Notes for the Ones Called-Out to Meet

Info: (651) 283-0568 Discipleship Training Ministries, Inc. www.dtmwebsite.org Today's Date: August 3, 2025

Why the Name "Ekklēsia"?

Introduction to **Synagogue** and **Ekklēsia**

We are so entrenched within our cultural traditions about "how to do church", or "how to know and serve God", that it is difficult for us to see where our ideas and expectations come from. What practices and concepts have solid Biblical precedent? What do we do or believe about "spirituality" that really has its roots in church history, not Scripture? I fear we have unknowingly come to accept such beliefs and practices as normative, and virtually unquestionable. They have come to be almost non-negotiables, as though these ideas and practices were the very measure of "orthodoxy", or "canonicity". Any deviations are quickly labeled as "heresy", or at least regarded with alarm and suspicion. In some cases, it could be argued that we "nullify the commandments of God for the sake of our traditions", "teaching as doctrines the precepts of men" (Matt. 15:1-9). Could it be that some of what we commonly do in our customary way of meeting together actually is in conflict with what God's word tells us should be taking place? Does our approach, and the belief-system underlying it, actually frustrate and hinder the very things that the Scriptures tell us is supposed to happen when we meet?

The Lord Jesus lived at a time such as this. Occasionally, He was confrontational about some of the unhealthy dynamics of what was being done in God's name. It was obvious, however, that He was not going to be able to reform the synagogue system. That would have been fighting a fight with virtually no chance of winning. The fact that the system was so entrenched, was scattered around the world, and was protected by a "good-old-boy" network of religious traditionalists made such an objective virtually unobtainable. A reform movement capable of revitalizing such an established, fortress-minded institution would, in the best-case, be an uphill battle, and would require years of effort. Instead of attempting such a crusade, or encouraging His disciples to do so, He did the unexpected. He initiated an entirely new movement! Instead of trying to rehash, rework, and reform the old, He inaugurated an entirely new wineskin for the new wine He was going to introduce. He did not just turn His back on Judaism, however, ... nor did He throw away the past strengths and valuable spiritual lessons that had been part of Israel's pilgrimage. He unwrapped an Old Testament "word-picture package" and uncovered a gem that had been ignored and neglected for generations. He brought out into the sunlight a word picture that had history and prophetic destiny. Jesus presented things in a way that allowed His disciples to continue to legitimately identify with the OT people of faith, to value and preserve their life-lessons, and yet not be compelled to continue the stuffiness and limitations of the religious traditions that had crept in over time. His choice of this OT image, and even of the particular word He used to describe it, has deep and far reaching ramifications for how we are to understand the Church.

In order to get to the place where we can begin to appreciate the significance of these things, we must lay down a little background information as a foundation to build upon.

The Synagogue

The synagogue, that has carried down to modern times, first originated during the Babylonian exile, after the Jerusalem Temple was destroyed. It is interesting to note that there is no Biblical direction or mandate from God to start such meetings. No one really knows how they began, but they arose as an attempt by the Jewish exiles to keep themselves together, and to pass along the teachings and faith of their people. The name, "synagogue", is derived from one of two words used in the Septuagint Greek OT to translate the Hebrew words for the "congregation" of Israel. Originally, it was a general Greek term used for any kind of gathering, sacred or secular. By the time of the NT, however, the usage of the Greek word, sunagōgē had become so identified with these Jewish religious gatherings, and the buildings they met in, that it was only rarely used in any other context anymore.

Synagogue meetings were primarily founded to preserve the national identity of Israel. It was a neighborhood meeting place where the Scriptures were recited and read, where prayers were given, songs were sung, and a sermon was preached. It became a weekly tradition to go to synagogue on Sabbath days. In addition, synagogue schools were developed where Israelite children were taught to read and were instructed in the Law during the week. Jesus and the apostles would have attended such a school. Moreover, we know from Lk. 4:16 that it was

Jesus' custom to attend synagogue on Sabbath days. All in all, the **synagogue practices were very similar to those that have developed in Western church history**, and continue to be employed in most typical church meetings across our land on any given Sunday. Virtually all of the activity was led by a few select people in the front of the meeting. There was little or no opportunity for people in the congregation to share their own thoughts. If there was congregational participation, it was in the form of a preset recitation of a prayer, chant or scriptural verse, usually in response to the leadership. **The meeting was set, formalistic and preplanned.**

Jesus and the Ekklēsia

It is interesting, however, that while Jesus came to fulfill the OT promises, He did not see His ministry as simply building upon the synagogue model of meeting together, or the other religious institutions of His day. He did not just teach in the synagogue on Sabbath days. He taught in a multitude of places and at various times. He spoke to groups of all sizes. He especially determined to spend in-depth time living with, and instructing, His twelve disciples. These men would have been steeped in the religious traditionalism of Judaism. They were not expecting any special changes regarding how things should be done. Yet, when Peter confessed that Jesus was the Messiah (Matt. 16:16), Jesus introduced the beginning of a new movement. He used a different Greek word, ekklēsia, for the people He was going to build.

Ekklēsia was the other main word that had been used in the Septuagint translation to render the Hebrew words for "congregation" into Greek. It still retained its generic meaning for a "gathering" or "assembly". The word had not become tainted with religious connotations. In fact, in the common Greek of the day, it was often used for a political caucus. It was the perfect word to use to start a new movement because the term had no preconceived, set patterns for how it was to look, or what they were to do when they gathered. By choosing to call His followers the ekklēsia (meaning "assembly" or "called out [ones]") instead of the synagogue, Jesus did two things:

- (1.) He succeeded in *identifying* His people with the OT people of God, establishing continuity with the OT prophecies and promises; and,
 - (2.) He also differentiated what His people were to be and do from the religious system of His day.

By this word choice, He freed His people from any necessary suppositions that they must follow the expectations or methods established by the synagogue system. In other words, He liberated them to be different, ...to be creative and distinct in how they thought of themselves and what they were all about. They were not to be just "more of the same".

The <u>ekklēsia</u> would be the people of Jesus, those assembled for a purpose, those "called out" *from* everyday life, "called out" *to* meet together to accomplish some end.

Whatever it was to become, the <u>ekklēsia</u> was *not* to be a NT synagogue, though there is significant evidence that oftentimes Jewish converts attempted to merely carry over the dynamics of their religious experiences in Judaism into their new faith. In Jesus, the *entire direction* was to change, however. Instead of having an isolationist, protectionist mentality, where the goal was primarily to pass down the beliefs and practices from one generation to another, Christianity was to be an aggressive "reaching out" of love and self-giving.

Instead of being pre-programmed by a select few, and formalistic, the ekklēsia was a spontaneous, congregation-led gathering of active participants. I Corinthians 14:26 describes how everyone was expected to come prepared to share something to edify the others. Every member came to minister to others, according to their gifting and the leading of the Spirit. The focus of the meeting was very much directed to encourage, instruct and strengthen one another. In fact, while He was very much the Source for what they said and did, the purpose for meeting was not primarily to worship God. It was to strengthen one another. Questions were welcome. Sharing struggles was encouraged. There was teaching, sharing and prayer, but it was more personal, practical and supportive in nature, sparked by the immediate needs and observations of those in attendance. It was a time to share vision, strategize and encourage others to be faithful to serve Christ as God opened up opportunities to share the love and message of Jesus with others.

The focus of the synagogue was to "keep the world at bay".

The focus of the ekklesia was to ready God's people to go "take the world" for Christ!