Lumbar Medial Branch Nerve Transection

Understanding Your Risks

Common Risks:

- Postprocedural pain and localized tenderness: Occurs in up to 100% of patients immediately following the procedure. Localized pain lasting up to 1 week is common, with 6-8.8% of patients reporting persistent back pain for more than 3 weeks. Some cases (up to 3-4%) may develop features of neuropathic pain lasting longer than 3 months.[1][2]

- Numbness or dysesthesia (abnormal sensation): Reported in approximately 18% of patients, typically lasting up to 3 weeks. These sensory symptoms result from thermal lesioning of nerves and typically resolve spontaneously.[1]

- Dural tear (cerebrospinal fluid leak): Occurs in approximately 2.64% of cases with endoscopic techniques. This is comparable to or slightly higher than percutaneous approaches.[3]

- Nerve injury or nerve palsy: Occurs in approximately 1.33% of cases. Endoscopic approaches allow for direct visualization of neural structures, which may reduce the risk of unintended nerve damage compared to blind percutaneous techniques.[4][3]

- Postoperative hematoma (bleeding/swelling): Reported in approximately 1.80% of cases with endoscopic techniques. Most cases are minor and self-limiting.[3]

- Surgical site infection: Occurs in approximately 0.20% of cases with endoscopic techniques, which is lower than many open surgical procedures.[3]

- Need for surgical revision: Approximately 1.68% of patients require reoperation for various reasons including inadequate pain relief, recurrent pain, or complications.[3]

- Recurrence of pain requiring repeat procedure: Pain relief is time-limited in most patients. When patients experience initial successful pain relief (>50% improvement lasting at least 3 months), repeat endoscopic denervation has an approximate 80% success rate. However, if initial treatment provides less than 3 months of relief, repeat procedures are less successful (approximately 38% success rate).[1]

- Limited duration of pain relief: While endoscopic neurotomy provides superior long-term pain relief compared to percutaneous radiofrequency ablation, pain relief gradually diminishes over time. Most studies report significant improvement up to 12-24 months, though effectiveness

may decline thereafter. Average duration of pain relief is approximately 10-12 months.[5][6][7][8][9][1]

Rare but Serious Risks:

- Irreversible spinal nerve injury: Extremely rare but documented cases exist where injury to non-target nerves (such as the L5 nerve root) has occurred, resulting in permanent sensory radiculopathy or motor weakness requiring long-term treatment including possible spinal cord stimulator placement.[4]

- Deep vein thrombosis (DVT): Occurs in a small percentage of minimally invasive spine procedures, with rates lower than open surgical procedures.[10][11]

- Pulmonary embolism: Rare complication associated with spine surgery, though less common with minimally invasive techniques compared to open procedures.[10][11]

- Infection requiring intravenous antibiotics or surgical intervention: Deep infections are extremely rare with endoscopic techniques but can occur.[12][3]

- Cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, stroke): Extremely rare with minimally invasive procedures performed under local anesthesia or conscious sedation.[13]

- Death: Mortality associated with minimally invasive facet denervation procedures is exceptionally rare, though exact rates are not well-documented in the literature. Death rates for all spine procedures are estimated at 0.1-0.3% per 1000 cases.[13]

Other Considerations:

- Anatomical variations: Studies have identified anatomical variations in medial branch nerve anatomy in some patients, which may affect the success of denervation. Direct endoscopic visualization allows for identification and treatment of these variations.[14]

- Comparison to percutaneous radiofrequency ablation: Endoscopic neurotomy has demonstrated superior long-term efficacy compared to percutaneous radiofrequency ablation, with better pain relief and functional improvement at 6 months and beyond. At 12-month follow-up, endoscopic neurotomy shows significantly better pain relief than percutaneous techniques.[6][7][8][9]

- Efficacy in appropriately selected patients: Success rates are highest (up to 75-80%) in patients who experience at least 50-70% pain relief with diagnostic medial branch blocks prior to the procedure.[14][5][7]

- Risk factors: Longer operative time compared to percutaneous techniques may slightly increase procedural risks. Advanced age, higher comorbidity burden, and obesity may increase the risk of complications, though endoscopic techniques generally have favorable safety profiles across patient populations.[12][15][16]

- Overall complication rate: The total complication rate for lumbar endoscopic medial branch nerve transection is approximately 7-8%, which compares favorably to many open spine procedures. Most complications are minor and self-limiting.[7][3]

Patient Acknowledgment:

By signing below, the patient acknowledges understanding of the above risks, their estimated incidence, and the potential for both common and rare complications associated with lumbar medial branch nerve transection. All questions have been answered to the patient's satisfaction.

Patient Signature: _____ ***Date:*** _____

Patient Name: _____ ***DOB:***_____

References

1. Consensus Practice Guidelines on Interventions for Lumbar Facet Joint Pain From a Multispecialty, International Working Group. Cohen SP, Bhaskar A, Bhatia A, et al. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. 2020;45(6):424-467. doi:10.1136/rapm-2019-101243.
2. Complications of Lumbar Facet Radiofrequency Denervation. Kornick C, Kramarich SS, Lamer TJ, Todd Sitzman B. Spine. 2004;29(12):1352-4. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000128263.67291.a0.

3. Meta-Analysis of Complications in Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery (2013-2024): Lumbar Spine - Biportal Endoscopic Spine Surgery (BESS). Inzerillo S, Gurav E, Ikwuegbuenyi CA, et al. Spine. 2025;:00007632-990000000-01151. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000005514.
4. Irreversible Spinal Nerve Injury From Dorsal Ramus Radiofrequency Neurotomy: A Case Report. Abbott Z, Smuck M, Haig A, Sagher O. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2007;88(10):1350-2. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2007.07.006.
5. Comparative Effectiveness of Radiofrequency Ablation, Cryoablation, and Endoscopic Denervation for Lumbar Facet Pain: A Multicenter Trial. Kočan L, Rapčan R, Mláka J, et al. Spine. 2025;:00007632-990000000-01169. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000005539.
6. Comparison of Endoscopic Facet Joint Denervation to the Percutaneous Technique Regarding Efficacy in Patients With Low Back Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Woiciechowsky C. Spine. 2022;47(17):1187-1193. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000004392.
7. Radiofrequency Treatments for Lumbar Facet Joint Syndrome: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Park S, Park JH, Sokpeou N, et al. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. 2024;:rapm-2024-105883. doi:10.1136/rapm-2024-105883.
8. Percutaneous Radiofrequency Ablation and Endoscopic Neurotomy for Lumbar Facet Joint Syndrome: Are They Good Enough?. Du R, Gao J, Wang B, et al. European Spine Journal : Official Publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society. 2024;33(2):463-473. doi:10.1007/s00586-023-08078-5.
9. Comparison of the Effectiveness of Radiofrequency Neurotomy and Endoscopic Neurotomy of Lumbar Medial Branch for Facetogenic Chronic Low Back Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Song K, Li Z, Shuang F, et al. World Neurosurgery. 2019;126:e109-e115. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2019.01.251.
10. Complications in Minimally Invasive Cervical Spine Surgery - Tubular, Uniportal, and Biportal Endoscopic Surgery (2013-2024): A Proportional Meta-Analysis. Willett N, Ikwuegbuenyi CA, Inzerillo S, et al. Spine. 2025;:00007632-990000000-01211. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000005581.
11. Does Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery Reduce the Rate of Perioperative Medical Complications? A Retrospective Single-Center Experience of 1435 Degenerative Lumbar Spine Surgeries. Altshuler M, Mueller K, MacConnell A, et al. European Spine Journal : Official Publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society. 2021;30(1):122-127. doi:10.1007/s00586-020-06536-y.
12. The American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN) Evidence-Based Clinical Guideline of Interventional Treatments for Low Back Pain. Sayed D, Grider J, Strand N, et al. Journal of Pain Research. 2022;15:3729-3832. doi:10.2147/JPR.S386879.

13. Complication Rates of Three Common Spine Procedures and Rates of Thromboembolism Following Spine Surgery Based on 108,419 Procedures: A Report From the Scoliosis Research Society Morbidity and Mortality Committee. Smith JS, Fu KM, Polly DW, et al. Spine. 2010;35(24):2140-9. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181cbc8e7.
14. Evaluation of Endoscopic Dorsal Ramus Rhizotomy in Managing Facetogenic Chronic Low Back Pain. Li ZZ, Hou SX, Shang WL, Song KR, Wu WW. Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery. 2014;126:11-7. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2014.08.014.
15. Lumbar Radiofrequency Ablation (LRFA)- Myths and Facts: A Narrative Review of the Literature. Tieppo Francio V, Leavitt L, Radlicz C, Gill B, Sayed D. Current Pain and Headache Reports. 2025;29(1):98. doi:10.1007/s11916-025-01413-z.
16. Minimally Invasive Versus Open Surgery for Degenerative Spine Disorders for Elderly Patients: Experiences From a Single Institution. Yolcu YU, Helal A, Alexander AY, et al. World Neurosurgery. 2021;146:e1262-e1269. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2020.11.145.