

Housing, Stability, and Child Well-Being: How State Policy Is Connecting Supply, Affordability, and Family Outcomes

Norris Policy Group
April 10, 2026



Summary

States are increasingly treating housing policy as a central component of child and family well-being. Rising housing costs, constrained supply, and persistent homelessness intersect with child care affordability, economic insecurity, and workforce participation challenges. In response, state legislatures are advancing a portfolio of policies that include housing supply reforms, cost reduction strategies, eviction prevention tools, and family economic supports.

This policy brief examines how these approaches are converging across states. It highlights legislative trends related to land use, permitting, affordability, and service integration, and explores their implications for children, families, and long-term economic mobility. Evidence indicates that housing stability is strongly associated with improved outcomes in education, health, and family functioning. As a result, housing policy is increasingly framed not only as an infrastructure issue, but also as a core component of family policy and economic development.

The Problem: A Systems-Level Challenge

Housing instability reflects the interaction of multiple cost pressures facing families, including rent, child care, transportation, and food. Over the past decade, housing costs have risen faster than incomes in many regions, contributing to increased rent burdens and displacement risk for low- and moderate-income households.¹

At the same time, child care costs have increased substantially and, in some states, now exceed housing costs.² When families face high costs in both housing and child care, they are more likely to experience financial instability. This instability increases the likelihood of missed rent payments, eviction filings, and housing displacement.³

Housing instability has measurable impacts on children and families. Frequent moves, eviction, and homelessness are associated with worse educational outcomes, increased behavioral health challenges, and higher rates of child welfare involvement.⁴ These effects extend beyond short-term disruption. Housing instability in early childhood is linked to long-term developmental and health consequences.⁵

In this context, policymakers increasingly recognize housing as a foundational system that shapes outcomes across education, health, workforce participation, and family stability.

State Policy Response: A Multi-Part Framework

Increasing Housing Supply

States are pursuing policies designed to expand housing supply, particularly in areas with significant shortages. These efforts focus on land use reforms that allow greater density and a broader range of housing types.

Several states have adopted legislation to allow middle housing, including duplexes, triplexes, and fourplexes, in areas previously restricted to single-family zoning. Oregon and Washington now require local jurisdictions to permit these housing types in certain residential zones.⁶ Maine requires municipalities to allow accessory dwelling units on lots zoned for single-family housing.⁷

These policies reflect economic evidence that restrictive zoning constrains supply and contributes to higher housing costs. Expanding allowable housing types improves supply responsiveness and can moderate long-term price growth.⁸

Reducing Development Costs and Barriers

States are also addressing the cost and complexity of housing development. Legislative efforts focus on streamlining permitting processes, reducing regulatory delays, and modifying requirements that increase construction costs.

Examples include statutory timelines for development review, reductions in minimum parking requirements, and expanded use of tax credits and financing tools to support affordable housing projects.⁹ Delays in permitting and regulatory uncertainty can significantly increase development costs, which are ultimately passed on to renters and buyers.¹⁰

By improving predictability and reducing barriers, states aim to increase the feasibility of new housing projects and accelerate delivery timelines.

Preventing Evictions and Housing Instability

States are implementing policies designed to prevent housing instability before it escalates into homelessness. These include eviction mediation programs, rental assistance, and legal support for tenants.

Eviction represents both a legal process and a major economic shock. It is associated with increased risk of homelessness, job loss, and negative health outcomes.¹¹ Some states have introduced mediation programs that allow landlords and tenants to resolve disputes before court proceedings, reducing eviction filings and associated costs.¹²

Additional policies include source-of-income protections that prevent discrimination against voucher holders, as well as programs that help individuals obtain identification documents needed to secure housing.¹³

Addressing Homelessness Through Integrated Systems

For households experiencing chronic or complex instability, states are adopting integrated approaches that combine housing with supportive services.

Supportive housing models pair affordable housing with services such as case management, behavioral health care, and substance use treatment. These models reduce emergency service utilization and improve housing stability outcomes.¹⁴ Some states use Medicaid waivers and related financing mechanisms to support housing-related services for eligible populations.¹⁵

Youth homelessness is an increasing focus. Individuals transitioning out of foster care face elevated risk of housing instability. In response, states such as Colorado have implemented targeted housing assistance and support programs for this population.¹⁶

Supporting Family Economic Stability

Housing affordability is closely tied to broader economic conditions. States are advancing policies that address child care affordability, tax burdens, and workforce participation.

Child care subsidies and tax credits reduce financial pressure on families. Several states have implemented caps on child care costs as a share of household income or expanded eligibility for subsidy programs.¹⁷ These policies are associated with increased labor force participation among parents, particularly mothers.¹⁸

By addressing both housing and child care costs, states aim to stabilize household finances and reduce the risk of housing insecurity.

Implications for Children and Families

Housing stability is strongly associated with positive outcomes for children and families. Stable housing reduces stress, supports consistent school attendance, and improves health outcomes.¹⁹ It also decreases the likelihood of child welfare involvement and supports long-term economic mobility.

Housing instability disrupts educational continuity, increases exposure to adverse experiences, and creates barriers to employment.²⁰ These effects compound over time and increase public costs across health care, education, and social services.

Policymakers increasingly recognize these connections and are framing housing as a foundational component of child and family policy.

Emerging Trends

Several trends are shaping the policy landscape:

- Increased state involvement in land use decisions
- Greater emphasis on prevention rather than crisis response
- Integration of housing with health, child welfare, and workforce systems
- Expanded use of data and performance measurement

These trends reflect a shift toward coordinated, systems-oriented policy design.

Conclusion

Housing policy is increasingly understood as a core determinant of child and family well-being. State legislatures are advancing strategies to increase supply, reduce costs, prevent instability, and support families.

This reflects a broader shift in policy thinking. Housing is no longer treated solely as a market outcome or local planning issue. It is increasingly recognized as essential infrastructure that supports economic mobility, family stability, and long-term community health.

Endnotes

1. Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University. *The State of the Nation's Housing 2023*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, 2023. <https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/state-nations-housing-2023>
2. U.S. Department of the Treasury. *The Economics of Child Care Supply in the United States*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Treasury, 2021. <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/The-Economics-of-Childcare-Supply-09-14-final.pdf>
3. Matthew Desmond. *Evicted: Poverty and Profit in the American City*. New York: Crown, 2016.
4. MacArthur Foundation. *How Housing Matters to Families and Communities*. Chicago, IL: MacArthur Foundation, 2015. https://www.macfound.org/media/files/e-how_housing_matters_national_full_report.pdf
5. National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. *Excessive Stress Disrupts the Architecture of the Developing Brain*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, Center on the Developing Child, 2024. https://developingchild.harvard.edu/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/Stress_Disrupts_Architecture_Developing_Brain-1.pdf
6. Oregon House Bill 2001 (2019); Washington House Bill 1110 (2023).
7. Maine Legislature. LD 2003, *An Act to Implement the Recommendations of the Commission to Increase Housing Opportunities in Maine by Studying Zoning and Land Use Restrictions* (2022).

8. Edward L. Glaeser and Joseph Gyourko. “The Impact of Zoning on Housing Affordability.” *Economic Policy Review* 9, no. 2 (2003). https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w8835/w8835.pdf
9. National Conference of State Legislatures. *Increasing Housing Supply by Reducing Costs and Barriers*. Denver, CO: NCSL, 2024. <https://www.ncsl.org/human-services/increasing-the-housing-supply-by-reducing-costs-and-barriers>
10. Turner Center for Housing Innovation. *The Cost of Regulation in Housing Development*. Berkeley, CA: University of California, Berkeley, 2018. <https://turnercenter.berkeley.edu/research-and-policy/the-cost-of-building-housing-series/>
11. Matthew Desmond and Carl Gershenson. “Housing and Employment Insecurity among the Working Poor.” *Social Problems* 63, no. 1 (2016): 46–67. <https://academic.oup.com/socpro/article-abstract/63/1/46/1844105>
12. National Center for State Courts. *Eviction Diversion Initiatives*. Williamsburg, VA: NCSC, 2023. <https://www.ncsc.org/our-centers-projects/eviction-diversion-initiative>
13. National Conference of State Legislatures. *Family-Friendly Courts: Evictions*. Denver, CO: NCSL, 2023. <https://www.ncsl.org/human-services/family-friendly-courts-evictions>
14. Corporation for Supportive Housing. *Supportive Housing Evidence Base*. New York, NY: CSH, 2023. <https://www.csh.org/supportive-housing>
15. Kaiser Family Foundation. *Medicaid and Housing Supports: Understanding the Intersection*. San Francisco, CA: KFF, 2023. <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/medicaid-and-housing-supports-understanding-the-intersection/>
16. National Conference of State Legislatures. *Youth Homelessness Overview*. Denver, CO: NCSL, 2024. <https://www.ncsl.org/human-services/youth-homelessness-overview>
17. National Conference of State Legislatures. *Early Childhood Legislative Trends 2025*. Denver, CO: NCSL, 2025. <https://www.ncsl.org/human-services/early-childhood-has-momentum-2025-legislative-trends>
18. Council of Economic Advisers. *The Economics of Child Care*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President, 2014. <https://clintonwhitehouse4.archives.gov/WH/EOP/CEA/html/childcare.html>
19. National Conference of State Legislatures. *Strengthening Families Through Housing Stability*. Denver, CO: NCSL, 2024. <https://www.ncsl.org/human-services/strengthening->

[families-through-housing-stability](#)

20. Urban Institute. *Housing Instability and Family Well-Being*. Washington, DC: Urban Institute, 2021. <https://www.urban.org/research/publication/housing-instability-and-family-well-being>