June 14, 2025		
PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION FOR PROPOSED OAK PARK VILLAGE		
Name	Position	Summary of Comments
Matthew Bretan	Opposed	Strongly opposes the project due to permanent loss of open space, traffic, school strain; emphasizes voter promises must be honored.
Don Davis	Opposed	Urges Council not to remove open space without voter approval; cites traffic and school capacity concerns.
John Evans	Opposed	Notes the golf course was intentionally excluded from Focus Area 2; it's a key amenity, not suitable for redevelopment.
Nadine Heiderman	Opposed	Growing opposition among residents; urges public vote and protection of voter- approved open space.
Derek Shirk	Opposed	Says project benefits are misleading, including voucher and school promises; calls for voter decision.
Heather Manchester	Opposed	Advocates for preserving golf course for recreation, community value, wildlife, and tree canopy.
John Albritton	Opposed	Criticizes removal of recreational space and inadequate housing proposal; claims project benefits developers.

Max Egorov	Opposed	Doesn't golf but values open space; warns against sacrificing land under pressure from state mandates.
Karyn Rashoff	Opposed	Questions TIC survey claim; opposes project for adding density, traffic, and lack of affordability.
Steve T.	Opposed	Recalls broken promises by TIC; opposes project due to open space loss and community impact.
Dan Brothman	Opposed	Calls Oak Creek a unique "urban sanctuary"; opposes project for increasing traffic and reducing livability.
Joanne S.	Opposed	Rejects project as unsustainable and profit-driven; lacks onsite affordability; calls for public vote.
Mike Rapp	Opposed	Traffic concerns; argues project defies voter-approved plans and General Plan principles.
Jim Foley	Opposed	Notes previous unfulfilled golf course replacement; urges voter involvement.
Suellen Rowe	Opposed	Cites environmental harm and procedural flaws; says voter approval is necessary.
Antonio Velasquez	Support	Requests local carpenters be hired if project proceeds.

Jeff Davis	Support	Presents project as a lower-density alternative to existing entitlements; includes school funding and public benefit fees.
Dave Link	Opposed	Claims project was presented to employees as finalized; urges Council to rescind MOU and preserve open space.
Rolf Parkes	Opposed	HOA opposes project; concerned about traffic and legal validity; recommends legal action.
Frank S.	Opposed	Says Council is being manipulated by TIC; questions fast-tracking and potential loss of other parks.
	Opposed	Suggests converting apartments to affordable housing instead; fears traffic from other nearby projects.
Linda Wallace	Opposed	HOA opposes project; blames development for harming Irvine's small-town character.
rri Mihalovich Gersti	Opposed	Criticizes limited notification radius and shift from Master Plan; warns about cumulative impacts.
Nikhil Verma	Opposed	Golf course is a source of pride and community venue; urges reconsideration.

Ahmed Dregia	Support	Supports housing but criticizes project for likely being expensive and car-centric; distrusts TIC promises.
Tim Stanley	Opposed	Criticizes notification process, lack of unit cap, and affordable housing claims; says residents are in the dark.
Paula M.	Opposed	Longtime resident; opposes project, calls for discarding MOU, doesn't trust re-vote.
Christina Shea	Opposed	Former mayor; calls out secret negotiations and legal loopholes; demands Council uphold voter commitments.
Greg Smith	Opposed	Former Councilmember; calls for alternative housing sites outside the residential core; affirms voter promises.
Nancy Wong	Opposed	Urges rejection of project; criticizes outreach and loss of character; wants alternative planning.
/asantha Kandasam	Support	Supports project to meet housing goals, but insists on real affordability—not just vouchers.
Sandra Henley	Opposed	Angry about perceived Council complicity; wants officials recalled; criticizes development pace.

Sherman Spitz	Opposed	Attorney; opposes project's lack of transparency; suggests TRO and MOU rescission.
Jane Brenner	Opposed	Opposes project for traffic, school, and trust issues; threatens recall if voters ignored.
Marisa	Support	Urban planner; supports housing and flexibility; calls for more ownership opportunities.
Lisa Rich	Opposed	Urges Council to honor agreements; opposes MOU and project; submitted formal letter.
Bradford Rose	Opposed	Angry at Council; sees process as corrupt and illegal; supports full recall.
Jaime Aclander	Opposed	Longtime resident; warns that project will harm what made Irvine great; housing won't be affordable.
Gail Pennington	Opposed	HOA president; supports Woodbridge opposition; urges Council to uphold voter will.
Saundra Jacobs	Opposed	Planner; notes poor traffic and visibility, transient increase; raises public safety and CFD funding issues.

Graham W.	Opposed	Immigrant and homeowner; feels Master Plan is being betrayed; opposes project.
Tim Stolp	Opposed	Created YouTube channel to document issues; criticizes City and TIC for evictions and traffic.
Brent H.	Opposed	Concerned about premature voucher issuance; appreciates community voices; opposes project.
Heidi	TBD	Questions HOA communication accuracy; asks City to engage residents directly.
Frank S.	Opposed	Attended on wedding anniversary to protest project; strongly opposes loss of voter- protected open space for luxury homes; calls plan a misuse of land that fails to address true housing needs.
Deborah S.	Opposed	Resident; upset at Council absence and legal evasions; wants direct engagement.
Susie W.	Opposed	Opposes project; values golf course; expects long battle to preserve space.
Frank S.	Opposed	Raises concern that increased traffic from the project will delay emergency response times to local hospitals, potentially endangering lives.

Donald G.	Opposed	Golfer; opposed to project; says fight to preserve course will continue.
Dottie D.	Opposed	The Ranch resident, cites 88-1, traffic impacts
Nancy B.	Opposed	The Ranch resident, nice environment, e-bikes are a problem, development would create more issues, 88-1, traffic, affordable housing near jobs