

Folder name (133 docs in total)	Name of individual examining	Document title	Has this been looked at? (Y/N)	Any substantial claim within the document? (Y/N)	Further comments
EA	[Redacted]	4.82 T-SEC-Rev00-Complaint-form6thSeptember 2021	Y	N	This form contains basic details of who has lodged the complaint with EA and the date the complaint was submitted
		All articles (published by CC on his platform and email communications between himself, NAPIT and UKAS)	Y	N	This is a 269 page document which contains details and articles of the EA complaint. I think it would be worth a second pair of eyes on this long document as many of the details are technical. As far as I am aware it mainly covers a number of assertions made by the individual with limited evidence. Page 109 onwards sets out a timeline of the various actions and complaints undertaken to date.
		Doc 1	Y	N	[Redacted] confirm that this is a collection of CC's articles throughout his case with NAPIT and UKAS, his analysis of his perceived issues using ChatGPT and other online platforms. His original letter to the DBT SoS is included in these documents.
		Doc 2	Y	N	Description of EA complaint
		Doc 3	Y	N	Email chain between [Redacted] and CC regarding the completion of the UKAS investigation into the complaint about NAPIT. UKAS found no evidence of NAPIT breaching accreditation requirements.
		EA.pdf	Y	N	[Redacted]
		RE_UKAS A000740850 Complaint	Y	N	Email chain with EA confirming receipt of CC's complaint against UKAS.
		UKAS A000740850 Complaint	Y	N	Further email of 23-08-1014 between CC and EA as he questions EA's conclusions and EA previously stating that that any new/additional material to be submitted by CC and not seen by UKAS would not be acceptable evidence for the complaint.
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Y	N	An additional email to EA from CC seeking confirmation on some points regarding conflict of interest, impartiality etc. The content of the email does not seem to have sufficient evidence behind the claims but worth a second pair of eyes for clarity as CC asserts that UKAS are in breach of reg 765. [Redacted] comment: CC is wanting to know details of documents supplied to EA from UKAS in an attempt to show there may be conflict between the UKAS member who reviewed his case and their role in the EA.
		IMG.6190.PNG	Y	N	[Redacted]
		IMG.7340.PNG	Y	N	Screen shot of Search Appearances
		IMG.7341.PNG	Y	N	Online communication between CC and UKAS support
		IMG_8111.jpg	Y	N	Online communication between CC and UKAS support
		IMG_8120.jpg	Y	N	Result of EA investigation against CC's complaint on UKAS. EA concluded that UKAS process was thorough and objective, ensuring compliance of accreditation standards.
		[Redacted]	Y	N	Search result on job titles of people of interest
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		IMG_8642.jpg	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	Photo of You're Blocked
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		My Review of The Conflicts Internal Review UKAS EA.pdf	Y	N	[Redacted]
		RE_Formal Complaint_A000740850.pdf	Y	N	1st UKAS review of case, CC's view of the case; result of 2nd UKAS review; NAPIT scheme rules
		[Redacted]	Y	N	Email correspondence between CC and UKAS on CC's dissatisfaction at UKAS review of NAPIT
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		IMG_7312.PNG	Y	N	[Redacted]
		IMG_7371.PNG	Y	N	Screen shot of CC's online dialogue with Electrical Safety First
		IMG_7538.PNG	Y	N	Screen shot of Who's viewed your profile (CC's) at ESF
		IMG_8066.PNG	Y	N	Screen shot of Who's viewed your profile (CC's) at ESF
		IMG_8067.PNG	Y	N	Screen shot of CC's online dialogue with Electrical Safety First duplicate to 7312
		[Redacted]	Y	N	CC's post on ESF platform
		IMG_8273.PNG	Y	N	[Redacted]
		IMG_8274.PNG	Y	N	Screenshot of CC's post on his issues re impartiality etc on ESF platform
		IMG_8276.PNG	Y	N	Screenshot of CC's dialogue on BS7671 on ESF platform
		IMG_8279.jpg	Y	N	Screenshot of CC's post on ESF platform
		IMG_8282.jpg	Y	N	Screenshot of CC's start of dialogue on his issues re impartiality etc on ESF platform
		[Redacted]	Y	N	Part duplicate - Screenshot of CC's dialogue on BS7671 on ESF platform
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	Screen shot - Your profile view highlights
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		IMG_8360.jpg	Y	N	Screen shot of CC asking why ESF are stalking him
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted] - Urgent Request for Investigation and Response Regarding NAPIT and UKAS Practices.pdf	Y	N	Screen shot of CC asking why ESF are stalking him
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
Reports [Redacted]	[Redacted]	1- Accreditation Reference 6691) LinkedIn.pdf	Y	N	pdf of email from CC to [Redacted]. regarding his issues with NAPIT and UKAS
		2- The 4 Independent reviews by NAPIT	Y	N	CC's article The Silence Of Electrical Safety Second (18th July) on an online platform regarding NAPIT's practices, roles of ESF and [Redacted] involvement in ESF and UKAS.
		[Redacted]	Y	N	CC's article on LinkedIn on 'Discrepancy in UKAS Accreditation of NAPIT'
		4- Accreditation Discrepancy Ref.pdf	Y	N	CC's summary and views on 08/09/2024 (and published on a platform) of his review by NAPIT
		5- Conflicts, Communication Failures and Governance Breaches	Y	N	CC's article on 07/09/2024 on [Redacted] potential influence through his roles as both a supporter of ESF and Chair of UKAS.
		6- ea-1-17-s3-a.pdf	Y	N	Copy of CC's email to NAPIT on his concerns regarding potential discrepancies in their certifications related to BS 7671 compliance
		7- Exceptional Case Funding.pdf	Y	N	CC's Report of 08-09-2024 on UKAS-NAPIT, UKAS-EA Conflicts, Communication, Failures, and Governance Breaches - published on his online platform.
		8- Key Issues - In-depth Draft.pdf	Y	N	Supplement 3 to EA-1/17: Rules of Procedure. Procedure for the investigation and resolution of Complaints and Appeals
		9- Legal Threats, Social Media Blocking and Attempts to resolve.pdf	Y	N	Exceptional Case Funding (Draft) on UKAS   NAPIT   EA. Created on 2024-09-07 Published on 2024-09-07 on CC's platform. (Key issues are Conflicts of Interest, Non-Compliance with Safety Standards, False Declarations and Regulatory Failures, Inconsistent Payment Terms and Misrepresentation

		10-My Review of The Conflicts Internal Review UKAS EA.pdf	Y	N	UKAS's review of CC's case against NAPIT (N24-04). Background and Overview of Case 5096-23 and their letter to CC with their conclusion.
		11- N24-04 QCM [Redacted] my Review	Y	N	UKAS's review of CC's case against NAPIT (N24-04). Background and Overview of Case 5096-23 and their letter to CC with their conclusion. CC's response, redacted document and letter from UKAS on review of the case (names redacted), NAPIT scheme rules x2.
		12- Napit Capacity (is) Limited .pdf	Y	N	Article published 05-09-2024 by CC on @Compliance platform of NAPIT's Expansion Strategy and Operational Concerns
[Redacted]	13- Napit Certification (is) Limited 2		Y	N	Created and published by CC on 07-09-2024 Investigation Report: NAPIT's Desktop Review Process and Practices. FAO this doc covers limitations of desktop reviews, the importance of site inspections and the potential long term risks associated with Napit's current practices.
		14- Napit Certification (is) Limited	Y	N	NAPIT Certification (is) Limited: 2018 published on @Compliance platform. Published on 05-09-2024. FAO [Redacted], this doc is a duplicaton of Doc 13.  FAO this doc is split into several parts. Pages 1-14: Schedule of Accreditation from UKAS of NICEIC or ELECSA and NAPIT. Pages 15-40: Correspondences between CC and NAPIT regarding a SAR request. Pages 41-48: Text messages between CC and Customer. Page 49: Details on UKAS Techincal Manager, who we are.
		15- NAPITNICEICAccreditation 2	Y	N	[Redacted], this is a LinkedIn report by CC which addresses his concerns regarding GDPR violations by NAPIT. Dated 1-9-2024? CC's LinkedIn report of 30-08-2024 Comprehensive Analysis and Cross-Reference: My Perspective - a reponse to his allegation of Blackmail, Cease and Desist Letter (Spencer West LLP, 8th August 2024): FAO this doc covers CC's response to Spencer West LLP that he has not blackmailed Napit into making a payment. The document also makes reference to SRA Principles and that the law firm is not following those.
		16- Not Accredited Professional Inspectors and Testers LinkedIn	Y	N	
		17- Potential Slapp Action; My Review LinkedIn.pdf	Y	N	
		18 - UKAS A000740850 Complaint	Y	N	FAO this doc is CC writing an email to the EA complaining of UKAS and asking if he can provide further evidence.  FAO this document is a report which mentions a conflict of interest between UKAS and NAPIT where a NAPIT field officer worked for both organisations and questions NAPIT's certification process. UKAS have confirmed the former NAPIT employee was not involved in their accreditation assessments or CC's case at any time. In fact he has not carried out any assessments since joining UKAS and during the course of this case. It also mentions the appointment of [Redacted] to oversee CC's complaint but due to his dual roles at UKAS and EA, it created substantial impartiality concerns. It further mentions [Redacted] remianing silent about NAPITs outdated certification practices and his dual role of Chairman of UKAS and advocate within ESF. (Parts 2, 5 & 7.1 most relevant).
		19 - Report on UKAS -NAPIT, UKAS - EA Conflict, Communication Failures and Governance Breaches	Y	N	
		20 - The 4 Napit Reviews	Y	N	FAO, this doc is a mere chronology of events between CC & NAPIT.
		[Redacted]	Y	N	Article published by CC @Compliance The Silence of Electrical Safety Second (July 18th) Urgent Inquiry into Electrical Safety Standards and the Role of Electrical Safety First FAO, this doc is a report which addresses the role of Electrical Safety First in upholding consumer safety standards and [Redacted] influence.
		22 - Urgent Response Required	Y	N	Email of 4-9-2024 from CC to UKAS; cc'd to EA and [Redacted]. FAO, this doc is a follow up email from CC to UKAS of his concerns raised about NAPIT.
		23 - Who was aware? The Independent Review	Y	N	Created and published by CC on 07-09-2024. FAO, this doc is a timeline of events that lists the people who knew about Conor's claim.
		24 - Why this matters or does it?	Y	N	LinkedIn article of 31-08-2024 written by CC FAO [Redacted], this doc covers is written by Conor identifying issues about UKAS's management of NAPIT and reasons for a public inquiry.
		25 - You've been blocked by UKAS	Y	N	This doc was the same as Doc 22, but posted on Twitter and now saying he has been blocked by UKAS.
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Dear NAPIT conflict of interest	Y	N	29/7/2024 CC contacts NAPIT regarding "a conflict of interest" between UKAS and NAPIT. He asks: Why was the conflict of interest not disclosed at the start of investigation?. What is being put in place to rectify this breach of impartiality? How does NAPIT intend to address and mitigate the impact this conflict of interest has had on my case?
		Dear UKAS conflict of interest	Y	N	29/72024 CC writes to UKAS to make a formal complaint suggesting their investigation into NAPIT shows potential conflicts of interest that compromise the impartiality and credibility of the investigation.
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	cost-enquiry-investigation-conor-chapple-pq01f.pdf	Y	N	A Cost Enquiry   The Investigation doc created & published on 11-08-2024 by CC. Email to UKAS and NAPIT requesting the cost incurred of their investigation into his case.
		electrical-safety-second-conor-chapple-ozk6f.pdf	Y	N	Electrical Safety Second. doc created and published on 18-08-2024 by CC on being ignored by NICEIC, ECA and Electrical Safety First
		hidden-dangers-unchecked-compliance-call-action-safety-conor-chapple-eoxnf.pdf	Y	N	The Hidden Dangers of Uncheckd Eelectrical Safety - doc created and published by CC on 12-08-2024 regarding dangers of noncompliance
		i-guess-theres-no-deniability-now-conor-chapple-qmtxf.pdf	Y	N	I guess there's no deniability now doc created and published by CC on 14-08-2024 re the implication of NAPIT and UKAS's silence on BS 7671 compliance.
		once-safety-now-cover-electrical-roundtable-conor-chapple-glbyf.pdf	Y	N	Once About Safety, Now a Cover: The Electrical Roundtable - doc created and published by CC on 23-08-2024 regarding complicity between organisations
		The Collective Silence_ 2,226 _ LinkedIn.pdf	Y	N	LinkedIn article of 26-07-2024 by CC. Projection of Non-compliant and Unsafe Work by NAPIT (2013 -2024)

		weekly-bulletin-series-inquiries-industry-bodies-conor-chapple-mnzff.pdf	Y	N	Weekly BULLETIN : A Series of Inquiries to Industry Bodies. Doc created and published by CC on 28-07-2024. Includes NICEIC and NAPIT
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Chapple Cease and Desist 2-1 & Unclear Claim.pdf	Y	N	Email/letter of 08-08-2024 from NAPIT's Legal firm Spencer West to CC re. emails from CC to NAPIT, and Phenna Gp. All correspondence from CC to NAPIT and Phenna Gp now to directed to Spencer West. Legal letter of 02-09-2024 from Spencer West to CC Re: Complaint against NAPIT Certification Limited stating NAPIT will no longer engage with CC and if wishes to pursue the amttter further he will have to do it through legal action. Furthermore Spencer West have been instructed they to cease to act for NAPIT and have been instructed not to repond to any correspondence from CC.
		Chapple Final Communication 2nd September 2024.pdf	Y	N	
		Final Offer Letter to Phenna Group.pdf	Y	N	Email of 07-08-2024 from Spark Electrical Services to Phenna Gp re CC' loss of income resulting from the suspension of his NAPIT membership. He mentions a cour case but states NAPIT did not provide any documents and he is therefore asking for documents that are held on him. The letter end with his proposed action if a resolution is not reached: further court action and using his dedicated social platforms and news outlets to bring these issues to light.
		Formal Complaint Against [Redacted] and Potential SLAPP Action.pdf	Y	N	Email of 30-08-2024 from CC - formal complaint against Spencer West LLP, who represent NAPIT Certification Ltd regarding their conduct and handling of the dispute between CC and their client.
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Exceptional Case Funding (ECF) Application.pdf	Y	N	Exceptional Case Funding (ECF) Application by CC for Spark Electrical Servicers. Respondents: NAPIT, UKAS EA. Describes the case as portrayed by Conor Chapple
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		NAPITNICEICAccreditation 2.pdf	Y	N	Duplicate document of same name in Records folder. This doc is split into several parts. Pages 1-14: Schedule of Accreditation from UKAS of NICEIC or ELECSA and NAPIT. Pages 15-40: Correspondences between CC and NAPIT regarding a SAR request. Pages 41-48: Text messages between CC and Customer. Page 49: Details on UKAS Techincal Manager, who we are.
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		Re_ UKAS A000740850 Complaint (1).pdf	Y	N	Email stream of 29-08-2024 bewteen CC and [Redacted] . the review on his complaint and his concerns on their findings and confilict of interest with [Redacted]. Follow up email from CC regarding the EA resolution
		Re_ UKAS A000740850 Complaint.pdf	Y	N	[Redacted]. [Redacted] stating new/additional material to be submitted by Mr Chapple not seen by UKAS would not be acceptable evidence for the complaint.
		UKAS A000740850 Complaint.pdf	Y	N	Follow up from CC to EA further to previous email in row above. [Redacted] from CC to EA [Redacted] conflicts of interest with [Redacted] reviewing his case and being an EA Executive Member and Chairs of Certification committees
		Urgent Response Rquired.pdf	Y	N	Further follow up from CC to EA dated 4th September. The MoU breach assertion is incorrect and the other points have been sense checked. Email of 04-09-2024 from CC to UKAS , cc'd to EA and [Redacted] re. unanswered email of 09-08-2024. Also has email stream of previous communications.
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		dearly-departed-why-conor-chapple-gytcf.pdf	Y	N	8-4- 2024 - CC suggests that"sudden and unannounced" resignation by [Redacted] may be due to the ongoing UKAS investigation- (a) he wanted to delay the findings of the investigation (b) it was an admission of the various failings of NAPIT. Overall he feels it suggests an attempt to avoid transparency and accountability.
		[Redacted]	Y	N	[Redacted]
		[Redacted]	Y	N	16/7/2024 CC contacts [Redacted] and [Redacted] to announce he is compling a court bundle of evidence. He asks re 'Completed Work' - "can Napit clarify if they believe the work is complete, or in progress and give reason for their decision. <u>Completed Work</u> : means invoices related to the work completed have been submitted and paid. This means the work is completed in full and the contractors have all submitted invoices and are paid for that work. <u>In progress work</u> : means the project is still underway, but some of the work has been completed and invoiced."
		ISO 17095 6.2 Compliance..pdf	Y	N	Email of 09-07-2024 from CC to [Redacted] re BS720/5/24 to 9/7/24 re BS7671 re clauswes within it which [Redacted] had quoted. It also includes 1o emails between Chapple and [Redacted]. NAPIT continue to stress that his suspension was imposed for not cooperating with them in achieving a resolution to the complaint (5096-23). In one email dated 9/7 to [Redacted], CC claims he has reported [Redacted] - we assume this is his customer - to the Police for'fraud'.
		NAPIT Letter (52224) 11-04-24.pdf	Y	N	11-4-2024 Legal letter from [Redacted] to CC's laywers in response to CC's accusations of NAPIT via [Redacted]. Summary: a concession could be made if Chapple acted in a manner that reversed the decision and resulted in a return to suspended certification. This could be lifted if either (a) the electrical certification is completed or (b) if the complainant refuses to act in a manner that would allow (a).