

**PRESS KIT**  
**30 SEPTEMBER 2025**

**How the Plaintiffs and Their Accomplices  
Deceived and Manipulated  
the Justice System**

## **CONTENT:**

### **1. Syntheses**

- a. General synthesis
- b. Forensic Computational Linguistics expertise synthesis – Switzerland
- c. Forensic Computational Linguistics expertise synthesis – France
- d. Photographic expertise synthesis
- e. Medical expertise synthesis
- f. IT expertise synthesis

### **2. Tables**

- a. New elements
- b. Synthesis of expert reports
- c. “Brigitte” synthesis
- d. Jean-Claude Elfassi synthesis

### **3. Projected Pictures**

- a. Messages found on Bakchich
- b. Conclusion by Dr. Chaski (Switzerland)
- c. Messages from “Nathalie”
- d. Conclusions by Dr. Chaski (France)
- e. IT expertise conclusions
- f. Photography expertise conclusions
- g. Medical expertise conclusions
- h. Messages from Henda Ayari about the trap

# 1. Syntheses

## **General synthesis**

### **Table of Content**

#### **TURNING POINT – 4**

##### **Expert Reports and Scientific Evidence – 5**

In Switzerland – 5

In France – 6

##### **Two Former Complainants Retract Their Accusations – 8**

##### **Witness Tampering: – The Direct Responsibility of Jean-Claude Elfassi – 9**

##### **A Pending Complaint Against Henda Ayari: Concealments and Fraudulent Strategy – 11**

##### **A Procedural Singularity – 12**

##### **From Scientific Evidence to the Demand for Justice – 13**

#### **CONCLUSION – 13**

## **Turning Point and Reversal of Proceedings: Fraudulent Collusion Established by Scientific Expert Reports**

All the judicial expertise conducted in 2025 mark a major turning point in the Tariq Ramadan case. Four scientific disciplines, Forensic Computational Linguistics, Forensic medicine, Photographic analysis, and Computer forensic, converge to demonstrate that the complainants' accounts are false and rest on insurmountable contradictions.

It follows that genuine fraudulent collusion preceded the public accusations, and that the complainants and their accomplices deceived the justice system. These conclusions are not based on conjecture, but on rigorous, validated methods carried out by recognized and independent experts.

## **Expert Reports and Scientific Evidence**

### **In Switzerland**

#### **Forensic Computational Linguistics Expertise**

The Forensic Computational Linguistics expertise conducted by Dr. Carole E. Chaski, a global pioneer in forensic linguistics and director of the Institute for Linguistic Evidence (ILE), formally attributed to the Swiss complainant the anonymous and threatening messages published under the pseudonym “Leila” on the Bakchich website on October 14, 2008. These messages explicitly announced that “a torpedo was in prep(eration)” and that “the chat had been fruitful”, two weeks before her only meeting with Tariq Ramadan, during which she claims to have been assaulted, at the very moment she had just begun discussions with him via Facebook and MSN chat.

The conclusions of this expertise call into question the very foundations of the accusation. The complainant was identified (with the highest possible probability, ranging from 96.97% to 100%) as the author of the messages published under the pseudonym “Leila”, announcing a trap against Tariq Ramadan. It is therefore scientifically established that the accusation was part of a premeditated strategy, and not the unpredictability of a traumatic event.

Yet before the Swiss judiciary, she initially denied any knowledge of the Bakchich website, while in France (questioned as a witness) she admitted both to using the pseudonym and to visiting the site while concealing that she was the author of the disputed messages. She even handed over to the French judiciary a notebook containing her own poems signed “Leila”, a piece she had not transmitted to the Swiss judiciary.

These major contradictions demonstrate a strategy of concealment and reveal fraudulent collusion prior to the complaints. They also highlight that the complainant did not maintain the same account in Switzerland as in France, further deepening the seriousness of the inconsistencies observed.

Thus, the scientific proof indisputably establishing that the complainant is the author of the threatening messages corroborates the other contradictions and concealments noted. It constitutes a new, decisive element under the law, justifying the immediate filing of a request for revision of the judgment in Switzerland.

## **In France**

### **Forensic Computational Linguistics Expertise**

In France, a second computer-assisted forensic linguistic expertise, delivered on September 5, 2025, by Dr. Carole E. Chaski, established that the messages published under the pseudonym “Nathalie” were indeed written by PEA (“Christelle”). These writings announced the setting of a trap targeting Tariq Ramadan in a hotel room, and they date from five and six days before their only meeting. In other words, the scientific evidence (again with the highest possible probability, between 97.17% and 100%) demonstrates that the scenario of the assault did not emerge after the fact but had been conceived and prepared before their only encounter.

These messages had already been discovered in 2019 by the Criminal Investigation Brigade on a USB drive belonging to “Christelle” (PEA). They contain an exchange, written under the pseudonym “Nathalie”, in which she clearly announced her intention to trap Tariq Ramadan in a hotel room, before their only meeting. At the time, investigators from the Criminal Brigade had already hypothesized that these writings could be attributed to PEA (“Christelle”). Yet this hypothesis was not retained by the investigating judge, and these elements were excluded from the case file. Moreover, the complainant herself admitted during a hearing that her different online pseudonyms were “Nathalie”, “Nathy” or “Nathynathy”, and that one of her frequently used pseudonyms in her emails and messages was “Nathalie Magnant”. She nonetheless denied being the author of these messages claiming instead, implausibly, that they had been passed on to her.

The independent Forensic Computational Linguistics report, issued on September, 5 2025 by Dr. Carole E. Chaski, decisively confirms what investigators had observed from the start: PEA (“Christelle”) is indeed the author of these criminal and threatening messages. Thus, this was not a later account or a reconstructed memory, but the explicit preparation of a premeditated trap, further revealing clear fraudulent collusion aimed at deceiving the judges. Another expertise would shed even more serious and decisive light on the matter.

## **Facial Recognition Expertise**

A facial recognition expertise conducted by Thomas Duclert, a court-appointed expert with the Paris Court of Appeal and member of the Compagnie nationale des experts en culture, communication et digital, established with 100% certainty that PEA (“Christelle”) was present at a public conference by Tariq Ramadan, precisely at the time when she claimed to have been held captive in a hotel room after being assaulted. This photographic evidence constitutes a major contradiction, once again demonstrating the lack of coherence between statements and facts, and further showing that the lies were deliberately organized in order to have Tariq Ramadan convicted.

## **Forensic Medical Expertise**

The medico-legal report prepared by Dr. Ann Chuc, an expert with the Paris Court of Appeal and also with the International Criminal Court, further concludes that no objective medical element corroborates the account of violence given by complainant PEA (“Christelle”). The total absence of findings of injuries, specialised examinations, or hospitalisation combined with the complainant’s pre-existing condition of severe algodystrophy renders the version advanced materially impossible. Here again, the medical expertise invalidates “Christelle”’s story.

## **Computer Forensic Expertise**

Finally, the computer forensics expertise conducted by Mr. Morgan Lebrument, a court-appointed expert with the Lyon Court of Appeal, makes a decisive contribution to the case. It demonstrates that the blog *monintimeconviction*, managed by “Christelle” (PEA) and containing very hostile content toward Tariq Ramadan, existed and was technically active well before October 9, 2009, hence before their only meeting. The analyses reveal the presence of system files and time-stamped articles dating back to at least early 2009, which flatly contradict her repeated claims that the blog had only been created after that date. The expert also stressed that the explanations advanced by “Christelle” (PEA) voluntary backdating, clock malfunction, use of HTTrack are technically contradicted by the computer data.

This expertise therefore highlights not only the existence of malicious activity prior to the meeting but also confirms the conclusion of the forensic linguistic expertise: “Christelle” was acting against Tariq Ramadan before ever meeting him and had premeditated a trap. The expertise carried out in 2025 provide indisputable scientific proof: the accusations made by PEA (“Christelle”) are the result of a premeditated and concerted strategy. Taken individually, each of these reports is enough to undermine the accusations. Taken together, they establish certainty: this was not about occasional inconsistencies, but about a trap conceived, premeditated, and organized around fraudulent collusion, with a systematic strategy of concealment. The justice cannot ignore the scope of these results.



## Two Former Complainants Retract Their Accusations

Two complainants excluded from the proceedings in France have recently retracted. They now affirm, and in line with the new scientific findings, that it was an organized trap. They directly implicate not only other complainants, but also certain ideological opponents of Tariq Ramadan.

- **Mounia Rabbouj (third complainant in France)**

Mounia Rabbouj made a statement before a bailiff in which she explained that it was a plan. She claims to have been manipulated by paparazzo Jean-Claude Elfassi, who incited her to label as rape what was not, and to alter her account. According to her, Jean-Claude Elfassi, in agreement with her first lawyer, Me Francis Szpiner, modified her complaint. He also allegedly negotiated the exclusivity of her testimony with journalists, in exchange for payment (*L'Express*, among others). She provided evidence (copies of emails and messages) confirming her statements.

- **Marie-Josée Iglesias Magdalena (fourth complainant)**

Marie-Josée Iglesias Magdalena had initially claimed to have been the victim of a gang rape in Lyon, a complaint dismissed due to factual contradictions. Today, she declares that it was once again Jean-Claude Elfassi who drafted and signed her complaint, with the complicity of Me Szpiner. She affirms that she was never raped and describes a plan aimed at “bringing down” Tariq Ramadan. She further states that she met Henda Ayari at Jean-Claude Elfassi’s place, in a social housing apartment they shared (Jean-Claude Elfassi and Henda Ayari), while keeping this secret.

## Complaint for Witness Tampering: The Direct Responsibility of Jean-Claude Elfassi

On October 24, 2024, a complaint with Constitution of Civil Party (CPC) was filed against Jean-Claude Elfassi for witness tampering, and it is currently under judicial investigation. This procedure seeks to establish his central role in the manipulation of complaints, of the complainants, and in the organization of media campaigns intended to harm Tariq Ramadan.

Several complainants today directly accuse him:

1. Mounia Rabbouj accuses him of manipulation, lies, and incitement to lie.
2. Henda Ayari herself eventually filed a complaint against him, while he now calls her a liar regarding her rape accusations.
3. Marie-Josée Iglesias Magdalena states that he drafted and signed her complaint.

It should also be recalled that “Brigitte” (RDA, the Swiss complainant) attempted to sell him her story: during a search of the home of Denise Wilcke, a witness in the Swiss case, an email was found establishing correspondence between Jean-Claude Elfassi and “Brigitte” (RAD). In this exchange, Brigitte tried to monetize her testimony to Elfassi. He replied: *“Sorry for the late response, I offered the story to most of the weeklies, none wanted it. I can run it on my blog to do you a favour and take the lawsuits, but I’m not going to pay on top of it. I’ve seen plenty of people for far more important cases (criminal and political-financial cases) than this poor guy’s bedroom antics. We didn’t take nearly so many precautions; your anonymity will be guaranteed. This is not the story of the century French people don’t care if a fundamentalist Arab cheats on his wife, it won’t change their Christmas. I’ll take care of the file, but I don’t want to waste too much time or make it complicated.”*

Moreover, the proceedings revealed a particularly serious fact: Ms. Henda Ayari only belatedly admitted, during her hearing on January 25, 2022, that she had shared a social housing apartment with Mr. Jean-Claude Elfassi, with both of their names jointly on the lease. This cohabitation, never declared to the courts at the time of the events, is a material element concealed by both parties, only revealed by Henda Ayari after they had a falling out. Indeed, as early as 2020, Mr. Elfassi spoke at length about his relationship with Ms. Ayari but omitted to mention this decisive fact.

This concerted silence is not insignificant: it reveals a deliberate intent to deceive justice about the nature of their ties, and to maintain the illusion of independence between the complainant and a key actor in the fraudulent collusion.

**PRESS KIT – TARIQ RAMADAN CASE**  
**30<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025**

The late discovery of this cohabitation is confirmed by the fourth complainant, Marie-Josée Iglesias Magdalena, who stated that she had slept in their apartment. This voluntary omission constitutes a concealment of information, in violation of the principle of sincerity and procedural loyalty enshrined in law.

These accusations are corroborated by formal evidence and new testimonies. They demonstrate that, as early as 2007, Jean-Claude Elfassi was conducting a hostile campaign against Tariq Ramadan on his blog and social networks.

From 2009 to the present, he has been in contact with all the Swiss and French complainants (except the last, Naïs Lorain) and maintained close ties with some of them. These converging elements reveal the existence of sustained fraudulent collusion, based on coordinated manoeuvres and premeditated plans aimed at artificially constructing accusations. Thus, this complaint with Constitution of Civil Party is not limited to a parallel matter: it sheds light on the very core of the case. It confirms that certain accusations were fabricated and instrumentalized by outside interventions, and that Elfassi played a leading role in them.

Finally, it should be recalled that case documents establish that Caroline Fourest was in direct contact with two complainants well before the official opening of the case. As early as 2009, exchanges with “Christelle” (PEA) and “Brigitte” (RAD) are documented, proving a long-standing link that contradicts the image of a journalist discovering the protagonists only afterward. More than that, communications with Henda Ayari took place even before her complaint was filed, while Fourest publicly claimed not to know her. Police analysis of telephone records revealed that between May 6 and November 6, 2017, there were 156 exchanges (calls or SMS) with Henda Ayari and 116 exchanges with “Christelle” (PEA). An email seized during a search of the home of Denise Wilke, witness of “Brigitte” (RAD), is even more troubling: in it, Fourest wrote that “we must not attack him from that angle,” showing that the classification of rape was not initially considered, but that another accusatory strategy was being built. The new scientific findings lead to reconsidering her role: not that of a simple journalist relaying accusations, but of an active participant, in direct contact with two key complainants, and aware of the construction of a false accusatory strategy.

## **A Pending Complaint Against Henda Ayari: Concealments and Fraudulent Strategy**

A complaint has been filed against Henda Ayari in the context of the Tariq Ramadan case. The alleged facts appear particularly serious. Two separate witnesses stated that she lived with Jean-Claude Elfassi in a social housing apartment during the proceedings, without ever having informed the court. In addition, a former partner testified that she staged a suicide attempt with the aim, according to her own words, of “adding weight” to the accusations against Tariq Ramadan. These elements demonstrate manoeuvres intended to mislead the judiciary and artificially aggravate the accusations. To this are added several established lies: Henda Ayari denied her links with Caroline Fourest, Jean-Claude Elfassi, and “Christelle” (PEA), whereas these relationships are now confirmed by documents in the file. She is also directly implicated by two other complainants, Mounia Rabbouj and “Christelle” (PEA), who accuse her of lying and of having contributed to the elaboration of a falsified narrative. Furthermore, the record shows that Henda Ayari sent two emails to Tariq Ramadan, in June 2013 and June 2014, in which she herself spoke of traps: she claimed that a woman had manipulated her and that Alain Soral had tried to set a trap for him in order to damage his reputation.

These converging elements point not to simple inconsistencies, but to serious and now confirmed evidence of a concerted strategy of manipulation, amounting to fraudulent collusion.

## **A Procedural Singularity**

Naïs Lorain did not file a complaint on her own initiative. She was interviewed by the Criminal Brigade in March 2019, at the instruction of the investigating judge, following the discovery of exchanges with Tariq Ramadan on a mobile phone. During this initial hearing, she refused to file a complaint. Messages found in the case record, which she sent him a year after their encounter, confirm that she held no grievance against him: “Happy New Year (...) sorry for the silly things I said (...) I need your wisdom.” Later questioned by the investigating judge, she reiterated that “the truth is what I told the Criminal Brigade” specifying that this version was “the closest to what actually happened.”

Despite her consistent refusal, the investigating judge continued the hearings, steering the questions toward the idea of coercion, which Naïs Lorain firmly opposed. She demanded that the record state she had never wished to file a complaint and added: “Otherwise I’ll kill myself.” She also mentioned having been contacted by the lawyer of “Christelle” (PEA), Me Éric Morain, who had also met with the Swiss complainant before her complaint was filed. Ultimately, Naïs Lorain was included in the proceedings as a civil party by judicial commission, without any voluntary action on her part marking a fundamental difference from a spontaneous complaint.

The subsequent procedure raised several anomalies. Naïs Lorain did not attend various judge interrogations, confrontations, or the psychiatric evaluation. Nevertheless, the psychiatric expert stated in his report that he had met and questioned her, before admitting it was a mistake, unable to explain how such confusion had occurred. An erroneous mention of this nature in a central procedural document is particularly troubling and raises concerns about the reliability of judicial oversight.

## **From Scientific Evidence to the Demand for Justice**

The scientific reports and the testimonies recently added to the proceedings converge and demonstrate the existence of collusion and a premeditated trap. These new, grave, and concordant elements require a re-evaluation of the procedures. In this sense, requests for revision of the judgment in Switzerland and for the reopening of the investigation in France have been filed, so that justice may fully establish the reality of the manoeuvres organized against Tariq Ramadan. This is no longer a matter of opposing declarations, but the demonstration of established facts.

Finally, it must be emphasized that those who contributed to the creation and fuelling of this case through fraudulent means represent a danger to the rule of law and to the integrity of justice. This is no longer only about defending an accused, but about protecting justice itself from those who chose to manipulate it.

## **CONCLUSION**

After nine and a half months of pre-trial detention and eight years of investigation, new scientific reports, decisive, confirm Tariq Ramadan's consistent declarations of innocence.

The investigation has revealed evidence showing that the complainants and third parties contributed to misleading the judiciary, while at the same time exploiting the cause of women and the #MeToo movement. The media coverage of the case led to a pre-judgment of guilt, based more on ideological and media-driven considerations than on established facts, which departs from the fundamental principles of law and justice.

The analysis must remain limited to the facts and the law. The scientific reports, objective in nature, have revealed elements demonstrating the existence of a premeditated trap and concerted manoeuvres. These conclusions, which must prevail in the judicial debate, lead to the dismissal of the accusations brought against Tariq Ramadan, and to the recognition of his innocence.

# PRESS KIT – TARIQ RAMADAN CASE

30<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025

## Synthesis of the Forensic Computational Linguistics report

---

*“The questioned document is recognizable as a document authored by Regine Balesta Diallo (RAD) and, statistically speaking, is typical of her writing style, with the chance of her authorship ranging from 96.97% to 100%”*

*Dr. Carole E. Chaski*

- **Key Finding of the Expertise**

The scientific expertise conducted in 2025 establishes, with the highest possible probability according to the standards of the discipline (96.97% and 100% by three tests and two distinct methods), that the Swiss complainant is indeed the author of the anonymous and threatening messages published under the pseudonym “Leila” on the Bakchich website in mid-October 2008.

These messages, retrieved and authenticated, explicitly stated that “a torpedo was in prepa(ration)” and that the “chat had been fruitful”, precisely at the moment when “Brigitte” had just initiated direct contact with Tariq Ramadan, two weeks before their only encounter. The expertise therefore demonstrates scientifically that the accusation was based on a premeditated and carefully prepared plan, rather than on an unforeseeable assault as she claims.

This finding confirms and sheds light on major facts and contradictions already noted in the case file. She had indeed admitted to having used the pseudonym Leila to intervene on various websites discussing Tariq Ramadan. Yet before the Swiss judiciary, the complainant denied knowing the Bakchich website. However, during a hearing in France, she acknowledged having been active there. Emails provided by a witness prove that she herself had sent the witness an article from Bakchich containing the threatening comment from the very site she claimed not to know.

Thus, the expertise not only establishes the premeditation of a publicly announced “trap,” but also demonstrates a strategy of concealment: not saying the truth before the Swiss courts, stating something else before the French courts, all the while leaving irrefutable material traces of her involvement.

- **The expert**

*Dr. Carole E. Chaski is a leading authority in forensic computational linguistics. She is the founder and Executive Director of the Institute for Linguistic Evidence (ILE), a non-profit organization dedicated to the scientific validation of linguistic methods in judicial contexts. She also heads ALIAS Technology LLC, which develops authorship detection and linguistic analysis tools for legal use. Her expertise has been sought in numerous federal and state cases in the United States, in Canada, and before the Hague Tribunal, particularly following Daubert or Frye hearings regarding the admissibility of linguistic evidence. She was the first linguist to have conclusions based on validated computational linguistic methods accepted in U.S. courts.*

# PRESS KIT – TARIQ RAMADAN CASE

30<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025

## Synthesis of the Forensic Computational Linguistics Report -France

---

**“The questioned documents are recognizable as documents authored by PEA (“Christelle”) and, statistically speaking, are typical of her writing style, with the chance of her authorship ranging from 97.17% to 100%.”**

*Dr. Carole E. Chaski*

- **Key Finding**

Dr. Carole E. Chaski, an international expert in forensic linguistics, was appointed to analyse a conversation retrieved from a USB drive belonging to PEA (“Christelle”). This exchange involved two interlocutors, one of whom identified herself as “*Nathalie*,” a pseudonym that PEA (“Christelle”) admitted was hers, while denying having held this conversation.

The conversation found on PEA’s USB drive referred to the preparation of a trap, five and six days before her first meeting with Tariq Ramadan. The purpose of the expertise was to determine whether PEA (“Christelle”) was indeed the author of these messages, as initially suspected by the Criminal Investigation Brigade.

The expertise concluded that all of the disputed messages originated from PEA (“Christelle”), with a scientific certainty close to 100%.

- **The Expert**

*Dr. Carole E. Chaski is a leading authority in forensic computational linguistics. She is the founder and Executive Director of the Institute for Linguistic Evidence (ILE), a non-profit organization dedicated to the scientific validation of linguistic methods in judicial contexts. She also heads ALIAS Technology LLC, which develops authorship detection and linguistic analysis tools for judicial use. Her expertise has been sought in numerous federal and state cases in the United States, in Canada, and before the Hague Tribunal, particularly in Daubert or Frye hearings regarding the admissibility of linguistic evidence. She was the first linguist to have conclusions based on validated computational linguistic methods accepted in U.S. courts.*



## PRESS KIT – TARIQ RAMADAN CASE

30<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025

### **Facial Recognition Expertise Applied to Photographic Evidence**

---

*"The individual appearing in the photographs taken during Tariq Ramadan's conference corresponds, with 100% certainty, to the complainant PEA 'Christelle.'"*

*Thomas Duclert*

- **Key Result of the Photographic Expertise**

A judicial photographic identification expertise was carried out by a court-appointed expert registered with the Paris Court of Appeal. This method relies on morphological and comparative analysis of facial features between the disputed photographs and reference images of the complainant.

The expert's conclusions are categorical: the individual present in the photographs taken during Tariq Ramadan's conference corresponds, with 100% certainty, to the complainant "Christelle" (PEA).

This scientific conclusion therefore establishes a major contradiction: while the complainant claims to have been held captive at that precise time, the objective evidence demonstrates her presence at a public conference. This expertise thus decisively invalidates the version put forward by the complainant.

- **The Expert**

*Thomas Duclert is a court-accredited expert with the Paris Court of Appeal, specializing in analog and digital photography, dating, certification, attribution, and facial recognition. He is an active member of the Compagnie nationale des experts de justice en culture, communication et digital (CNEC-CCD).*

# PRESS KIT – TARIQ RAMADAN CASE

30<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025

## Forensic Medical Expertise

---

*"No immediate initial medical care, which would have been expected given the traumatic elements described, appears in the case file: neither a physical and/or gynaecological examination, nor requests for additional tests or specialised consultations to be carried out after the events."*

*Dr. Ann Chuc*

- **Key Finding of the Medical Expertise**

The forensic medical report prepared by Dr. Ann Chuc, issued in May 2025, concludes that no objective evidence corroborates the account of violence reported by the complainant "Christelle." (PEA)

No appropriate medical care appears in the file: no record of injuries, no specialized examinations, no hospitalization, despite the alleged severity of the facts (multiple blows, violent rapes with an object, anal and urinary aftereffects, knee dislocation), which would have required immediate medical intervention.

The available medical documents (consultations, prescriptions, biological samples) reveal no trace consistent with rape as described. The gynaecological and biological analyses do not show any lesions or infections attributable to the alleged acts.

Regarding the knee, the expertise highlights that the complainant's pre-existing condition of severe algo-dystrophy makes the functional recovery she describes after the alleged assault physically impossible. In other words, her own prior state of health contradicts her account of the events.

This forensic medical expertise is decisive: it demonstrates that no objective element confirms the alleged violence, and that the complainant's prior health condition makes her account materially impossible.

- **The Expert**

*Dr. An Chuc is a recognised forensic physician, head of the Medical Specialties and Emergency Unit, Forensic Medicine Department at Fontainebleau Hospital. She is a court-appointed expert registered with the Paris Court of Appeal and works with the International Criminal Court. She also serves as Deputy Secretary General of the Compagnie des Experts Médecins with the Paris Court of Appeal (CEMCAP).*

# PRESS KIT – TARIQ RAMADAN CASE

30<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025

## Legal Synthesis of the Computer Forensics Expertise

---

*“The analyses confirm that certain WordPress system files related to the website «monintimeconviction» were timestamped before October 9, 2009, which establishes the site’s technical existence prior to that date.”*

*M. Morgan Lebrument*

- **Key Finding**

A cybersecurity expert, **Mr. Morgan Lebrument** (Xploit), was commissioned to analyze the archives of the malicious blog *monintimeconviction*, attributed to “Christelle” (PEA), to determine the blog’s true creation date, the reliability of her explanations (HTTrack, misconfigured computer clock, manipulations), its existence before October 9, 2009 — a date she disputes — and to assess the consistency of her statements, clearly identifying where she lied.

“Christelle” (PEA) has always denied running this blog before October 9, 2009. Yet, if the blog already existed, it proves that a harassment and defamation strategy was set up even **before her one and only meeting with Tariq Ramadan**. In other words, the blog’s earlier technical existence not only demonstrates a lie but also reveals the motive: “Christelle” (PEA) harbored malicious intent toward him prior to meeting and had a premeditated plan before October 9.

- **The computer forensics examination highlighted several decisive points:**

**Existence of the blog before October 9, 2009:** several files were timestamped as early as February 2009, and articles dated January 2009 confirm that the blog *monintimeconviction* existed before the meeting with Tariq Ramadan, contradicting “Christelle” (PEA)’s statements.

**False statements during questioning:** she claimed she had never used WordPress before this blog, whereas she had already used it in 2006 to host her professional site *Inakka*. This finding formally establishes a lie.

**Real technical skills:** the analysis revealed the use of specialized software (HTTrack), repeated formatting and backup operations, and structured data management — incompatible with the image of a beginner.

**Technical inconsistencies:** backdating and timestamp anomalies cannot be explained solely by a misconfigured computer clock.

**Material evidence:** after keeping her computer for nine years and presenting it as evidence, “Christelle” (PEA) disposed of it before judicial examinations, preventing further checks. Only the sealed USB drive remains available and could provide decisive evidence.

- **The Expert**

Morgan Lebrument is a cybersecurity and computer forensics expert, founder of the company Xploit. A specialist in the analysis of digital evidence and data authenticity, he regularly serves as an expert before the courts, particularly in complex cases involving web systems and technical disputes.

## 2. Tables



## 2 Forensic Computational Linguistics Expertise

- Dr. Carole E. Chaski's expertise scientifically attributed to the Swiss complainant the anonymous threatening messages published before her meeting with Tariq Ramadan. These messages demonstrate a premeditated strategy.
- A second expertise conducted by Dr. Carole E. Chaski confirmed that "Christelle" (PEA) is indeed the author of messages announcing a trap against Tariq Ramadan, written several days before their only encounter. These elements, discovered as early as 2019 by the Criminal Investigation Brigade but dismissed at the time by the judge, prove the premeditation of the accusation.

## Facial Recognition Expertise

The judicial expertise of Thomas Duclert establishes with certainty that "Christelle" (PEA) was attending a conference by Tariq Ramadan at the very time she claimed to have been held captive.

## Forensic Medical Expertise

Dr. Ann Chuc's report concludes that no medical evidence corroborates "Christelle" (PEA) account of violence, which is deemed materially impossible due to her pre-existing health condition.

## Two Former Complainants Retract Their Accusations

### 1. Mounia Rabbouj (third complainant in France)


She stated before a bailiff that she had been manipulated by paparazzo Jean-Claude Elfassi into labeling as rape an act that was not, and accuses him, along with his lawyer Me Szpiner, of having altered her complaint and sold her testimony to the press.

### 2. Marie-Josée Iglesias Magdalena (fourth complainant)

She had initially claimed to have been the victim of a gang rape in Lyon, a complaint dismissed due to factual contradictions. Today, she declares that it was Jean-Claude Elfassi who drafted and signed her complaint, with the complicity of Me Szpiner. She affirms that she was never raped and describes a plan aimed at "bringing down" Tariq Ramadan. She further specifies that she met Henda Ayari at Jean-Claude Elfassi's place, in a public housing apartment they shared (Jean-Claude Elfassi and Henda Ayari), while keeping this concealed.

## Witness Tampering: The Direct Responsibility of Jean-Claude Elfassi

On October 24, 2024, a criminal complaint with Constitution de Partie Civile (CPC) was filed against Jean-Claude Elfassi for witness tampering, and it is currently under judicial investigation.




## Computer Expertise

The computer forensics expertise proves that the accusatory blog existed well before the meeting with Tariq Ramadan, contradicting the complainant's statements and revealing premeditated malicious activity.



# SUMMARY DIAGRAM – TARIQ RAMADAN CASE / SWISS COMPLAINANT “BRIGITTE” (RAD)





# "CHRISTELLE" (PEA) CASE : WHAT THE EXPERTISE PROVE

## JUSTICE DECEIVED

MALICIOUS  
MESSAGES BEFORE THE  
MEETING

MESSAGES IDENTIFIED  
DISCUSSING A PLAN AND A TRAP 6  
DAYS BEFORE THE ALLEGED RAPE,  
CONFIRMING THE SUSPICION OF  
THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION  
BRIGADE.

LIES REGARDING  
ALLEGED ABDUCTION

SHE IS IDENTIFIED AT A PUBLIC  
CONFERENCE AT THE SAME TIME  
SHE CLAIMS TO HAVE BEEN  
ABDUCTED AND ASSAULTED



**FORENSIC  
COMPUTATIONAL  
LINGUISTICS  
EXPERTISE**



**FORENSIC  
MEDICAL  
EXPERTISE**

LIES REGARDING HER  
STATEMENTS AND  
MEDICAL REPORTS  
PROVIDED BY THE  
COMPLAINANT

THE MEDICAL EXPERTISE  
CONTRADICTS HER STATEMENTS  
REGARDING THE NATURE OF HER  
PHYSICAL CONDITION, THE  
FINDINGS OF DOCTORS, AND THE  
CARE SHE RECEIVED.

COMPUTER ANALYSES  
CONTRADICT THE  
CLAIMS OF "CHRISTELLE"  
(PEA).

CONTRARY TO HER STATEMENTS,  
HER BLOG ATTACKING TARIQ  
RAMADAN WAS CREATED BEFORE  
THEIR ONLY MEETING AND  
DEMONSTRATES PRE-EXISTING  
INTENT TO HARM HIM.



**FACIAL  
RECOGNITION  
EXPERTISE**



**COMPUTER  
FORENSIC  
EXPERTISE**



1

## Direct Testimonies from Complainants

- **Mounia Rabbouj** : accuses Elfassi of having manipulated her complaint
- **Henda Ayari** : filed a complaint against him
- **Marie-Josée Iglesias Magdalena** : states that he drafted and signed her complaint

2


## Case of Brigitte (Swiss Complainant)

- **Email provided** by Denise Wilcke (Swiss witness), in which "Brigitte" offered to **sell** her testimony to Elfassi

3

## Central Role

- Reveals a deliberate intention to mislead the judiciary
- Undermines the credibility of multiple accusations



4

## Hidden Cohabitation with Henda Ayari

- Social housing lease in the name of Henda Ayari and Jean-Claude Elfassi
- Never previously declared to the courts
- Suspicious silence from these two key figures in the case until 2022, after they had a quarrel

5

## Testimony of Marie-Josée Iglesias

- Confirms having slept in their shared apartment
- Denounces a premeditated strategy targeting Tariq Ramadan organised and coordinated by Elfassi

6

## History of Hostility

- Since 2007: Elfassi's hostile campaign against Ramadan on social media
- Since 2008: knew some of the complainants
- Maintained close ties with some of them



# **3. Projected Pictures**

## Posts - Messages Bakchich

→ « Le chat a été fructueux.  
T.R a du souci à se faire. Il  
a fait beaucoup de mal. »

« The chat was fruitful.  
T.R has cause for concern.  
He did a lot of harm. »

→ « A enfoiré, enfoiré et  
demi.. Une vraie torpille  
en prépa. »

« Bastard, bastard and a half..  
A real torpedo in prep. »

chich.info/breve1041.html?debut\_forums=60 Go NOV DEC 05 JAN 2007 2008 2009

**de Tariq Ramadan**  
le jeudi 16 octobre à 10:47, **MAX** a dit :

Et c'est ce sinistre individu que les musulmans( enfin une bonne partie) adulent... Putain !!  
Répondre poliment et sans gros mots

**Les féministes allemandes ne croient pas à la sincérité de Tariq Ramadan**  
le mardi 14 octobre à 14:11

→ Le chat a été très fructueux. T.R a du souci à se faire. Il a fait beaucoup de mal.  
Leila.  
Répondre poliment et sans gros mots

**Les féministes allemandes ne croient pas à la sincérité de Tariq Ramadan**  
le mardi 14 octobre à 19:22

Vous pourriez nous en parler ?  
Répondre poliment et sans gros mots

**Les féministes allemandes ne croient pas à la sincérité de Tariq Ramadan**  
le mercredi 15 octobre à 08:38

→ A enfoiré, enfoiré et demi.. Une vraie torpille en prépa.  
Répondre poliment et sans gros mots

**Les féministes allemandes ne croient pas à la sincérité de Tariq Ramadan**



## **Conclusion Dre Chaski – Suisse/Switzerland :**

**« Le document contesté est reconnaissable comme étant un document rédigé par (RAD) « Brigitte » et, statistiquement parlant, est caractéristique de son style d'écriture, la probabilité qu'elle en soit l'auteur variant entre 96,97 % et 100 %. »**

**« The questioned document is recognizable as a document authored by (RAD) “Brigitte” and, statistically speaking, is typical of her writing style, with the chance of her authorship ranging from 96.97% to 100%. »**

---

# Messages « Nathalie »

- Extraits du rapport de la Brigade criminelle :

Messages de « Nathalie » le 3 octobre 2009, 6 jours avant l'unique rencontre :

**« ... moi j'ai une meilleure leçon pour lui je te raconte même pas... tu en sauras bientôt plus...d'ici 3 semaines... on est en train de monter un plan ... si le plan se déroule bien tu le verras en première page de Voici, gala et autres magazines peuples avec photos à l'appui... moi je veux les caméra et les journalistes... »**

## Extracts from the Criminal Brigade reports:

Messages from "Nathalie" on October 3, 2009, six days before the only meeting:

**"... I have a better lesson for him, I won't even tell you about it... you'll find out more soon... within three weeks... we're putting a plan together... if the plan goes well, you'll see him on the front page of Voici, Gala, and other celebrity magazines, complete with photos... I want cameras and journalists..."**



# Conclusion Dre Chaski - France :

**« Les documents contestés sont reconnaissables comme des documents rédigés par PEA (« Christelle ») et, d'un point de vue statistique, sont caractéristiques de son style d'écriture, avec une probabilité de paternité allant de 97,17 % à 100 %. »**

**« The questioned documents are recognizable as documents authored by PEA (“Christelle”) and, statistically speaking, are typical of her writing style, with the chance of her authorship ranging from 97.17% to 100%. »**

---

# Expertise médicale/Medical report

« Aucune prise en charge médicale initiale immédiate à laquelle on aurait pu s'attendre compte tenu des éléments traumatiques décrits, n'apparaît dans le dossier, ni examen somatique et/ou gynécologique, ni demande d'examens complémentaires ou de consultations spécialisées à effectuer à distance des faits. »

« No immediate initial medical care, which could have been expected given the described traumatic elements, appears in the file—no physical and/or gynecological examination, nor any request for additional tests or specialized consultations to be carried out after the events. »



# Expertise informatique / Computer forensics

**« Sur le plan technique, les éléments disponibles indiquent que le blog (*monintimeconviction*) était actif avant le 9 octobre 2009 »**

**« From a technical standpoint, the available elements indicate that the blog (*monintimeconviction*) was active before October 9, 2009. »**

---

# Expertise photo / Image forensic

**« L'individu présent sur les photographies prises lors de la conférence de Tariq Ramadan correspond, avec une certitude de 100 %, à la plaignante PEA « Christelle ». »**

**« The individual appearing in the photographs taken during Tariq Ramadan's conference corresponds, with 100% certainty, to the complainant PEA 'Christelle' »**



# Messages from Henda Ayari to Tariq Ramadan mentioning the idea of a trap

## Message 1

**June 5, 2013: one year after the alleged rape**

"Human relationships are sometimes so complicated. Even I don't understand my own abusive reactions at times. I was too impulsive and didn't think before speaking. I think it came from my suffering, and it was a way to let it out. I'm sorry it fell on you. And a certain person really turned me against you and told me very serious things about you. I believed her and I regretted it because later I realised that she was crazy and hysterical. I think she lied to me about many things about you."

# Message from Henda Ayari to Tariq Ramadan

## **2 years** after the alleged rape : she is again mentioning the idea of a trap

### Message 2

June 26, 2014 (while she claimed to have had no contact after the alleged rape)

*"Know that he (Alain Soral) wanted to ambush you with Salim, his little dog, who supposedly has been preparing for several years a big file on you about your private relationships with women, which he is ready to release publicly to smear you at the right moment. Jealousy, what can you do..."*