlling rapidly evolving pathogens and emerging zoonotic threats.

Central to these efforts is the adoption of the One Health approach, which recognizes that human, animal, and environmental health are deeply interconnected. This framework promotes collaboration across disciplines, bringing together veterinarians, medical professionals, environmental scientists, and policymakers to design integrated disease control strategies. By addressing not only biological risks but also underlying structural challenges such as poverty, weak veterinary infrastructure, and limited market access One Health initiative provides a more comprehensive and sustainable solution. This integrated approach is essential for reducing

disease prevalence, strengthening food systems, and safeguarding global public health.

Conclusion

Zoonotic diseases in livestock represent a growing and multifaceted challenge at the intersection of public health, agriculture, and economic development. As this article demonstrates, the increasing intensity of human–animal interactions, driven by population growth, climate change, and evolving production systems, has amplified the risk of disease emergence and transmission. These risks are further compounded by weak veterinary infrastructure, limited vaccination coverage, and inadequate biosecurity practices, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where livestock are central to livelihoods.

The impacts extend far beyond health concerns. Zoonotic diseases disrupt food systems, reduce livestock productivity, and impose severe economic burdens on farmers, especially smallholders who depend heavily on animal assets. At the same time, global trade dynamics and market reactions can magnify these effects through reduced demand, trade restrictions, and price volatility. Addressing these challenges therefore requires a comprehensive and coordinated response.

Strengthening preventive measures especially expanding vaccination coverage and improving on-farm biosecurity is essential. Equally important is leveraging advances in veterinary science, including improved diagnostics and next-generation vaccines, to enhance early detection and control. However, sustainable progress ultimately depends on adopting a One Health approach that integrates human, animal, and environmental health systems.

By investing in institutional capacity, farmer awareness, and interdisciplinary collaboration, countries can better manage zoonotic risks, protect rural livelihoods, and ensure long-term food security in an increasingly interconnected and vulnerable world.

References: American Animal Hospital Association; Bose et al; Gleason et al; Lane et al; Marzouk et al; Pyakurel et al; World Organization for Animal Health.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of

Digital Epidemiology: Transforming Healthcare Systems

Discover how digital epidemiology is revolutionizing healthcare systems by promoting proactive prevention, enhancing efficiency, and improving accessibility. Learn how digital tools, from SMS reminders to advanced telemedicine platforms can significantly improve health outcomes while reducing costs.

Noor ul Huda

3/23/2026

Imagine a world where a simple text message could prevent a heart attack, where your smartphone helps detect a disease outbreak before it dominates headlines, and where mental health support is available instantly rather than after months on a waiting list. This is no longer a futuristic vision, the rapidly evolving reality of digital epidemiology, an approach that leverages mobile technologies, data analytics, and real-time communication to track, manage, and prevent diseases. Beyond its life-saving potential, it is increasingly recognized as a cost-effective solution for overstretched healthcare systems.

Traditionally, public health systems have relied on slow, paper-based processes that delay diagnosis, treatment, and response. Patients often wait weeks for consultations, while disease surveillance depends on fragmented reporting systems that detect outbreaks only after they escalate. These inefficiencies are particularly severe in low-income countries, where shortages of trained healthcare professionals and limited infrastructure leave vulnerable populations underserved.

The turning point came with the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced an unprecedented global shift toward digital health solutions. Technologies such as contact tracing applications, telemedicine platforms, and artificial intelligence-driven predictive models quickly became essential tools in managing the crisis. These innovations enabled faster identification of cases, improved patient monitoring, and reduced the burden on physical healthcare facilities.

What emerged from this period was a powerful insight: digital epidemiology is not only effective but also economically efficient. By enabling early detection, reducing hospital admissions, and optimizing resource allocation, digital tools can significantly lower healthcare costs. As countries continue to face rising health expenditures and recurring disease threats, investing in digital epidemiology offers a

strategic pathway toward more resilient, accessible, and sustainable healthcare systems.

The Economics of Digital Health: Evidence That Speaks

The real promise of digital epidemiology lies not just in innovation, but in measurable outcomes that redefine healthcare efficiency. During the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic, countries like South Korea demonstrated how technology can deliver both health and economic gains. Bluetooth-based contact tracing applications were widely adopted, enabling rapid identification and isolation of exposed individuals. Empirical evidence from 2021 showed that these tools significantly reduced transmission rates, translating into avoided hospitalization costs worth millions of dollars. In effect, a relatively low-cost digital intervention outperformed expensive infrastructure expansion such as building additional hospital wards.

This trend is not limited to pandemic response. A comprehensive review of 35 digital health interventions revealed that more than half of the patient outcomes measured through quality-adjusted life years (QALYs), while simultaneously reducing healthcare costs. Mobile applications and web-based platforms were particularly effective, often outperforming traditional face-to-face care models by enhancing accessibility, adherence, and continuity of care.

The implications become even more compelling when applied to chronic conditions such as Hypertension. Often described as a silent killer, hypertension affects millions globally and is a leading cause of heart attacks, strokes, and kidney disease. Conventional management requires frequent clinical visits, posing financial and logistical challenges, especially in rural and underserved regions. However, recent digital interventions including SMS reminders, self-monitoring tools, and teleconsultations are transforming this landscape.

A 2025 systematic review found that 81 percent of such interventions incorporated healthcare provider oversight and consistently achieved better blood pressure control at lower costs. Patients can now record health data through simple mobile interfaces, allowing physicians to remotely monitor and adjust treatment. This model reduces travel, prevents complications, and minimizes hospital admissions demonstrating how a simple text message or app notification can ultimately save lives while improving system-wide efficiency.

Digital Health Interventions: High Impact at Minimal Cost

Vaccination programs have long been recognized as among the most cost-effective public health interventions, yet ensuring consistent uptake remains a persistent challenge. Digital technologies are now addressing this gap with impressive efficiency. A 2023 review found that tools such as automated phone calls, SMS reminders, and web-based decision aids significantly improved vaccination coverage while remaining highly affordable. In some cases, automated reminder systems cost as little as US\$2.14 per additional vaccinated individual—an almost negligible expense compared to the high costs associated with treating vaccine-preventable diseases. For countries like Pakistan, where logistical barriers and vaccine hesitancy continue to impede immunization efforts, these low-cost digital interventions offer a scalable and economically sound solution. By improving coverage rates, they can prevent disease outbreaks, reduce child mortality, and ease long-term pressure on healthcare systems.

The potential of digital health extends beyond infectious diseases into the often-overlooked domain of mental health. In crisis-affected settings such as Lebanon, digital interventions have demonstrated remarkable effectiveness. During a period marked by economic collapse, political instability, and social disruption, researchers implemented a smartphone-based

self-help program to address depression, anxiety, and stress among populations with limited access to conventional care. The results were both clinically and economically significant: the intervention improved mental health outcomes while reducing overall societal costs. This is particularly relevant for resource-constrained systems where traditional therapy services are scarce or inaccessible.

For Pakistan, the implications are substantial. Mental health infrastructure remains underdeveloped, and stigma often discourages individuals from seeking help. Digital platforms can bypass these structural and cultural barriers by offering private, affordable, and evidence-based support. A mobile application delivering cognitive behavioral strategies or guided self-help modules could reach individuals in remote or underserved areas, transforming access to care. Collectively, these examples illustrate how modest digital investments can yield disproportionately large health and economic returns.

Bridging Evidence Gaps and Scaling Digital Epidemiology in Pakistan

While digital epidemiology presents compelling opportunities, important limitations in the existing evidence base must be acknowledged. One major challenge lies in the heterogeneity of interventions. Comparing a basic SMS reminder system with an advanced telemedicine platform is methodologically complex, as each operates at different levels of technological sophistication, cost structures, and health system integration. This diversity complicates standardization and limits the comparability of findings across studies.

Another concern is the short-term focus of much of the existing research. Many evaluations capture immediate cost savings or improvements in health outcomes within one or two years. However, critical questions remain unanswered: Do these interventions sustain benefits over time? Can they prevent long-term

complications or reduce lifetime disease burden? The absence of longitudinal evidence restricts a full understanding of their economic and clinical value.

Perhaps most importantly, there is a geographical imbalance in literature. High-quality studies are heavily concentrated in high-income countries such as the United States, United Kingdom, and South Korea. In contrast, low- and middle-income countries like Pakistan where digital solutions could yield the greatest marginal benefits remain underrepresented. This gap raises concerns about external validity and the transferability of findings to local contexts.

For Pakistan, the implications are both challenging and promising. With mobile phone penetration exceeding 80 percent, the foundational infrastructure for digital health already exists. The priority now is to move from fragmented pilot initiatives to a coherent national strategy. This includes developing standardized digital platforms, investing in workforce training, and embedding real-time surveillance systems within primary healthcare networks. Equally critical is the need for rigorous, context-specific research to evaluate effectiveness, cost-efficiency, and scalability within Pakistan's socio-economic and cultural landscape.

Digital epidemiology is not a substitute for conventional healthcare systems but a force multiplier. By integrating technology with human expertise, Pakistan can enhance service delivery, improve disease surveillance, and optimize resource allocation. The tools are available, and the potential is evident. The central question is whether policy commitment and institutional coordination can translate this potential into sustained, system-wide impact.

Conclusion

Digital epidemiology is redefining how healthcare systems operate by shifting the focus from reactive treatment to proactive prevention,

efficiency, and accessibility. The evidence presented throughout this article demonstrates that digital tools ranging from simple SMS reminders to advanced telemedicine platforms can significantly improve health outcomes while reducing costs. The experience of the COVID-19 pandemic further reinforced that timely adoption of digital solutions can enhance surveillance, streamline service delivery, and reduce the burden on already constrained healthcare infrastructure.

For countries like Pakistan, where healthcare challenges are compounded by population pressure, resource constraints, and geographic disparities, digital epidemiology offers a practical and scalable pathway forward. Its applications across infectious diseases, chronic conditions such as Hypertension, vaccination programs, and mental health services highlight its versatility and relevance. However, realizing this potential requires more than technology alone. It demands strategic investment, institutional coordination, and context-specific research to ensure that digital interventions are both effective and equitable.

Ultimately, digital epidemiology should be viewed as a complement, not a replacement, to traditional healthcare systems. By integrating human expertise with technological innovation, it can extend the reach of healthcare services, optimize limited resources, and improve population health outcomes. As the evidence base continues to grow, the imperative for action becomes clearer: leveraging digital tools today can build a more resilient, inclusive, and cost-effective healthcare system for the future.

Please note that the views expressed in this article are of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of any organization.

The writer is affiliated with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad Pakistan and can be reached at hudanoorul712@gmail.com

Editorial Advisory Team

Managing Editor

[Dr. Muhammad Khalid Bashir, Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.](#)

Economic Aspects

[Prof. Dr. Steven Schilizzi, UWA School of Agriculture and Environment, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia](#)

[Prof. Dr. Muhammad Ashfaq, Faculty of Management Studies, The University of Faisalabad, Pakistan.](#)

[Prof. Dr. Abdul Saboor, Faculty of Social Sciences, PMAS Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan](#)

[Prof. Dr. Asghar Ali, Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.](#)

[Prof. Dr. Harun UÇAK, Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics, Administrative, and Social Sciences, Alanya Alaaddin Keykubat University, Alanya, Antalya, Türkiye](#)

[Dr. Yakup ARI, Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics, Administrative, and Social Sciences, Alanya Alaaddin Keykubat University, Alanya, Antalya, Türkiye](#)

[Dr. Mithat Direk, Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Selçuk, Konya-Türkiye.](#)

[Dr. Ragif Huseynov, Department of Economics, Azerbaijan Technological University, Azerbaijan.](#)

[Dr. Anar HATAMOV, Department Economics of Agricultural Sector Azerbaijan State Agricultural University \(ADAU\)](#)

[Dr. Moazzam Sabir, Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan.](#)

[Dr. Muhammad Umar Farrukh, Department of Economics, Faculty of Administrative and Management Sciences, GCW University, Sialkot, Pakistan.](#)

[Dr. Tusawar Iftikhar Ahmad, Department of Economics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan](#)

Markets and Social and Community Aspects

[Prof. Dr. Babar Shahbaz, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan](#)

[Dr. Abdul Ghafoor, Institute of Business Management Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan](#)

[Dr. Burhan Ahmad, Institute of Business Management Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan](#)

[Mian Abdul Rasheed, Convener-Provincial Standing Committee, Punjab on Social Protection and Public Safety, FPCCI \(2021\)](#)

Rural Innovation Aspects

[Prof. Dr. Bilal Acar, Department of Farm Buildings & Irrigation, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Selçuk, Konya-Türkiye.](#)

Amb. (R) Nadeem Riyaz

[Dr. Muhammad Hamid Bashir, Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.](#)

[Dr. Muhammad Kashif, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan](#)

Management, HRM and Finance Aspects

[Dr. Adnan Ahmad, Department of Accounting and Finance, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, Pakistan](#)

[Dr. Muhammad Usman, Faisalabad Business School, National Textile University, Faisalabad, Pakistan](#)

[Dr. Qamar Ali, Department of Economics, Virtual University of Pakistan](#)

Public Health Economics

[Prof. Dr. Muhammad Imran Arshad, Institute of Microbiology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan](#)

[Dr. Yasir Mehmood, Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, National University of Medical Sciences, Pakistan](#)

Food and Nutrition

[Prof. Dr. Mian Anjum Murtaza, Director Institute of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Sargodha, Pakistan](#)

[Dr. Mian Kamran Sharif, Associate Professor, National Institute of Food Science and Technology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan](#)