



# The Communicator

*Unleashing the Power of Communication*

---

## **The Communicator**

**Published Jointly by Sister Nivedita University,  
Wake Forest University & The Communicators**

**DG Block, Action Area I, 1/2, (Newtown)  
Chakpachuria, West Bengal - 700156**

---

### **PUBLICATION POLICY**

“The Communicator: Unleashing the Power of Communication ”, being jointly published by Sister Nivedita University, Wake Forest University & The Communicators, New Town Kolkata - 700156, India, and supported by Wake Forest University in Winston-Salem, USA., since 2022, is now being published biannually (March, June, September & December) from 2022. It aims to publish Review Articles, Research Articles and the contributions comprise all the branches of Social Science, Engineering, Technology, Law, Management, Health Science, Science etc. The Journal is an open-access journal, making your work freely available online immediately upon publication. Our high-level peer review and production processes guarantee the quality and reliability of the published work in the Journal. Contributions of an interdisciplinary nature are highly appreciated for soliciting a wide spectrum of readership of the journal.

The Editorial Board of the Journal accepts manuscripts that have a direct reflection of the author’s research work and achievements. Looking into the sensitivity and importance of the academic content, the journal follows the prescribed ethics policy for all stakeholders (authors, reviewers, editors, others) involved in the process of publishing the journal. This policy is based on internationally accepted best practices for research journals.



## **Responsibilities of Authors**

An author(s) is/are expected to be responsible for the presented data and information in their respective article, along with the responsibility for the significance. The authors are expected to present the original outcome of their research, and an appropriate and relevant citation should be considered while representing the data and documenting the discussion. Authors must provide information that is understandable and reproducible. Supporting information, such as figures and tables provided by the authors, should be legible and must be reproducible technically.

An author should not repeat any previous research data from themselves or anyone else while submitting an original manuscript for a primary publication in the journal. The reported scope of work should be

based on proper citations from other publications. Before submitting any article, authors should check the scope of the journal, and in case of any queries, they should contact the Editorial Office.

The Author(s) should ethically respect the copyrights, patents, acknowledgements, references, disclosures, and conflicts of interest as and wherever applicable in whatsoever manner.

The author(s) should properly cite and acknowledge the work of others as well as their own related work that they have already published and used as a reference in the currently submitted manuscript. It is the responsibility of the authors, not the editors or reviewers, or any other board member of the journal, to ensure that relevant prior research outcomes and discoveries are appropriately acknowledged with the original citations in manuscripts submitted for publication. Authors should strictly adhere to the authorship criteria. All listed authors must have made a significant contribution to the research presented in the manuscript and approved all its claims. Any person to be considered as an author of an original research article must have contributed in any of the following ways: designed the study, executed the study, conducted the experiments, took part in analyzing the data, supported in documenting the article, and drew the



conclusion. It is mandatory to include everyone who made a significant contribution to the completion of the research work.

## **Responsibilities of Reviewers**

**Confidentiality:** Reviewers should not share any information from an assigned manuscript with outsiders or preserve the data from an assigned manuscript.

**Competence:** Reviewer with fair expertise should complete the review. An assigned reviewer with inadequate expertise should feel responsible and may decline the review if it is presumed that the reviewer will be an expert in the respective field.

**Constructive assessment:** Reviewer's comments should appreciate positive aspects of the work, identify negative aspects constructively, and indicate the enhancement needed. A reviewer should explain and support his or her judgment clearly enough so that Editors and Authors can understand the basis of the comments. The reviewer should ensure that an observation or argument that has been previously reported is accompanied by a relevant citation. Further, he/ she should immediately alert the Editor when he or she becomes aware of duplicate publication. A reviewer should not use any kind of abusive language while commenting on an article. Judgment of each article should be made without any bias and personal interest by the assigned reviewer.

**Impartiality and Integrity:** Reviewer's decision should solely depend on scientific merit, relevance to the subject, scope of the journal rather than financial, racial, ethnic origin etc., of the authors.

No person should make or retain copies of the content and all contents must be returned to Editor-in-Chief / Editor after taking required actions on it.

The reviewers should submit their comments on the manuscripts and its contents and not on the knowledge of proficiency of the author(s). The reviewer should also extend their vision towards the contribution of the content towards the society and the objectives of the journal and provide the comments accordingly.



**Disclosure of conflict of interest:** The authors should declare that there is no conflict of interest.

**Timeliness and responsiveness:** Reviewers should morally abide by providing the review comments within the stipulated time and be active enough in responding to the queries raised by the editor, if any. Responsibilities of Editors and Editorial Board Editors have a responsibility to maintain the integrity of the published literature, if required, by adding modifications or corrections identifying anything of significance, retractions, and expressions of concern as quickly as possible. Editor must comply with the policy guidelines provided by the publisher and fulfill the responsibilities bestowed upon with integrity.

No person should make or retain copies of the content and all content must be returned to the Editor-in-Chief / Editor after taking required actions on it.

The Editor-in-Chief/Editor should consider the reviewer's comments as one of the basis of its decision about inclusion or rejection of the manuscripts in any volume of the journal though, there can be many other factors contributing to this decision e.g. criticality of the topic, suitability to current theme of journal etc. that may have not been commented upon by the reviewers. Based on all factors, the Editor-in-Chief/Editor would decide on the inclusion of manuscripts in the journal.

Blind review means confidentiality of reviewers' identities from authors and authors' identities from reviewers. Editors, reviewers, and all involved parties should maintain this two-way confidentiality of the Blind Review Process.

The editors should make proper checks to avoid conflicts of interest between authors and reviewers.

The Editor-in-Chief/Editor may skip or add some step(s) in the processing of the manuscript, if found utmost needed to avoid any conflicts or forecasted crisis. The Editor-in-Chief/Editor, however, would be the final decision-making authority for such



# The Communicator

*Unleashing the Power of Communication*

---

The Editor-in-Chief/Editor may skip or add some step(s) in the processing of the manuscript, if found utmost needed to avoid any conflicts or forecasted crisis. The Editor-in-Chief/Editor, however, would be the final decision-making authority for such steps.

## **Review process**

Editors are responsible for monitoring and ensuring the fairness, timeliness, thoroughness, and civility of the peer-review editorial process.

Timely suggestions to the respective journals for covering relevant and significant topics by the Editor are essential for the growth of the journal.

## **Right of the publisher to transfer the publication right**

The publisher may transfer the right of publication in whole or in part to third parties, or may grant licensees to third parties to use the rights to which it is entitled.

## **Publication Board**

The publication Board will monitor the publication process lawfully.

## **Towards Readers and the Academic Community**

- To ensure that the content or the author information present in the manuscript is legible.
- To evaluate all manuscripts such that they fall within the scope of the journal.
- To maintain the journal's internal integrity by suggesting corrections, dealing with retraction, supplemental data etc.
- To ensure that all involved in the publication process understand that it is inappropriate to manipulate citations.



# The Communicator

*Unleashing the Power of Communication*

---

## **Disclaimer:**

- **In any case, the journal, or its management, would not be liable to pay any refund or monetary or any form of penalties to anyone because of the unethical behaviour of its members or authors.**
- **All the academic content published in the Journal is under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0.**
- **This Journal, does not take any responsibility for violation of copyright, patent, or any other laws or rules by authors in their content or due to any ignorance in the review process.**
- **We disclaim the responsibility of correctness or completeness of the content in any respect, and the author(s) is (are) considered to take complete responsibility of the submitted article/ paper.**

-----End of Statement-----