*15



Cryptography

1. Goals and Resources of an attacker

Contact:kevin.carrier@cyu.fr

Plan

- 1. Fundamental principle of modern cryptography
- 2. Why use cryptography?
- 3. The attacker model
- 4. Side Channel Attack
- 5. The Dolev-Yao model
- 6. What can compute an attacker?
- 7. Quantum computing: a new paradigm

Fundamental principle of modern cryptography

Fundamental principle of modern cryptography



"A cryptosystem should be secure even if everything about the system, except the secret key, is public knowledge."

[August Kerckhoffs (1883)]

The three items to keep in mind:

- 1. **Computational or Semantical security:** The system must be practically, if not mathematically, indecipherable;
- 2. **Transparency:** It should not require secrecy, and it should not be a problem if it falls into enemy hands;
- 3. **Portability :** It must be possible to communicate and remember the key without using written notes, and correspondents must be able to change or modify it at will;

 Confidentiality: Protection against disclosure of unauthorized information. Only authorized persons have access to the content of the message.

 Confidentiality: Protection against disclosure of unauthorized information. Only authorized persons have access to the content of the message.

Forward secrecy



For instance, in France, the confidentiality of a classified document must be guarantee for 50 years.

Integrity: The message cannot be changed without noticing.

Authentication: It is a process allowing an entity to be sure of the identity of a second entity based on corroborating evidence.

Authentication: It is a process allowing an entity to be sure of the identity of a second entity based on corroborating evidence.

Strong Authentication



The authentication must lean on at least 2 secret elements that only the entity to authenticate has. This can be something:

- he knows (a password);
- he holds (a smartcard);
- he is (biometrics).

Non-repudiation:

- non-repudiation of origin: The sender cannot deny having written the message and he can prove that he did not do so if this is indeed the case.
- non-repudiation of receipt: The receiver cannot deny having received the message and he can prove that he did not reuse it if this is indeed the case.
- non-repudiation of transmission: The sender cannot deny having sent the message and can prove that he did not do so if this is indeed the case.

In cryptography, we define several models of attackers. Here are the most used:

- Ciphertext-Only Attack (COA): The attacker has only one or more ciphertexts that he wishes to decrypt.
- Known Plaintext Attack (KPA): The attacker not only has access to several ciphertexts but also to the corresponding plaintexts.
- Chosen Plaintext Attack (CPA): The attacker owns an encryption machine. So the attacker can encrypt all the messages he wants.
- Chosen Ciphertext Attack (CCA): The attacker owns a decryption machine. So the attacker can choose any ciphertext then decipher it to get its associated plaintext.



What can be the goal of an attacker?

- ► Find the secret/decryption key.
- More modestly, decrypt a particular ciphertext without necessarily discovering the key.

An additional requirement: indistinguishability

- ► IND-CPA, IND-CCA
- Given two ciphertexts and one plaintext, an attacker should not be able to know which ciphertext is associated with the plaintext.

What does he have access to?

- black-box cryptography: Computations are performed remotely (the attacker does not have access to the encryption or decryption machine).
- white-box cryptography: The attacker knows each step of the execution of the encryption/decryption algorithm (reverse engineering, debugger)
- grey-box cryptography: Side channel attack. The attacker have access to some partial information that leaks during the execution of the encryption/decryption algorithm.

Side Channel Attack

Side Channel Attack

Initiated by Paul Kocker in the 90s.

- Time attack: The attacker can measure the running time of the encryption/decryption algorithm.
- Power attack: The attacker can measure the power consumption during the execution of the algorithm.
- Electromagnetic attack: The attacker can measure the electromagnetic radiation during the execution of the algorithm.
- Micro-Ultrasound attack: The attacker can measure the Micro-Ultrasound during the execution of the algorithm.

Side Channel Attack



Time Attack: Exercise

Let suppose a SmartCard used to authenticate. The user sent a PIN to the SmartCard which returns ACCEPT if the PIN is the same as the one saved in the card and REJECT otherwise. Here is the C implementation of the authentication protocol:

```
SmartCard.c
#include <string.h>
char *PIN =
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    if (argc < 2) {
        printf("Usage: %s <text_to_display>\n", argv[0]);
    char *PIN tmp = argv[1]:
    if (strlen(PIN tmp) == strlen(PIN)){
        for (int i = 0; i < strlen(PIN); ++i){
            if (PIN_tmp[i] != PIN[i]){
                printf("REJECT\n"):
            3
        printf("ACCEPT\n");
    } else {
        printf("REJECT\n");
```

Assume we can simulate the use of the card by executing the SmartCard.exe binary. However, a device allows us to measure the execution time of the algorithm.

Propose an attack to find the size of the PIN then the PIN itself. Then propose a countermeasure.

The Dolev-Yao model

The Dolev-Yao model

An attacker model often consider in Network security is the Dolev-Yao model. Here it is supposed the attacker:

- can get all the messages circulating in the network ;
- can initiate a conversation with any member of the network ;
- can send a message to any member of the network pretending to be any member of the network;
- cannot guess a integer which has been chosen uniformly at random ;
- cannot guess a private key associated to a public key.

What can compute an attacker?

What can compute an attacker?

Two notions of "security":

 The designer wants to achieve unconditional security: He can proof his cryptosystem is secure without prejudging the computing power of the attacker that can even be infinite ! → In particular, if a pair (plaintext,ciphertext) gives no information about the key, then we say the cryptosystem is perfectly secure. We will see later that is a notion that is difficult to achieve in practice.

What can compute an attacker?

Two notions of "security":

- The designer wants to achieve unconditional security: He can proof his cryptosystem is secure without prejudging the computing power of the attacker that can even be infinite ! → In particular, if a pair (plaintext,ciphertext) gives no information about the key, then we say the cryptosystem is perfectly secure. We will see later that is a notion that is difficult to achieve in practice.
- The computational security is based on the impossibility to decrypt a message or recover the secret key in a reasonable time, considering the computing power of a potential attacker. This notion of security depend on the state-of-the-art at a given moment.
 → To be sure to be computationally secure, we need proof of security or security reduction. It consists in reducing the fact of "breaking a cryptosystem" to "solving a hard mathematical problem"

Definition (Decision Problem)

A **decision problem** is a type of computational problem where the answer is either **yes** or **no** for a (binary) input of size *n*.

A decision problem has time complexity f(n) if the number of state transitions (steps) required by a deterministic Turing machine on an input of (binary) size n to output the answer **yes** or **no**.

- Linear: f(n) = an + b = O(n)
- ► Polynomial: $f(n) = a_0 + a_1n + a_2n^2 + \cdots + a_dn^d = O(n^d)$
- **Exponential:** $f(n) = O(2^{\alpha n})$



Definition (Class of P problems)

A decision problem is in the complexity class P if its time complexity is polynomial.

Definition (Non-Deterministic Turing Machine)

A variant of deterministic Turing machine is **non-deterministic Turing machine**. For every input at a state, there can be multiple paths/actions performed by the Turing machine. So, the transitions are not deterministic.

Definition (Class of NP problems)

A decision problem is in the complexity class NP if the number of state transitions required by a **non-deterministic Turing machine** on an input of size *n* to output the answer **yes** or **no** is **polynomial**.

Essentially, a decision problem for which we can "verify" that a solution is right in a polynomial time is in the NP class.



We can consider **space** instead of **time** to define some other classes of problems: PSPACE, NPSPACE...



 \rightarrow One million dollar: P = NP?

 \rightarrow **One million dollar:** P = NP**?** Probably not...

 \rightarrow **One million dollar:** *P* = *NP***?** Probably not...

The NP-complete problems is a sub-class of NP. A problem is NP-complete if all the NP problems are at least as hard as it. In other words, a problem A is NP-complete if for any NP problem B, there is a polynomial reduction of B to A. \Rightarrow They are the hardest problems of the NP class.

 \rightarrow **One million dollar:** *P* = *NP***?** Probably not...

The NP-complete problems is a sub-class of NP. A problem is NP-complete if all the NP problems are at least as hard as it. In other words, a problem A is NP-complete if for any NP problem B, there is a polynomial reduction of B to A. \Rightarrow They are the hardest problems of the NP class.

 \Rightarrow For proving P = NP, one only has to choose one NP-complete problem and prove it is P.

 \rightarrow **One million dollar:** *P* = *NP***?** Probably not...

The NP-complete problems is a sub-class of NP. A problem is NP-complete if all the NP problems are at least as hard as it. In other words, a problem A is NP-complete if for any NP problem B, there is a polynomial reduction of B to A. \Rightarrow They are the hardest problems of the NP class.

 \Rightarrow For proving P = NP, one only has to choose one NP-complete problem and prove it is P.

In conclusion, a NP-complete problem is believed to be hard and so we want to reduce our cryptosystems to such problems.

Boolean satisfiability problem (SAT) is NP-complete

Determine if there exists an interpretation (Boolean inputs) that satisfies a given Boolean formula.

3-SAT is NP-complete

SAT with Boolean formula in conjonctive normal form.

Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) is NP-complete

Given a length *L*, the task is to decide whether a graph has a tour whose length is at most *L*.

The decoding problem is NP-complete [McEliece, 78]

Decide if there is a solution to a linear system with an Hamming weight constraint on this solution.
What is a hard problem ?



Contrary to popular belief, the factorization problem and the discreet logarithm problem (which are the main mathematical problems on which is based the modern cryptography) are NP-hard but not NP-complete!

Computational security

Definition (bits of security)

When we say a cryptosystem has x bits of security, that means an attacker needs $O(2^x)$ elementary operations to break it.

- $O(2^{30})$: reasonable limit of what a powerful computer can do.
- \blacktriangleright \geq 2⁸⁰: we consider it is secure.
- $ightarrow 2^{128}$ or $\ge 2^{256}$: what standardization organisms ask.

Computational security

Definition (bits of security)

When we say a cryptosystem has x bits of security, that means an attacker needs $O(2^x)$ elementary operations to break it.

- $O(2^{30})$: reasonable limit of what a powerful computer can do.
- \blacktriangleright \geq 2⁸⁰: we consider it is secure.
- $ightarrow 2^{128}$ or $ightarrow 2^{256}$: what standardization organisms ask.

Theorem (bits of security and key size)

If the key we want to recover is encoded with n bits, then the cryptosystem has **at most** n bits of security.

Proof. Brute force attack

Computational security

Definition (bits of security)

When we say a cryptosystem has x bits of security, that means an attacker needs $O(2^x)$ elementary operations to break it.

- $O(2^{30})$: reasonable limit of what a powerful computer can do.
- \blacktriangleright \geq 2⁸⁰: we consider it is secure.
- $ightarrow \geq 2^{128}$ or $\geq 2^{256}$: what standardization organisms ask.

Theorem (bits of security and key size)

If the key we want to recover is encoded with n bits, then the cryptosystem has **at most** n bits of security.

Proof. Brute force attack



The quantum attacker model



An attacker which has access to a quantum computer defined a new attacker model.

Quantum computers immerse us in a new world where:

- The NP-completeness no longer makes sense
- The factorization and the discreet logarithm problem can be solved in a polynomial time with the Shor algorithm (1994)
- Searching a particular element in an unstructured set of 2^N elements has often a cost of order ≤ 2^{N/2} operations thanks to the Grover algorithm (1996)

Quantum computing: a new paradigm How does a classical computer work?



For instance:

1: electric current passes

0 : electric current does not passe

It forms a Turing-complete machine. Example of an algorithm performing an addition in base 2:



26

And with the quantum superposition?



Quantum computing: a new paradigm Bit vs Qubit (Bloch sphere)

A bit is **1 or 0** :



A qubit is both 1 and 0...



It is only when we measure that we can determine if the qubit is:

- in the north hemisphere: we then consider the value is 1
- in the south hemisphere: we then consider the value is 0

We consider new quantum gates:

Pauli-X :	$\alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle$	$\beta 0\rangle + \alpha 1\rangle$
Pauli-Y :	$\alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle - \gamma$	$i\beta 0 angle-ilpha 1 angle$
Pauli-Z :	$\alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle$	$\alpha 0\rangle - \beta 1\rangle$
Hadamard :	$\alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle$	$\alpha \frac{ 0\rangle + 1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + \beta \frac{ 0\rangle - 1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
CNOT :	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle \\ \gamma 0\rangle + \delta 1\rangle \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle \\ \delta 0\rangle + \gamma 1\rangle \end{array} $
CZ :	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle \\ \gamma 0\rangle + \delta 1\rangle \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle \\ \gamma 0\rangle - \delta 1\rangle \end{array} $
SWAP :	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha 0\rangle + \beta 1\rangle \\ \gamma 0\rangle + \delta 1\rangle \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \alpha 0\rangle + \gamma 1\rangle \\ \beta 0\rangle + \delta 1\rangle \end{array} $

... and so the algorithmic is different.

Let a function that takes a binary string of size *n* as input:

- ▶ a classical computer can test the 2^{*n*} inputs one after another;
- a quantum computer can test the 2ⁿ inputs in the same time thanks to the principles of state superposition and quantum entanglement.

Let a function that takes a binary string of size *n* as input:

- ▶ a classical computer can test the 2^{*n*} inputs one after another;
- a quantum computer can test the 2ⁿ inputs in the same time thanks to the principles of state superposition and quantum entanglement.

Attention



The outputs are also in a state of quantum superposition. When we *measure* the output, we get one output among all the possible outputs without knowing what is the corresponding input...













































About solving the labyrinth problem with a quantum computer:

- 1. If there is several exits, then the measure only allows to get one of them drawn randomly from all the possible exits.
- 2. The quantum computer find one exit of the labyrinth without holding back the path.

Some famous quantum algorithms:

- 1. Grover 1996 : find a particular element in an unstructured set of 2^N elements with $O\left(\sqrt{2^N}\right) = O\left(2^{N/2}\right)$ operations
- 2. Shor 1994 : factorize an integer *N* with only $O(\log(N)^3)$ operations. The Shor algorithm can also be used to solve the Discrete Logarithm problem over \mathbb{Z}_n or on an Elliptic Curve.

Quantum computing: a new paradigm The problem of decoherence

The larger the number of qubits, the more difficult it is to maintain them in a state of entanglement and quantum superposition for long enough.

- protect the computing environment: cool to absolute zero, ...
- use quantum error correcting codes: the surface codes require a large number of physical qubits per logical qubit.

Quantum computing: a new paradigm What technical solutions?

- The superconducting qubit
- The silicon qubit
- The trapped ion qubit
- The photonic qubit

Where we are?



Where we are?



logial qubits / physical qubits



In the figure above, we count **physical qubits**. It is necessary to combine many physical (error-prone) qubits to obtain a logical (error-free) qubit. We are talking about quantum corrector codes.
Quantum computing: a new paradigm

Where we are?



Numbers reflect how many experts (out of 44) assigned a certain probability range.

Forward secrecy



Even if the number of logical qubits is insufficient today to break RSA, we must protect ourselves now to guarantee the **forward secrecy**.

Quantum computing: a new paradigm

The ANSSI recommendations



Quantum transition plan of the ANSSI presented at PQCrypto 2021.

Quantum computing: a new paradigm

The NIST competitions

National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce

https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/post-quantum-cryptography