










# **P.A. INAMDAR V. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA (2005)**

*Constitutional Interpretation & Basic Structure*

## **PRELIMS SNAPSHOT**

-  1. Prelims Snapshot (Fact Box)
-  Year: 2005
-  Case: P.A. Inamdar v. State of Maharashtra
-  Bench Strength: 7 Judges (Constitution Bench)
-  Key Articles Involved: Articles 19(1)(g), 29, and 30
-  Doctrine Reaffirmed: Minority institutions have autonomy but subject to reasonable regulation
-  Famous Line: "Unaided professional institutions have the freedom to choose students based on merit."

## **CONTEXT & BACKGROUND**

Following T.M.A. Pai Foundation (2002) and Islamic Academy (2003), confusion persisted on the role of the State in fixing quotas and regulating admissions in private unaided colleges, especially professional institutions.



Several states attempted to impose reservation policies in private unaided institutions. This led to legal challenges by education providers asserting their right to autonomy

## **CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES RAISED**

- Can the State impose reservation/quota policies in private unaided and minority institutions?
- Do minority institutions enjoy full administrative autonomy, especially in professional courses?
- How can the balance between social justice and institutional freedom be maintained?

## **VERDICT & RATIO DECIDENDI**

The Court ruled that:

- Private unaided institutions (including minorities) have the right to admit students without government-imposed quotas.



- Admissions must be fair, transparent, and merit-based, possibly through a Common Entrance Test (CET).
- No State-mandated reservations can be enforced in such institutions.
- Minority status does not exempt institutions from maintaining academic standards.

## **DOCTRINE / PRINCIPLE EVOLVED**

- Educational autonomy is a fundamental right under Article 19(1)(g), reinforced for minorities under Article 30.
- The State may regulate to prevent commercialization, but cannot micromanage admissions.
- Equality and merit must guide admissions in professional courses, ensuring institutional accountability.





## IMPACT & LEGACY

- Overruled the part of Islamic Academy which allowed State quotas.
- Laid the foundation for the 93rd Constitutional Amendment (leading to Article 15(5)), enabling reservations in private institutions (except minorities).
- Continues to be cited in NEET, medical college admissions, and minority institution autonomy debates.
- Triggered wider discussions on access vs autonomy in higher education.



**UPSC  
GURUS**

# MAJOR SUPREME COURT JUDGEMENTS

## RELEVANCE FOR UPSC

- GS Paper 2:
  - Education policy, minority rights, Centre-State relations
- GS Paper 4 (Ethics):
  - Fairness, access vs autonomy debate
- Essay Paper:
  - "Balancing Social Justice and Institutional Freedom"
- UPSC Interview:
- Useful in responses on NEET, minority institution rights, and educational reforms

**TO DOWNLOAD PDF  
JOIN OUR TELEGRAM  
CHANNEL**

**[https://t.me/UPSC\\_Gurus](https://t.me/UPSC_Gurus)**









**OR  
DOWNLOAD FROM  
OUR WEBSITE**

**[www.upscgurus.in](http://www.upscgurus.in)**

**FOLLOW US**



 @UPSCGURUS  8122002172  UPSC.GURUS  
 UPSC\_Gurus  [www.upscgurus.in](http://www.upscgurus.in)  [upscgurus@gmail.com](mailto:upscgurus@gmail.com)