1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF COUNTY OF NEW YORK : CIVIL TEN	RM PART 34	37
2	GREGORY SCOTT,		X
3	GIEGOIII SOOTI,	Plaintiff,	
4	- against -		CHARGE CONFERENCE (Continued)
5	KOSOVA PROPERTIES INC., HAMDI SHPEND NEZAJ, LAZER PLUNAJ,	NEZAJ,	(concinaca)
6		Defendant.	- X
7		60 Centre Street New York, New Y	et
8		January 31, 202	
9	BEFORE:		
10	THE HON. DAKOTA RAMS	SEUR, J.S.C.	
11		And a Jury	
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:		
14	THE BASIL LAW GROUP, P.C. 125 West 31st Street #19-b		
15	New York, New York 10001		
16	BY: ROBERT J. BASIL, ESQ. DAVID A. COHEN, ESQ.		
17	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:		
18	McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER LLP		
19	225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor New York, New York 10281	L	
20	BY: JOHN P. COOKSON, ESQ. A. AUGUSTUS LaSALA, ESQ.		
21			
22	ALSO PRESENT: GREGORY SCOTT,	Plaintiff	
23			
24		JACK L. MORELLE	
25		Senior Court Re	shor rer

(Jury not present)

the beginning of the day.

THE COURT: We're going to go on the record.

We're going to memorize the same discussion, we're having a continuation. I do want the record to reflect that there is an addition to the lawyers' table. Can you put your name on the record. Maybe just put their names on at

MR. BASIL: For the plaintiff, Gregory Scott,
David A. Cohen and Robert J. Basil.

MR. COOKSON: For the defendants Kosova

Properties, Inc., Hamdi Nezaj and Shpend Nazaj, John

Cookson and Augustus LaSala, of McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney

& Carpenter.

THE COURT: So, I just want to say, we're continuing discussing the jury charges because our jury was adjourned to March  $5^{\rm th}$ , so we can use this time to do that.

Where we left off, we were discussing the charge of false arrest, PJI 3:53, I call it 5. And we were up to the paragraph specifically dealing with "The defendants must prove that they had a reasonable cause for believing that both a crime had been committed, and that Dr. Scott had committed it."

Now, yesterday when we discussed, our first edits included saying, adding the defendants, and the name

of the defendants that it would pertain to, the Kosova Properties, Joey, Lazer, those three defendants. That was the initial change. But then I made the observation with the language, I was concerned with the way that the wording was. That I felt that it would suggest that the burden was going to be switched from the plaintiffs to defendant. And we left off trying to tweak that.

So, we continued that conversation today, where I'll let Mr. Cohen speak, because he came in here with what he thought was a remedy, and then we'll continue.

MR. COOKSON: Could I just make a note for the record, that what we're discussing now is the proposed jury instruction of the plaintiff. We have not gotten to the proposed jury instruction of the defendants. That's what we're working off of.

THE COURT: Just to be clear, as I stated when we started this discussion, where I asked everyone if they had a recommendation on how they wanted to proceed. No one said anything specifically. And I said, the way that we would proceed things, is to start with plaintiff's submissions for the jury charge and tweak it accordingly, which at each sentence I will let the defense counsel, Mr. Cookson, interject to incorporate what he thought was an appropriate change to each sentence as we came along. Which means that he had the time, the opportunity to

incorporate whatever he felt like to go as we were proceeding on this jury instruction.

So, we're doing it simultaneously. I am not taking this time to use all of this time and in creating this charge by acting like now we're critique the plaintiff's and then critique defendants'. This is a simultaneous effort to create a final charge.

So, I'm not sure what you thought was happening or what you think is happening, or what you suggest we do alternatively or after, but this is how we're proceeding.

MR. COOKSON: All right. Well, if that's the case then, Your Honor --

THE COURT: I want to ask what did you think was the case then? What did you think was happening? Because we just started this exercise today.

MR. COOKSON: I was perfectly happy to go through the plaintiff's charge first and interject things that I thought would -- if you let me finish. But I don't see why my charge gets no consideration whatsoever.

THE COURT: Okay. So, again, it's not that your charge doesn't get any consideration. I'm not going to waste my time and go back and read what I stated yesterday.

I have your charge in front of me. I was reading your charge along with this charge. There are

several times I said, Mr. Cookson, did you want to add anything at this juncture?

MR. COOKSON: Well --

THE COURT: Several times.

MR. COOKSON: If it became unwieldily, Your Honor, and you'll have to forgive me if I didn't make myself clear.

THE COURT: What do you want to do, Mr. Cookson? What do you want to do right now?

MR. COOKSON: What I want to do is, I don't want to stop and start over, by asking you to stop and start over.

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate that.

MR. COOKSON: But there are certain things that are in my charge that I want to be in the charge.

THE COURT: In the section that we already discussed?

MR. COOKSON: Our charges are not following the same track. Paragraph one of their charge is like paragraph five of mine and vice versa, so.

THE COURT: Which is another thing that will be on that record yesterday, which is something I actually said how the charges do not mirror each other at all, and how it's almost impossible to reconcile. Which is why I gave you a road map of how we would proceed.

I can't -- this is what happens when you send your information on the midnight hour literally, that gave nobody an opportunity to review it accordingly and prepare it. So, I take it you also had an opportunity to review that information, and now you have some objection and you want to go back in time.

So, what is it that you want to address that was previously discussed?

MR. COOKSON: We discussed already that this is not -- that the form charge deals with police officers. We all understand that's not the case here.

THE COURT: We already discussed this yesterday.

MR. COOKSON: I know that. From my perspective

of the clients that we are representing, we understand

that Lazer called the police. Lazer. That initiated the

case.

THE COURT: I don't need you to tell me the facts, we were all here during the trial. What is it that you want to change that we previously discussed already? Because no paragraph we're up to -- I started the record stating that where we were. We're now up to the paragraph on the plaintiff's version. What is it that we did thus far that you want to change? Because we're not starting from scratch.

MR. COOKSON: I'm not asking to start from

1 scratch.

1.3

THE COURT: So, again, what we already established as the charge thus far, what is it that you want to revisit?

MR. COOKSON: Nothing. I just want to make sure that our language is in the charge or at least considered to be.

THE COURT: Since we're not done with the charge you want to, as you have always had the opportunity to do, as we continue with the charge, to incorporate your suggestions, your objections. Help tweak it how we're going to proceed.

MR. COOKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, great.

So, now that we're discussing the same exact sentence, I propose that we introduce your affirmative defense with something to the extent that the defendants can take and read, I don't have in front of me. Is there a paper that you can give me with the affirmative defense, Mr. Cookson?

MR. COOKSON: Yes.

MR. LaSALA: It's circled.

THE COURT: You guys should consider yourself lucky that the jury is not here.

MR. BASIL: You want me to read it?

1

THE COURT: Sure, go ahead.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BASIL: As and for an Eighth Affirmative

Defense, this is the wording of the defendants.

"Defendants acted properly under the circumstances and defendants acts were justifiable and reasonable."

Our part of the charge would be that defendants claim they acted, blah, blah. And it is your burden to prove that they have acted, blah, blah, blah.

THE COURT: There is no debate about that, It's your burden for an affirmative defense. the introductory cause of defendants' claim will be the way to make it in the charge consistent with the way that the other paragraphs are introducing. Such as the previous charge that we discussed in detail yesterday, Dr. Scott claims that the defendants Hamdi, Joey, Lazer instigated or directed his arrest or retention. You see how it works and it's consistent and it addresses your affirmative defense.

What would be your objection, that exact We can discuss it right now. wording?

MR. COOKSON: That's what they claim, Your Honor. But in this charge there also has to be language that Kosova and Hamdi and Shpend contend that they can't be -- it can't be lumped together with Lazer's activity. Lazer made the call and they're trying to act as though we

all made the call, which is not what happened.

THE COURT: So, again, we're not going to include ever any particular facts like that, because the jury is going to be the fact holder. So, the defendants at issue who had this affirmative defense --

MR. BASIL: It's all of them.

THE COURT: Exactly, this is what I was about to say. You're not going to act like the person who made the call -- I'm not even sure, do we actually know that from anybody's testimony who made the call? We might know who the complaining witness was, but who actually instigated the call -- excuse me, who made the call, we don't know that. So, let's not even put this language in this record. I'm assuming that you know who the complaining is because you have the complaint.

MR. COOKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: That the police officers are informed by Lazer, correct, the criminal complaint?

MR. COOKSON: Yes.

MR. BASIL: Yes. The criminal complaint says that Lazer reported it.

THE COURT: That doesn't mean that he made the call, though.

MR. BASIL: It doesn't say who made the initial call, we don't know.

of that nature. And this recommendation, the defendants claim that the defendant, defendant Joey and Lazer acted properly under the circumstances, and that their behavior, conduct, whatever you want, we can use the word acts, were justified and reasonable. We'll use that.

MR. LaSALA: I think that the concern, Your

Honor, would be that we have the affirmative defense. And
the defendants have the burden to prove that affirmative
defense. But I don't believe in the defendants have to
prove that affirmative defense in order to be found to not
have liability in this case. Because then --

THE COURT: That is the language in here is something that you can take. I need to find out what you're proposing the tweak is here. This is where we are. I don't -- I need to know what you're going to recommend and suggest, please.

What do you want the sentence to read and why?

But this sentence most definitively will incorporate Joey

and defendant H on this. If that's your wish list,

consider that denied.

So, let the record reflect it's 10:40. Should we take a break and come back, Mr. Cookson?

MR. COOKSON: Where are we, Your Honor, I'm sorry. Can you give me a coupling of minutes with

1 Mr. LaSala.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Take your time. I need to know what the sentence is going to be. Five minutes should be fine. Five minutes should be fine.

I'm not trying to be difficult here, I just want to make this charge. But it has to incorporate something from you, it just has to. And when I say "you," I know that you're objecting with respect to your particular defendants, but it will have to include the defendants collectively.

MR. COOKSON: I understand that.

(Short recess taken)

THE COURT: We're going to go on the record.

MR. COOKSON: We've been discussing the false arrest charge. Very collegially going through, let's take this out and put this in, and we're almost there.

Mr. LaSala has been doing most of the negotiating.

What we wanted to let you know is, or what we're asking you is, would you like for us to try to cobble together today?

THE COURT: Yes. Now, yes.

MR. COOKSON: Okay.

THE COURT: As opposed to some other time, yes, now. That's what we're here for.

MR. COOKSON: We'll need Mr. LaSala for that.

1	We're 90 percent of the way there I think, if not more.
2	THE COURT: So, does the 10 percent get us done
3	before 1:00 or are coming back this afternoon?
4	MR. COOKSON: If we move onto the malicious
5	prosecution in the same manner that we have been doing th
6	false arrest
7	THE COURT: I'll take any recommendations for
8	any matter you want to pursue.
9	MR. COOKSON: I want to wait for Augie to come
10	back in and finish false arrest. So in the meantime, I
11	would say to continue malicious prosecution and see how
12	far we get, and maybe we can give you the whole thing
13	before 1:00.
14	THE COURT: I'm sorry, when you say in the
15	meantime, how long do you anticipate that he's going to k
16	on this call?
17	MR. COOKSON: He wasn't sure.
18	THE COURT: What are you saying, that we can't
19	continue with what we're doing at this time because he's
20	not here?
21	MR. COOKSON: I'm saying that we can't conclude
22	the false arrest part now because he's on the phone. But
23	we will continue, we have a malicious prosecution charge
24	to work on too.

THE COURT: I think that you all should start

25

1	talking about that charge as well.
2	MR. COOKSON: That's what I'm asking.
3	THE COURT: You have my permission to come to a
4	final product for both charges, yes, today while we're
5	here.
6	MR. COOKSON: We just wanted you to know where
7	we were progressing.
8	THE COURT: I thought that you were working on
9	these outstanding charges that we had to complete so we
10	can move to the verdict sheet, yes. I think that I'm
11	going to see you Monday too. I'm here for you. Is there
12	anything else you need from me at this juncture?
13	MR. BASIL: No.
14	MR. COOKSON: I don't think so.
15	THE COURT: Let me know. I appreciate the
16	status report.
17	(Short recess taken)
18	(Luncheon recess taken)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	PROCEEDINGS
1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	(Continued charge conference off the record)
3	(Trial adjourned)
4	* * *
5	CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT.
6	
7	
8	JACK L. MORELLI, CM, CSR
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	