



ERIC ADAMS
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



ARVA RICE
INTERIM CHAIR

March 25, 2022

Gregory Scott
83 Park Terrace West Apt 3a
New York, NY 10034

CCRB Case Number: 202004976
CCRB Squad Manager: <Team Manager Name> - <Team Manager Email>

Dear Gregory Scott:

The Civilian Complaint Review Board has concluded its thorough investigation of the incident you were involved in. The staff of the CCRB recognizes the meaningful contribution you made by telling your story so this investigation could move forward. After reviewing all of the evidence gathered throughout the investigation, which includes your testimony, the Board of the CCRB has come to the findings (see below) of the allegations regarding this incident. There is an enclosed form that lists what each of the potential outcomes of an investigation is, and explains what each outcome means.

In your case, the investigation determined that at least one of the allegations that occurred was misconduct (substantiated). Under New York City law, all of the allegations in this case will be forwarded to the Police Commissioner, who has the authority to actually impose discipline against an officer; the CCRB can only make recommendations regarding discipline.

Please be aware that in certain cases police officers are entitled by law to an administrative hearing before the Department can impose disciplinary penalties; in such case any civilian involved in an incident may be asked to testify at an administrative proceeding.

Allegation(s) by letter:

Board Finding(s):

- A) Abuse of Authority:
Police Officer Ismael Perez-Ortiz refused to provide his name to Gregory Scott.
- B) Abuse of Authority:
Police Officer Ismael Perez-Ortiz refused to provide his shield number to Gregory Scott.
- C) Discourtesy:
Police Officer Ismael Perez-Ortiz acted discourteously toward Gregory Scott.
- D) Abuse of Authority:
Police Officer Howard Peralta refused to provide his name to Gregory Scott.
- E) Abuse of Authority:
Police Officer Howard Peralta refused to provide his shield number to Gregory Scott.
- F) Discourtesy:

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

CCRB CTS - Confidential

Police Officer Howard Peralta acted discourteously toward Gregory Scott.

- G) Untruthful Statement:
Police Officer Ismael Perez-Ortiz provided a false official statement to the CCRB.

Substantiated (Charges)

Officer Penalty Recommendations:

The Board's penalty recommendation takes into account all of the allegations substantiated against the officer, as well as the officer's disciplinary history.

POM Howard Peralta

Substantiated (Charges)


POM Ismael Perez-Ortiz

Substantiated (Charges)

If you have any questions about the current status of your case at the Police Department, please contact the CCRB at (212) 912-7235.

The integrity and quality of the Police Department's service to the public depends, in large part, upon receiving information from citizens like you regarding the performance of police officers as they carry out their duties. The Civilian Complaint Review Board is grateful for the effort you put forth and your willingness to participate in this extremely important process.

Sincerely,



Jonathan Darche
Executive Director

Enclosure



ERIC L. ADAMS
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



ARVA RICE
INTERIM CHAIR

April 04, 2022

Gregory Scott
83 Park Terrace West Apt 3a
New York, NY 10034

CCRB Case Number: 202005852

CCRB Squad Manager: <Team Manager Name> - <Team Manager Email>

Dear Gregory Scott:

The Civilian Complaint Review Board has concluded its thorough investigation of the incident you were involved in. The staff of the CCRB recognizes the meaningful contribution you made by telling your story so this investigation could move forward. After reviewing all of the evidence gathered throughout the investigation, which includes your testimony, the Board of the CCRB has come to the findings (see below) of the allegations regarding this incident. There is an enclosed form that lists what each of the potential outcomes of an investigation is, and explains what each outcome means.

In your case, the investigation determined that at least one of the allegations that occurred was misconduct (substantiated). Under New York City law, all of the allegations in this case will be forwarded to the Police Commissioner, who has the authority to actually impose discipline against an officer; the CCRB can only make recommendations regarding discipline.

Please be aware that in certain cases police officers are entitled by law to an administrative hearing before the Department can impose disciplinary penalties; in such case any civilian involved in an incident may be asked to testify at an administrative proceeding.

Allegation(s) by letter:

Board Finding(s):

- A) *Abuse of Authority:*
Police Officer Arianny Bernabel refused to provide her name to Gregory Scott.
- B) *Abuse of Authority:*
Police Officer Arianny Bernabel refused to provide her shield number to Gregory Scott.
- C) *Discourtesy:*
Police Officer Arianny Bernabel acted discourteously toward Gregory Scott.
- D) *Untruthful Statement:*
On September 21, 2021, Police Officer Arianny Bernabel provided a false official statement to the CCRB.

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

Substantiated (Command Discipline A)

Substantiated (Charges)

Officer Penalty Recommendations:

The Board's penalty recommendation takes into account all of the allegations substantiated against the officer, as well as the officer's disciplinary history.

CCRB CTS - Confidential


POF Arianny Bernabel

Substantiated (Charges)

If you have any questions about the current status of your case at the Police Department, please contact the CCRB at (212) 912-7235.

The integrity and quality of the Police Department's service to the public depends, in large part, upon receiving information from citizens like you regarding the performance of police officers as they carry out their duties. The Civilian Complaint Review Board is grateful for the effort you put forth and your willingness to participate in this extremely important process.

Sincerely,



Jonathan Darche
Executive Director

Enclosure

EXPLANATION OF ALL POTENTIAL BOARD FINDINGS

Substantiated: The allegation occurred and was considered substantiated, and was punishable under the laws of New York or the rules set by the NYPD in their Patrol Guide and the officer should receive some sort of discipline.

Example: A civilian filed a complaint and alleged an officer pushed him. After a thorough investigation, the investigator was able to determine that the push, which required that the officer have reasonable suspicion that the civilian was armed and dangerous, was not justified under the laws of New York or the rules set by the NYPD in their Patrol Guide.

When the Board substantiates an allegation, it may make one of the following recommendations:
Instructions: The officer should receive discipline at the local command level.

Formalized Training: The officer should receive training at the Police Academy regarding specific allegations.

Reprimand: The officer should receive a reprimand at the local command level.