The Use of AI in Sports - How Data and Algorithms Shape Future Athletes

Anthony Lim

From health-tracking wristbands that Tigerwoods wears and stadium cameras in the Santiago Bernabeu to cloud servers running machine-learning models, artificial intelligence is no longer a futurist accessory for elite sports- it's now an active partner in identifying, training, protecting, and evaluating athletes. As more and more sports leagues in the world and tech firms pour billions into sensors, tracking systems, detection quality, and predictive models, the athletic pipeline is shifting into something much bigger- promise and progress aside, beside the ethical question of fairness, privacy, sustainability, and human judgment.

Today's sports teams combine three broad classes of data: wearable biometrics (smartwatches, load, GPS, and heart rate), optical/video tracking (3D player tracking, ball location, and VAR), and medical/biological records (injury history, treatment methodologies, wellness questionnaires, and sleep and recovery metrics). These feeds are all integrated in cloud systems where machine learning detects patterns humans are most likely to miss- subtle changes in running mechanics before an injury, or changes in heartbeat likely indicating a shift of blood flow affecting oxygen supply for the final 15 minutes of the game. The growth of validated tracking hardware has made this idea, which seemed so abstract and unlikely this possible at scale. Major tracking systems (e.g., Catapult's wearable GNSS units, WHOOP's health management sensors) are now widely used across multiple athletes and professional leagues.

The most consequential application is talent identification. Whether the training model of the artificial intelligence used was advanced deep learning or classical machine learning algorithms, the different modes of identification for talent remained accurate (87.78% (95% CI: 82.66–92.90)). Machine learning models trained on a strict discipline of movement profiles, biometric load signatures, and performance outputs promise to discover prospects outside of traditional scouting ideals- theoretically providing an equal chance of access for athletes in remote regions. Clubs and academies around the world utilize these algorithms to rank upcoming prospects in order based on the criteria listed above, by position-specific metrics and predicted development curves. Academic reviews suggest a future of expanded ML research aimed at talent identification across team sports, suggesting measurable gains in objective evaluation.

However, algorithmic scouting raises questions. Models mirror the training data: if the data are biased towards a specific playing style, body type, socioeconomic status, or race, the system can produce biases, not being able to catch late bloomers or players from underrepresented regions. As a result, practitioners argue that artificial intelligence should be a

tool to assist human judgment, not replace it; some clubs/academies recognize this issue and treat model outputs as scouting leads to be verified by talent scouts rather than produce final verdicts.

Beyond scouting, artificial intelligence models assist coaches with individualized training and treatment plans, opponent analysis, and in-game tactical adjustments. Optical tracking and computer vision reconstruct entire match ecosystems into a view of a whole chessboard- player positions and spacing, passing routes, sudden tactical adjustments from the opponents - allowing data scientists to create features such as “expected pass completion under pressure,” heat-map clustering of player styles. Coaches who invest in these tools gain a competitive edge in match preparation, tactical adjustments, and long-term athlete development.

Rather, a common view held amongst football enthusiasts is that current youth academies could be placing far too much stress on the strategic aspect and pre-destinated positions on the field. Such a critique is only likely to escalate as artificial intelligence continues its parallel evolution with football and finds itself interwoven into every aspect of the game. The use of algorithms indicates a dilemma for youth football academies: whether to incorporate this technology into youth development methods or permit it to place even greater limitations on the creativity and imagination at the essence of football.

Perhaps the most critical use of artificial intelligence in sport is risk modelling. Combining GPS/wearable loads, video-based kinematics, and medical histories, predictive algorithms estimate which players are at elevated risk of soft-tissue injury or overload. Teams around the world are already using such systems to modify training loads and alter recovery plans - an approach that has been credited by multiple researchers with reducing certain injury classes. Still, prediction remains probabilistic and not concrete: models can flag higher risk but cannot guarantee complete prevention, and over-reliance on algorithmic outputs can create false security or unnecessary benching.

As athlete data proliferates, so do ethical concerns. Who is the owner of the biometric and performance data - the club or the league? How transparent are the models that influence selections and medical decisions? Multiple recent reviews and commentaries highlight a cluster of issues: consent and data governance, algorithmic bias (gender, body-type, playing type disparities, etc), explainability of medical predictions, and the potential commodification of minors in talent pipelines. Sports organizations and researchers are calling for frameworks that protect the rights of athletes, require model audit transparency, and mandate data-minimization practices.

Artificial intelligence amplifies information but cannot replace contextual judgment. Models trained on elite adult athletes may poorly generalize to youth players. Predictions about “potential” are inherently uncertain and underdeveloped: athletic development depends on

growth, psychology, opportunity, and coaching. Coaching and ethicists argue that the safest, most effective path of youth development is a hybrid of model-informed decisions vetted by human experts, clear athlete consent, and continuous development of outcomes.

Expect the following development over the next 5-10 years:

- Broader adoption of validated tracking in women's and lower-tier leagues
    - Narrows data gaps and improves model fitness
- Tighter regulation and industry standards around athlete data, such as but not limited to:
    - Privacy safeguards
    - Transparency requirements for models used in selection or medical decisions
- Better explainable artificial intelligence tools that translate complex risk scores into coach-friendly actions, and cross-validation of talent models across demographic groups to decrease bias.

AI in sport is changing the way people are discovered and the ways in which sportspeople train and compete. But used in a responsible way—through rigorously tested technologies, transparent models, and with the full consent and collaboration of sportspeople—the potential for data and models to enhance and extend opportunities in sport is enormous. AI will transform sport not by improving models, but by the way in which those models are regulated and governed.

Works Cited

"Athlete Monitoring System." *Catapult*, Catapult, Inc, www.catapult.com/solutions/athlete-monitoring. Accessed 16 Dec. 2025.

Baer, Benjamin. "MLS partners with Second Spectrum on advanced tracking data system." *MLS*, Major League Soccer, 27 Feb. 2020, www.mlssoccer.com/news/mls-partners-second-spectrum-advanced-tracking-data-system. Accessed 16 Dec. 2025.

Dubow, Josh. "NFL uses AI to predict injuries, aiming to keep players healthier." *AP*, The Associated Press, 14 Oct. 2025, apnews.com/article/nfl-ai-injury-prevention-c345d5f16205379e2029057cd97ca08f. Accessed 17 Dec. 2025.

Feinberg, Doug. "WNBA to use optical tracking to enhance player analysis." *AP News*, The Associated Press, 6 Mar. 2024, apnews.com/article/wnba-3d-tracking-c7c7c51c94fde0f4ed6cb2d12eef84e8. Accessed 16 Dec. 2025.

Kim, Jae-Hak, et al. "Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in Sport: A Systematic Scoping Review." *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, vol. 14, Dec. 2025, p. 101047, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2025.101047. Accessed 16 Dec. 2025.

"MLS taps Second Spectrum for AI-powered data-tracking." *SP*, SportsPro, 26 Feb. 2020, www.sportspro.com/news/mls-second-spectrum-artificial-intelligence-player-data-tracking/. Accessed 17 Dec. 2025.

Monsees, Leah M. "'There Is a Lot More Potential' - Practitioner Perspectives on Technology and Data-driven Talent Identification, Selection, and Development in a German Bundesliga

Academy." *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, vol. 20, no. 2, 13 Jan. 2025, pp. 628-38, https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541241308519. Accessed 17 Dec. 2025.

Ocak, Mustafa Furkan, et al. "Validity and Reliability of Catapult Vector S7 GNSS Units in Distance Measurement." *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation*, vol. 17, no. 1, 14 Oct. 2025, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13102-025-01335-8. Accessed 17 Dec. 2025.

Pietraszewski, Przemysław, et al. "The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Sports Analytics: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Performance Trends." *Applied Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 13, 27 June 2025, p. 7254, https://doi.org/10.3390/app15137254.

Radanliev, Peter. *Frontiers in Digital Health*. 17 June 2025, https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2025.1431246. Accessed 17 Dec. 2025.

Souaifi, Marouen, et al. "Artificial Intelligence in Sports Biomechanics: A Scoping Review on Wearable Technology, Motion Analysis, and Injury Prevention." *Bioengineering*, vol. 12, no. 8, 20 Aug. 2025, p. 887, https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering12080887. Accessed 16 Dec. 2025.