An Appraisal of the Humane-Fundamental Theory of Suicide

AKIKIBOFORI, Jacob Sunday (PhD)
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education,
Port Harcourt, Nigeria

ABSTRACT
Notwithstanding the inherent permanent discernment, Durkheim's theory of suicide meets with disaster to explain essential customary circumstances on account of it's the inherent concluded dependence on fundamental coercion to the disadvantage of other conceivable determinants. This article establishes a current theoretical outlook for considering the feasible feature of established practice in subjection to suicide. We contend that by concentrating on the established practice ambulation of inordinate systematization, specifically at the meso level, an aggrandized powerful sociological approach for the study of suicide could be put in place that complements fundamental- awkward theory of Durkheim. For all intents and purposes, we contend that the applicability of established practice systematization to suicide hinges on: The extent to which established practice is comprehensible in socio cultural locations. (bduration of regulations analogous to determining or censuring suicide; the extent to which the above-mentioned regulations explain attributed significations stirring communal psychological procedures; and the extent to which the communal sphere is encompassed. We there upon spotlight in what manner our current theory establishes valuable comprehension into trilateral conditions of suicide generally ignored not beyond sociology: particularly, suicide assemblages amongst undergraduates, suicides of depression or hopelessness, amongst men, and suicide amongst security personnel. We consummate with connotations for forth coming sociological examination on suicide and suicide deterrence.

Keywords: Systematization, Durkheim, Boundless, Suicide.

Introduction

In furtherance of an accomplished century, the examination of suicide sociologically has been honour-bound to Durkheim's vintage suicide situated at inherent nucleus are dual cardinal assumptions because the formation of suicide progressions in a community are a responsibility of the formation of communal affiliations, in addition the above-mentioned fluctuate in circumstances of assimilation or systematization. Regardless of the lasting inspirations of the already stated dual discernments, suicide has earned plethora of theoretical, empirical and methodological appraisal. Despite the fact that these appraisals have categorized in their civility and certainty, a novel examination of the sociological composition on suicide consummated that the traditional Durkhemian landing to suicide-specifically, that which is macro-fundamental is not any more plausible if sociology is to bequeath to one and the other the communal scientific examination and the forestalling of suicide (Johnson, 1965; Pope, 1976; Kushner and Sterk, 2005; Wray, Colen, and Pescosolido, 2011; Abrutyn and Mueller, 2014). The aforementioned is not to opine that attempts to visualize Durkheim's suicide mentally have not been carried out. It is discordant, discernments peaked from the assimilation of suicide with chain standards, mental states, and social psychology, its entirety commenced genuine attempts concerning a more powerful, Durkheimian- exhilarated sociology of suicide. Hitherto, effort still rests, specifically in explaining in detail the role of established practice in crystallizing suicide (Muller and Abrutyn, 2016).

In the aforementioned morale the article examines: in what manner do we chaperon cultural sociology into discourse with the fundamentalism of Durkheim's theory to greater comprehend the command origins of suicide? Notwithstanding that Jack Douglas (1967) earliest in order directed assiduity to the significance of cultural significations for comprehending suicide, reasoning concerning culture and suicide has been greatly pushed forward by anthropologist (Kral, 1998; Niezen, 2009; Stevenson, 2014), Pervert attempts to established communized, sociological theory. In recent past, nevertheless, the compulsion of taking culture into account has matured greatly in other fields of study along with some sociological studies. In the vicinity of the disposition of the above-mentioned controversies are several fundamental standards: (a) Suicide is a preferential state on the significations people utilize to come off their personal circumstances, horizontal when accomplished clandestinely, suicide is a communal exploit on account that it has signification not singularly for the individual who kills himself, however in addition for those leave off the pace, among other things, besides, several communal actions need common significations accomplished by virtue of communication with actual fancied, and hypothesized others, which heightened, strengthened and expand them (Colucci and Lester, 2012; Muller, 2017).

In order to soapbox the aforementioned constraint, this article establishes an established practice-structural theory of suicide. We put forth Durkheim as our outset mite for dual significant comprehension. Earliest in order, Durkheim's examination of suicide is a generative interest of scholarship in both sociology and suicidology, and a profusion of investigation frames off his observations. Furthermore, how be it Durkheim is more know for his comprehension in the structural substratum of suicide. Nevertheless; we in addition

go hyper Durkheim, embellishing how culture may situate suicide utilizing discernments from a mixture of more current knowledge basically in cultural sociology, however, in addition in examination fixated on meso-level associations. Lastly, we consummated by considering the essence of our theoretical facsimile to the trilateral compelling problems in the recent suicidology: specifically suicide assemblages amongst undergraduate students, suicide of depression or hopelessness among men, and suicide amongst security personnel.

Humane, Regulation, And Suicide
We will embark on this proposition with several significant formalizational drudges. Particularly, what is culture all about? In spite of a wide parade of explanations and essential features frequently plunge beneath the cover of culture, we delineate culture for our intentions as the substantial and symbolic essential features a group have in common that they inter-instinctive conceive in a composite antecedent, depend on a common consciousness, and have a mental analysis of common constellation. The continuity or correlation of a particular cultural structure, scheme, composition, or any other analogy an individual decides to make use of hinges on the magnitude to which the communal unit splitting the cultural structure is encircled.

Durkheim (1997) acknowledged the aforementioned earlier, however, it was completely enunciated by Parsons and Bales (1955): the petite the communal entity, the more particular and objective the essential features establishing a cultural structure, while the greater the communal unit, the more common and conceptual the essential features. The already stated fundamental assumption will play an important stint in our in what manner culture and system are linked, however, whereas recently, acquire the aforementioned assumption like it is. Significantly, culture is one and the other communal and independent alongside as exclusive and intrinsic. The already stated is valuation accentuated on account that much of the examination on culture today depends on subjective experimental structures, which acknowledge the requirement for façade assuming culture is to locate with purpose analogous encumbrances on groups of habituated communal assemblage (Parsons and Bales, (1955); Vaisey, (2009); Fine, (2010).

Humane and Suicide
The sociology of suicide has commonly ignored the concept of culture, there is several disposition one and the other not beyond sociology and other areas of study that culture is a necessity to suicide. Jack Douglas (1967) was the earliest in order to develop a debate for examining culture in respect of suicide. Particularly, he contended that the effectiveness of investigating suicide progressions in the established practice of Durkheim was crippled by our inadequacy of be considerate of the way and manner society composes an impression suicide. Nevertheless, his appraisal may be intense; the verifiable truth that suicide and its significations fluctuate beyond period and territory has been without any doubt modeled by a broad magnitude of intellectuals. Certainly, suicide is choice that is absurd to accomplish beyond connecting to the prevalent (Hecht, 2013; Cha and Nock 2014) regulating principles and demeanor of cultural society. Hence, we cannot discuss the fundamental inspiration decision in procedure of suicide beyond bequeathing assiduity to the fundamental cultural signification of suicide. In short suicide has different meaning in

different places. Further, there is an advancing frame of composition that acknowledges the manner distinct gender criteria outline discrepancies in the way and manner male and female perceive suicidality, along with the way and manner distinct gender kinds of purpose entrenched in gender may influence distinct progressions of suicide fatality. However, these investigations commonly come to naught to lucid in what manner cultural significations concerning suicide become regulating equivalent that demeanor is standardized and suicide is promoted beyond particular conditions. Hence, their importance to suicide avoidance is restricted. (Cleary, 2012, Lester, 2012).

The Examination of Humane and Systematization
To investigate the guise of culture in the way and manner individuals perish by suicide, we start by obtaining discernments from Durkheim. Basically, he contended that suicide progressions were clear objective of the extant system of communal affiliations, and communal affiliations differs vouchsafe to their commensurate assimilation or systematization.

The erstwhile, assimilation, has been seriously examined in its relation with suicide by scholars, while systematization has been less swimmingly greased as a conceivable communal foundation of suicide. The aforementioned may be on account of our commonly developing a thought concerning assimilation in a wrong way, concentrating on anomie at the personal horizon instead of looking at systematization as palpating into cultural procedure linked to the way and manner associations and location come to establish significations that are simultaneously incorporated through communal synergy (Blumer, 1969; Batter and Richardson, 2002).

According to Poggi (2000), Durkheim singularly clearly utilized the phrase culture two times in the Division of labour in society (1997); 251, 297), at that point, he utilized it to mean collective manners of reasoning, ideas that are actually adjacent to modern reasoning, concerning culture. Even if Durkheim visualized mentally- collective conscience as an assimilative coercion, it was in addition ethical and thus systematic coercion (Poggi, 2000). For example, in the Elementary forms, Durkheim (19995) significantly contends that when a group of individuals come together regularly, they establish actual and thought up environmental borderlines, become commonly acclimatized in thought and create strong affirmative influence that comments, in proper sequence, on their common acclimatization and borderlines. He is approximately upholding on an existence that is currently familiar: that individuals frequently explore to link the origin of categorical or contradictory mental states, and when mental states appear not beyond the adjacent collective conscience, imminent origins – for instance, people or the association itself- suddenly emerge to be acknowledged as the origin. Hence, the affiliation becomes a substance suigeneris, complete with a general chronicle, common, and ideology, feelings assume a pattern into extrinsic adumbrations, permeating communal, things with extrinsic, forcible competence. Consequently, these substances assists as memorials of the collective and ethical arrangement, become accomplished of pulling common assiduity and feeling in forthcoming solemnize synergy, and eventually, assist as substance grips epitomizing conceptual collective concepts. He precisely argued that when people submerge themselves

in the group, they are in addition coerced to duck their personal conscience and awareness in the pool of collective conscience (Lairler, Thye, and Yoon, 2009; Collins 2004).

In addition to adhering with the phenomenal subsidiary of Durkheim, the more common and obvious collective portrayals and form are, the more effective cultural coercion of systematization is as husky. Concisely, for Durkheim, we can perceive that culture is a coercion of systematization entrenched in prevalence of interplay, ethical consistency, and the framing of collective manners reasoning and role play. It is for the above- mentioned apprehension, among others, that Durkheim's indifference of the cultural subsidiary of systematization suicide is unanticipated and why a cultural examination of inordinate systematization not beyond regional locations or settlement has remarkable promise. Undoubtedly, there are evidences in Durkheim's suicide that suicide as a communal action is exceptionally cultural in that it cannot be disconnected from collective manners of emotion, reasoning, and role-playing. Durkheim contends, that:

> Mass suicides…springs from a collective resolve, a genuine social consensus rather than a simple contagious impulse. The idea does not spring up in one particularly person and then spread to others; but it is developed by the whole group which… collectively decides upon death (Durkheim (1897/1951:131-32)

Durkheim added several explanations concerning the kinds of collectives where suicide is most commonly to become significant that additionally foothold our exploration for a cultural- structural theory of suicide. He recognized that locations similar to look out mast, troops, suburbs, bastilles, and religious communities are all instances of locations in which ethical prevalent of suicide have been reported. Dual conditions are momentous concerning the above-mentioned instances that climax the role of culture. Earliest in order, they are all conditioned by comparatively meager, dense populations: prerequisite for (i) being able to examine the affiliation amidst culture and micro-level processes and (ii) the continuation of a micro-or idioculture in fine's (2010) phraseology. Next, not every merger suburb or bastilles have had endemic disruptions of suicide even though the reality that suburbs and bastilles commission same structural features with one another. System, at that instant, is a crucial dependent determinant however not adequate to analyze suicide virus or aggregate. Undoubtedly, it will be imperative to consider the affiliation amidst system and culture in assuming a vigorous theory of suicide. However, concerning the present, we palpate, we have made substantial case that Durkheim would (partially) not contend with our analysis that suicide is shaped by cultural coercion (Barbagli 2015). We swing straightaway into contemporary explanations of culture as a coercion of assimilation for fear that we can codicil and lengthen the understanding outlined earlier from Durkheim.

Concerning An Established Practice- Structural Theory Of Suicide

(a) Besides Durkheim: Culture and Systematization

We commence our maneuver concerning a cultural theory of suicide by enunciating more completely the common advances of cultural assimilation. When we say culture systematizes, we mean that culture is the antecedent by which an association arranges emotion, reasoning and role-play; it the antecedent of interpretation and ethical appraisal significations concerning feelings, mental outlook, and manner of conducting oneself. In addition whereas it is alluring to assume concerning culture broadly, we accept the enormous improvements to theory have emerge from examination of culturally, communally, or terrestrially circumscribed communal collectives, or institutional zones some crucial determinants make regional culture so strong.

Earliest in order, discordant work associations investigated beneath developmental circumstances, ethnographies of location hit hard-boiled locations in which the chronicled, economic, political and cultural circumstances are more effortlessly established, fret censured, and examined. Next, regional locations in addition illustrate the features of Durkheim's mechanical societies in that members commission a sole setting, have an accessible period expanding and retain a general collective distinctiveness, commission same culture essential features, and over and again communicate. In proper sequence, reiterating interplays strengthen the regional culture in spite of in what manner neutrally universal the culture is, either cultural arrangements or idiocultures are scanty in figure, and whether they are eminently feasible and handy, conveniently correlated, commonly and plainly accomplished, or located in frame of mind and custom-frequently fortified by persuasive and precise agents of socialization and procedure. (Goffman, (1961); Coser, (1974); Small (2004).

Intrinsically, we conjecture that tetrad components go into making systematization virulent analogous that suicide may be an only reverting aftermath of immense magnitudes of systematization: (a) cultural consistency (b) the complacent of cultural command, (c) personality vitals and (d) close-knit communal system.

(b) In what Manner Culture Develops Accountability to Suicide

Equipped with our current apprehension of cultural systematization, we can shift to the inquiry of in what manner tremendous magnitudes of cultural systematization decipher into culpability to suicide. We contend that much of the results come from taking into account cultural injunctions in culturally comprehensible and communally close-knit environments. On account those instructions are the connection amidst outlooks of actual, thought up, and communized others and responsibilities, we consider we must adhere to; circumstances can crop up when cultural command may coerce a person to commit suicide. Earliest in order, when misdemeanor happens amidst whom we are related, and consider important others reason we should be, people may be at importantly enormous exposure of suicide. When communal associations (like families) hold a person's or a class of persons' competence to

obtain the recognition and esteem they considered they are their rights or they contravene enshrined ethical values, they become susceptible to the most excruciating of communal feelings: humiliation – It is the illustrative death of the communal individual. The death of the communal individual may lead to the strong- willed piecemeal or creeping actual death of the biological individual- for instance, intense drug misuse or suicide together (Ryan *et al.;* 2010).

The aforementioned concept is analogous to a concept entrenched in Durkheim's earliest writings. Superficially established commands that emerge to be ethical commissions and bring serious penalty, however, ethically and morally contravene an individual's manifested principles establish a burdensome circumstance: progress to be unethical and discover several survival methods that acknowledge an individual to obtain the already stated situation or suicide. The are we contend with Durkheim is in the conjecture purpose that may propel suicide. This aforementioned case. Next, as contended by Abrutyn and Mueller (2016), the complacent of cultural command may affirm to suicide. Suicide is in most cases, forbidden: however occasionally, specific classes of people are commissioned and frequently aided to follow the line of self-extermination- Durklleim's altruistic suicide. Succinctly put, enormous standard of systematization can decipher into suicide when actual, insubstantial, or possible breakdown to meet anticipations or accountability outcomes in acute psychological, feeling, material and communal catastrophe.

(c) Why the Aforementioned Phenomena

We are analyzing why the aforementioned theory is important to sociology and suicidology. On account that our assertion in several manners climax what sociologists- and other areas of study have not been able to do, organized scientific confirmation is burdensome to attain. Nevertheless, we do believe there are several apparent utilization for this theory that will assist in routing numerous kinds of suicides previously not explained by Durkheim and genuinely and ailing comprehended in the roomy composition on suicide.

Undergraduate suicide assemblages. Present-day have seen an escalation in assiduity, by the press to suicide assemblages that have been becoming apparent specifically in universities in Nigeria. a suicide assemblage is commonly delineated as dual or more ensuing suicides measure by period, and usually in addition location- that happen besides the conceivability of opportunity. In consideration of the most component, sociologists have ward off examining assemblages, abandoning it to epidemiologists and at intervals anthropologists. Epidemiologists, even though consistently showing definitively that assemblage occurs on a statistically important and apparent magnitude have great maintaining explanatory examinations asserted on the composite magnitude information in visible form they utilize. Conceivably serenely, assemblages occur often in confined locations, specifically universities undeniably, young adults are three to five times more likely to commit suicide in an assemblage than any other age grade. The interrogation, then is why? We assume that our cultural schema for suicide may assist beam light on why undergraduates are specifically susceptible to the above- mentioned catastrophic circumstances and conceivably how assemblages appear and endure. Earliest in order,

whereas in-groups and other differentiations endure in universities, disconnecting undergraduate's populations into gangs, university cultures can be exceedingly significant to young adults. (Coleman 1961; Gould, Wallenstein, and Kleinman 1990; Mohney, 2016).

Never being completely advanced, intellectually, deeply, or communal psychologically, young adults listen to each other for the building of identity. More so, undergraduates' personality and magnifying its outstandingness. Not singularly do they go to school throughout the week for hours a day, however most undergraduates take part in outside the class activities and take the distinctiveness back home in pattern of take home assignment. Furthermore, with social media, the undergraduate personality is cumbersome to insulate, as university friends, scandal, and other realities of university life exude into non-university moment. Succinctly put, the undergraduate personality is conspicuous and impressive for young adults, particularly in light of the encumbrance that assignment and university reading place on the awareness of the forthcoming and their accomplishment. Breakdown in the already stated personality can be commensurate to the breakdown of self. Inured university cultures conceivable to be compatible and greatly prominent to young adults' mental analysis of personality, when universities advance cultural command that gives room for suicide in several conditions, we may anticipate a superfluous exposure to suicide in that university. Cultural commands that encourage suicide as an alternative are probable an essential circumstance for the emanation of a suicide assemblage, through the above-mentioned only are not sufficient to give room to an assemblage or only an undergraduate's death by suicide. (Crosnoe and Johnson, 2001).

Sketching from the composition on dissemination (Abrutyn and Mulier, 2014), we propose trilateral conceivable extending aisle through which a constraining cultural command for suicide can develop in a university and add to the pattern of suicide assemblage. Earliest in order is through individual, affectionate role illustrations. Investigation has found that young adults with no previous record of suicidality who are unprotected to a cozy other's suicidality are at peril of advancing current suicidal understanding and in the case of undergraduate girls, behaviours. Next are the immense channel illustrations. Universities are known for their different, salient situation chain of commands, and hence well-known young adults are usually those with discernibility. Investigation has years discover that chain of command differs emphatically with domination, and hence the suicidality of a enormous chain of command undergraduate may be a strong trigger for other to about the signification of the suicide, for command about suicide to be reexamined and disseminated, and for subsequent suicides appear. The last channel replaces several fundamental standards of system theory: as more individual embrace a cultural usual procedure or set of commands, the advancement of these concepts progress geometrically instead of arithmetically in the form of an S- Curve (Henrich 2001; Fine 2010; Patterson 2014).

Suicide assemblages in universities are important and asserting communal dilemma and that sociology is exclusively positioned to annex. Significantly our theoretical illustration maneuver us elsewhere from a simplistic concept of suicide plague as a laid-back bilateral procedure of pretense or parody and Toward an attention on the dissemination of cultural signification and commands encompassing suicide. Furthermore, lack of success to live up

to mostly common cultural anticipations aptly increase in effect in the university circumstance can bring about psychological agony that more relatively anticipates suicides. Significant, our theory is in harmony with egoistic psychological illustration of suicide. Undoubtedly, we do not renounce the significance of psychological agony in suicide. However, we do observe the composite multilevel procedures that (a) facilitate suicide to become a more pertinent preference for young adults when they encounter psychological pain and (b) granting permission to be a communal foundation of the psychological agony itself. Hence, seeing in what manner enormous magnitude of systematization detail young adults' agony of suicides in universities may provide more awareness into why suicide occurs and analyze more locations for adequate mediation. (Klonsky and May, 2015; Mueller and Abrutyn 2016).

Suicide amongst security operatives. A distinct kind of suicide that may be primed by our theory involves security operatives' suicides. However there is several controversy and discrepancies in assessments of suicide in amongst security operatives. Historically, security operatives have chronicled a reduced suicide progression that whole inhabitants of Nigeria (for instance intervening in the Kaduna crisis in the 80s and the Ogoni crises in the 90s). Nevertheless, since the beginning of the security operatives conveyance in north-east- as a result of Boko haram insurgence, the security operatives suicide progression looms out to have soared such that it parallel the progression in the general population. The aforementioned acceleration in amongst security operatives suicide progression has provoked serious interest and forestalling attempts several extant examinations of suicide in amongst security operatives have treated suicide as a personal rare occurrence, paying attention on the reason a soldier commits suicide. Assistance hunting attitude, wartime stress, marital issues, economic etc. have been delineated as exposure determinants for suicide amongst security operatives (Kang and Bullman 2009 Braswell and Kushner 2012; Hoge and Castro 2012).

Remarkable examination of suicide in sociology conclude assimilation is guarding, a proposition reinforced by traditionally accepted sociological judgment. Braswell and Kushner's contention, then hinged on the concept that fatalism which they referred to as too much assimilation, could aid in explaining enormous progressions of security operatives suicides that has endured earlier than Durkheim's period. Comparable to most complete institutions, the security operatives accentuates stratified command, with an individual concern in the elimination of earlier communal arrangements in consideration of institution particular norms, values, beliefs. Appropriate to our contention, the security operatives set a specific pattern of masculinity, referred to as Masculine fatalism:

> It is by emphasizing masculinity- and rigidly separating male from the female- that the military creates social capital from a group of soldiers whose economic statuses, ethnicities, and ideologies might otherwise place them in conflict with each other. Devaluation of individual life dovetails with the meaning of manhood (Braswell and Kushner, 2012:4).

Assistance- hunting is an evidence of flaw in the already stated exhilarating- masculine framework, leading to the incorporating of one and the other the ordeal of disculturation and an inconceivable comprehensible culture of masculinity, the elevation of commanded encroachment, and the apprehension of lack of success and the emanations of lack of success for the individual.

Braswell and Kushner (2012) feature several analytical visible features concerning the system and culture of the military that similarly have sociological connotations for suicide. Nevertheless, they embellish assimilation and neglect fortuity to particularize the more philosophize role culture in suicide. Undeniably, our theoretical landing traces to further ways that communal coercions may structure suicide in the military. Earliest in order, the collectivist acclimatization of the military may, allied to the universities, provide security operatives entities specifically assailable to the arrangement of cultural commands that encourage suicide as an apprehensible alternative in several conditions.

Furthermore, prone to the almost close disposition of the security operatives and comparably enormous progression of suicide, security operatives associates are in addition more probable to be aware of an individual who has committed suicide, anon conceivably actualizing the cultural commands through cherish role illustrations; essentially, the social psychological ambulation of equivalent establishment possibly informed the institution's communized enormous exposure. For example, enormous- illuminated procedure of role deprivation in absolute institutions or the coordinated attempt by the security operatives to bar people of the memoirs to enforce the institution's comprehensive culture. Security operatives personnel, come to armed band an only assertive role- personality closely hinged to the antecedents of chain command they acquire from the institution and when they not fervently serving the draw out they play the security operative role, the difficult it is to change to a civilian position, making peril to their personality continually- prompt and cross- sectional (Goffman, 1961; Braswell and Kushner 2012).

Essentially, when an action- service security operative individual senses a peril to their genuinely imputed impression of individuality, their knowledge in within the operatives as dictatorial institution may impart them unreasonably conceivable to suicide. Succinctly, if we avoid how associations and people come to discern activities in manners that may strengthened or inspire specific manner of conducting oneself and pay attention singularly on the person exposure determinants for suicide- nonetheless left out apprehension as they play their role, we will be outside a complete mechanism collection with which to access the aforementioned communal dilemma.

Virility and Its Fretfulness

The systematization of virility and its possible link to suicide is really an alluring issue outside the particular example of the security operatives. An unrestricted class of people, for instance, Nigerian men, may be forced to a set of compatible cultural commands that can be difficult to getaway throughout perpetuating an impression of individualism. Investigation has discovered that predominance- virility commands are common place in

Nigeria, which may aid clarify the make-believe gender ambiguity of suicide- men commit suicide four to five times more frequently than women, and the current consequential escalation in suicide amidst men especially on third mainland bridge Lagos. Certainly the aforementioned contention matters as social scientists have started examining make-belief deaths of depression due to external motivation, specifically amidst low income persons. The above clarifications shift to a vintage Durkheimian assertion: the disintegration of industrialization has disconnected the community chain and compel to an array of normlessness (Canetto 2017; Masters, Tilstra and Simon 2017).

The above-mentioned suicides are conjectured as egoistic /anomic suicides. However it may in addition be advantageous to investigate the role of inordinate systematization in the pattern of precarious perspectives concerning what it means to me male and the anticipation. Hitherto, the social psychology part of our theory may be specifically significant in that several edges of Nigeria, virility is the singular or highest assertive individuality all intimidation to it or actual or anticipated lack of success to meet commands produce shame. In the aforementioned manner, embarrassment is frequently connected to commands concerning men fame/ reproach, along with the accomplishment of virility. In addition, even though Nigerians do not helve embarrassment properly, men are specifically susceptible to it as command virility prohibits assistance- hunting. Therefore, men are more assuring to immortalize disturbance against others and themselves, while women are more prone to hunt for help. The issue of virility climax that while issues of fatalistic suicide may be more mutual in confined communal frameworks, the role of systematization and culture in suicide is not essentially restricted to meso-equivalent or regional communal ambiences (Gilligan, 2003; Cleary 2012; Throits 2013).

Conclusion

Regardless of the colossal scholarly albatross sociology and suicidology feel bound to Durkheim for his predominantly structural comprehension in suicide, the sociology of suicide is frustrated by un-thriving to acknowledge the part of culture in suicide. As a panacea to this aperture, this article establishes a current cultural-structural- structural theory of suicide that investigates when and in what manner culture act in encouraging exposure to suicide in several locations. Beyond reasoning concerning the cultural ambulation of inordinate systematization, we present a more competent theoretical illustration for suicide that codicils the structure awkward Durkheimian theory. Basically, we contend that cultural systematization lull on the: (a) severity to which a communal entity is assimilated (b) dimension to which culture is articulated and similar for the above-mentioned locations (c) kinds of commands, analogous to establishing suicide existence in the articulated culture (d) extent to which the already stated commands decipher into manifest significations concerning individuality and chain command accomplishment when disregarded back-wash in psychological agony and gloomy communal feeling embarrassment.

Utilizing the aforementioned illustration, we proposed suicide assemblages similar to the ones discovered in the universities, the security operatives' location and the current transfix in virility suicides could be more elucidated by fusing cultural components into experimental analysis. Certainly, by changing our bifocal to in what manner culture systematize apparently and deep down, current procedures for avoidance that hinged on sociological apparatus may be exhibited. In order words, the objective of suicide avoidance should be broadened to accommodate deciding manners to obstruct current cultural arrangements, reevaluate commands and other possible choice significations for individuality and chain of command roles to reduce the pain of lack of success and advance pathway for the safeguarding of individuality. Beyond outlining a procedure to re-visualize systematization, we propose a method that completely changes our center of attraction elsewhere from examining and reexamining Durkheim's nineteenth-century assumptions and against chaperoning the complete procedural and experimental apparatus receptacle that sociology has to convey on a vintage and still thoughtful communal dilemma agnate suicide.

References

Abrutyn, Seth, and Anna S. Mueller (2014a). "The Socioemotional Foundations of Suicide: A Micro sociological View of Durkheim's Suicide". *Sociological Review* 79(2):211-27.

Akikibofori, J.S. (2016). *Classical Sociological Theory.* Port Harcourt: The Glory of the Later House Publishing Company.

Asuni, T. (1962). Suicide in Western Nigeria. *British Medical Journal*. Vol. 6. Pp.1095. UK: University Press of America Washington D.C.

Baller, Robert D., and Kelly, K. Richardson (2002). "Social Integration, Imitation, and the Geographic Patterning of Suicide". *American Sociological Review* 67(6): 873-88.

Blumer, Herbert (1969). *Symbolic Interactionism: Perspective and Method.* Berkeley: University of California Press.

Braswell, Harold, and Howard I. Kushner (2012). "Suicide, Social Integration, and Masculinity in the U.S. Military." *Social Science & Medicine* 74(4):530-36.

Chua, Jocelyn Lim (2014). *In Pursuit of the Good Life: Aspiration and Suicide in Globalizing South India.* Berkeley: University of California Press.

Cleary, Anne (2012). "A Suicidal Action, Emotional Expression, and the Performance of Masculinities." *Social Science and Medicine* 74(4):498-505.

Coleman, James S. (1961). *The Adolescent Society: The Social Life of the Teenager and Its Impact on Education.* Glencoe, IL: The Free Press.

Collins, Randall (2004). *Interaction Ritual Chains.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Colucei, Erminia, and David Lester, eds. (2012). *Suicide and Culture.* Cambridge, MA: Hogrefe.

Coser, Lewis (1974). *Greedy Institutions: Patterns of Undivided Commitment.* New York: Free Press.

Crosnoe, Robert, and Monica Kirkpatrick Johnson (2011). "Research on Adolescence in the Twenty-first Century." *Annual Review of Sociology* 37:439-60.

Douglas, Jack D. (1967). *The Social Meaning of Suicide.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Durkheim, Emile [1893] (1997). *The Division of Labor in Society.* New York: The Free Press.

Durkheim, Emile [1897] (1951). *Suicide: A Study in Sociology.* Glencoe, II: Free Press.

Durkheim, Emile [1912] (1995). *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life.* New York: Free Press.

Eferakeya, A.E. (1984). *Drugs and Suicides attempts in Benin City. British Journal of Psychiatry* Vol. 9:145:70-3. UK: Macmillian Publishers.

Fine, Gary Alan (2010). "The Sociology of the Local: Action and Its Publics." *Sociological Theory* 28(4):355-76.

Gilligan, James (2003). "Shame, Guilt, and Violence." *Social Research* 70(4): 1149-80.

Goffman, Erving (1961). *Asylums: Essays on the Social Situation of Mental Patients and Other Inmates.* Garden City, NY: Anchor Books.

Gould, Madelyn S., Sylvan Wallenstein, and Marjorie Kleinman (1990). "Time-space Clustering of Teenage Suicide." *American Journal of Epidemiology* 131(1):71-78.

Hecht, Jennifer Michael (2013). *Stay: A History of Suicide and Philosophies Against It.* New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Henrich, Joseph (2001). "Cultural Transmission and the Diffusion of Innovations: Adoption Dynamics Indicate That Biased Cultural Transmission Is the Predominate Force of Behavioral Change." *American Anthropologist* 103(4):992-1013.

Hoge, Charles W., and Carl A. Castro (2012). "Preventing Suicides in US Service Members and Veterans Concerns after a Decade of War". *JAMA* 308(7):671-72.

Johnson, Barclay (1965). "Durkheim's One Cause of Suicide." *American Sociological Review* 30(6):875-86.

Kang, Han K., and Tim A. Bullman (2009). "Is There an Epidemic of Suicides among Current and Former U.S. Military Personnel?" *Annals of Epidemiology* 19(10): 757-60.

Kral, Michael (1998). "Suicide and the Internalization of Culture: Three Questions." *Transcultural Psychiatry* 35(2): 221-33.

Kushner, Howard I., and Claire E. Sterk (2005). "The Limits of Social Capital: Durkheim, Suicide, and Social Cohesion." *American Journal of Public Health* 95(7):1-5.

Lawler, Edward J., Shane Thye, and Jeongkoo Yoon (2009). *Social Commitments in a Depersonalized World.* New York: Russell Sage.

Lester, David (2012). "The Cultural Meaning of Suicide: What Does That Mean?" *Omega* 64(1):83-94.

Mohney, Gillian (2016). "Disturbing Suicide Cluster Prompts CDC to Start Investigation in Palo Alto." *ABC News,* February 16. Retrieved January 20, 2018 (http://abcnews.go.com/Health/disturbing-suicide-cluster-prompts-cdc-start-investigation-palo/story?id=36953874).

Mueller, Anna S. (2017). "Does the Media Matter to Suicide?: Examining the Social Dynamics Surrounding Media Reporting on Suicide in a Suicide-prone Community." *Social Science and Medicine* 180:152-59.

Mueller, Anna S., and Seth Abrutyn, (2016). "Adolescents under Pressure: A New Durkheimian Framework for Understanding Adolescent Suicide in a Cohesive Community." *American Sociological Review* 81(5):877-99.

Niezen, Ronald (2009). "Suicide as a Way of Belonging: Causes and Consequences of Cluster Suicides in Aboriginal Communities." Pp. 178-95 in *Healing Traditions: The Mental Health of Aboriginal Peoples in Canada,* edited by L.J. Kirmayer and G. Guthrie Valaskakis. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press.

Nwosu, S.O. Odesanmi, W.O. (2001). Pattern of Suicides in Ille-Ife. *West African Journal of Medicine.* Vol. 3:259-62.

Okulate, G.T. (2001). *Suicide attempts in Nigerian military setting. East African Medical Journal* Vol. 9:493-9. Tanzania: University Press.

Parsons, Talcott, and Robert F. Bales (1955). *Family, Socialization and Interaction Process.* Glencoe, IL: Free Press.

Patterson, Orlando (2014). "Making Sense of Culture." *Annual Review of Sociology* 40:1-30.

Poggi, Gianfranco (2000). *Durkheim.* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Pope, Whitney (1976). *Durkheim's Suicide: A Classic Analyzed.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Ryan, Caitlin, Stephen T. Russell, David Huebner, Rafael Diaz, and Jorge Sanchez (2010). "Family Acceptance in Adolescence and the Health of LGBT Young Adults." *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing* 23(4):205-13.

Small, Mario Luis (2004). *Villa Victoria: The Transformation of Social Capital in a Boston Barrio.* Chicago: University of Chicago.

Stevenson, Lisa (2014). *Life beside Itself: Imagining Care in the Canadian Arctic.* Berkeley: University of California Press.

Thoits, Peggy A. (2013). "Self, Identity, and Mental Health." Pp. 357-77 in *Handbook of the Sociology of Mental Health,* edited by C.S. Aneshenel, J.C. Phelan, and W.R. Avinson. New York: Springer.

Vaisey, Stephen (2009). "Motivation and Justification: A Dual Process Model of Culture in Action." *American Journal of Sociology* 114(6):1675-715.

Wray, Matt, Cynthia Colen, and Bernice A. Pescosolido (2011). "The Sociology of Suicide." *Annual Review of Sociology* 37:505-28.