Election Fraud in Kootenai County
Part II : Statistical Proof

- Proof of Stolen Election
- Signs of Machine Fraud
- Probabilities Explained
- False Theories Rebutted

Confidence Level:	99.9%
Sample Size:	15752
Population Proportion:	58.8 %
Population Size:	62570

Margin of error: 1.12%



Early Voting Results Not Posted

- Early Voting Results are usually posted first.
- KCRCC Candidates won all three contests 3 handily!!!
  - Lyons (59/41), McKenzie (56/44), Angiletta (61/39)
  - Why weren't these **VERY FAVORABLE RESULTS** posted???

NIC Trustee Position #3 Election Data, Nov 5th 2024

	DIST 2 Ath-SL	DIST 3 Ra-Ha	Dist 4 CDA	Dist 5 PF	Total
Durban Early (NIR)	439	2025	2387	1647	6498
Lyons Early (KCRCC)	866	3199	2507	2682	9254
Early Voter % of ballots	15.9%	19.1%	23.5%	18.6%	19.8%
Lyons Share of Early Voters	66.4%	61.2%	51.2%	62.0%	58.7%

- KCRCC Candidates even won in CDA (District 4!!!)
  - Notice: almost a quarter of CDA residents Voted Early.

First Results Mixed Early/Absentee

- Arbitrary mix of Early/Absentee—impossible to decipher.
- E-Office used two tabulators to count absentees.
  - Posted Results from Only one Tabulators.



Shock—Initial Post Matched Final Post

- **Hair on Fire Moment!!** **This cannot happen.**
- All three races match EXACTLY. No others come close.



Trump (3.7%)

Fulcher (3.3%)

Duncan (2.5%)

Norris (0.5%)


70.00%
65.00%
60.00%
55.00%
50.00%
45.00%
40.00%
0
10000
20000
30000
40000
50000
60000
70000
80000
90000
100000
R1
R2
R3
R5
R6
Final
Early Ballots
Absentee
T1 Tabulator
Absentee T3
Tabulator
Election Day Ballots
Reports 3, 5, 6
Election Day Ballots
Final Report
Angiletta
Lyons
McKenzie
First Results
Reported
Early Ballots
favoring KCRCC
not Reported
Final Results match
First Report
Set point
KCRCC votes flatten
out--never exceed
limit set by R1


52%
TRUMP VICTORY THRESHOLD
48%
GEORGIA TRUMP BIDEN RATIO
PID CONTROL
BIDEN VOTE
INJECTIONS

Statistics and Sampling Error

- Sample Size—**19,615 Early voters**
  - 26% of all in-person ballots cast early.
  - HUGE, highly representative sample.
  - Statistically certain to correlate closely.

- Early and E-Day percentages should close unless sampling error identified.
  - **Explanation needed for 9% difference.**
  - **Burden of Proof on e-fraud deniers.**



Early to E-Day Differences

- Large, Early vs. E-Day Difference make no sense.
  - More than one in four in-person voters, voted early.
  - 19,615 early voters from all over the county.
  - Statistically, variation should be within a few %.
  - **Early to E-Day Variation in Trump voters less than 3%.**
- With variation < 3%, all 3 KCRCC Candidates would have won.

NIC Trustee Position #3 E-Day Ballot Bias

	DIST 2	DIST 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Total
Durbin Early	439	2025	2387	1647	6498
Lyons Early KCRCC	866	3199	2507	2682	9254
Lyons Share of Early Voters	66.4%	61.2%	51.2%	62.0%	58.7%
Differential Early vs. E-Day	11.8%	9.4%	8.4%	9.1%	8.3%
Durbin E-Day	2260	7359	5685	6412	21716
Lyons E-Day KCRCC	2715	7929	4265	7193	22102
Lyons Share of E-Day	54.6%	51.9%	42.9%	52.9%	50.4%

9% Difference is an Anomaly

HOW CLOSELY DOES EARLY VOTING PREDICT ELECTION DAY VOTING for NOV 5th 2024 ELECCTION?

KCRCC	DEM-RINO	EARLY KCRCC Tally	EARLY DEM-RINO Tally	EARLY DEM-RINO Percent	E-DAY KCRCC Tally	E-DAY DEM-RINO Tally	E-DAY DEM-RINO Percent	Early-Eday Difference	
Trump	Non-Trump	16227	3240	16.6%	43408	10571	19.6%	2.9%	County
Non-Harris	Harris	16408	3059	15.7%	44790	9189	17.0%	1.3%	
Note: the Presidential Race had numerous candidates, so had to be broken down into two, two-way races.									
Hart	Hearn	1431	191	11.8%	5262	784	13.0%	1.2%	Dist 2
Okuniewicz	Seguin	5303	767	12.6%	15132	2533	14.3%	1.7%	
Barbieri	Broadsword	5235	810	13.4%	14877	2675	15.2%	1.8%	Dist. 3
Redman	Bruno	5240	797	13.2%	14969	2576	14.7%	1.5%	
Toews	Hober	4427	1343	23.3%	8500	3093	26.7%	3.4%	
Alfieri	Chandler	4329	1380	24.2%	8289	3168	27.7%	3.5%	Dist 4
Price	Marano	4297	1449	25.2%	8146	3347	29.1%	3.9%	
Duncan	Rowland	14931	2774	15.7%	39623	8818	18.2%	2.5%	
Lyons	Durbin	9254	6498	41.3%	22102	21716	49.6%	8.3%	
McKenzie	Knudtsen	9059	7038	43.7%	20985	23861	53.2%	9.5%	County
Angiletta	Havercroft	9507	6114	39.1%	22626	21066	48.2%	9.1%	

These differences should be approximately 2.5%

This chart demonstrates a strong correlation between Early and E-Day voting in ALL Races except NIC.

Explaining Early to E-Day Difference

- NIC Trustee Race was Non-Partisan
  - **Nope. Same for both Early and E-Day voters.**
- NIR Spent a fortune on Negative Advertising.
  - **Nope. Same for both Early and E-day voting**.
  - **ALSO,** KCRCC will ALWAYS be outspent. RINO**$** are **$$$$$.**
- Last Minute anti-KCRCC text flipped E-day Voting 9%.
  - **Ya Right. Anti-KCRCC propaganda, ridicule, accreditation scare-porn has gone on for years.**

North Idaho College Trustees

- Eve Knudtsen
- Mary Havercroft
- Rick Durbin

NOTE: The NIC Trustee Races had many "undervotes." Many people who did not have a definite opinion simply did not vote.

Explaining Early to E-Day Difference

- Early Voters are More Conservative—
  - **Nope. Most early voters live NEAR CDA.**
- Trump told people to Vote Early
  - **Yes, but Early to E-Day Trump variation is < 3%.**
- Too many factors to predict—Age, gender, precinct, liberal, etc.
  - **Nope. Large samples (19K) integrate many factors.**

Summary : Statistical Problems

- First and final results are identical for all NIC races.
  - Impossible coincidence
  - Indicates Fraud
- 9% difference in Early vs. E-day NIC races.
  - Unexplained
  - Statistically impossible
- Percent of "Undercount" Ballots is identical for Early vs. E-day voters.
  - No difference in undervotes.
  - Votes were likely flipped, not added.
- More Information on Election Fraud in KC:

	EARLY	ABSENTEE	E-DAY		
TOTAL BALLOTS	19467	22894	53979		
NIC Trustee #3					
Rick Durbin	6498	13457	21716		
William J. Lyons	9254	6717	22102		
UNDERVOTES	3715	2720	10161		
% Undervote	19.08%	11.88%	18.82%	NONE	-0.26%
% Durbin	**33.38%**	58.78%	**40.23%**	NIR	**6.85%**
% Lyons	**47.54%**	29.34%	**40.95%**	KCRCC	**-6.59%**
NIC Trustee #4					
Eve L. Knudtsen	7038	14497	23861		
Greg McKenzie	9059	6023	20985		
UNDERVOTES	3370	2374	9133		
% Undervote	17.31%	10.37%	16.92%	NONE	-0.39%
% Knudtsen	**36.15%**	63.32%	**44.20%**	NIR	**8.05%**
% McKenzie	**46.54%**	26.31%	**38.88%**	KCRCC	**-7.66%**
NIC Trustee #5					
Mary Havercroft	6114	13574	21066		
Michael Angiletta	9507	6756	22626		
UNDERVOTES	3846	2564	10287		
% Undervote	19.76%	11.20%	19.06%	NONE	-0.70%
% Havercroft	**31.41%**	59.29%	**39.03%**	NIR	**7.62%**
% Angiletta	**48.84%**	29.51%	**41.92%**	KCRCC	**-6.92%**

Part I of E-Fraud series : https://rumble.com/v5w5s55-election-fraud-in-kootenai-county-overview.html

Article on NIC Steal : https://www.nislowgrow.org/slog-blog/was-the-nic-trustee-election-stolen