myresearchgo Volume 1, November Issue 8, 2025, ISSN: 3107-3816 (Online)

STUDY OF STATISTICAL APPROACH SOME RESULTS ON MODERATE

Kunal Meena[1] Dr. Vineeta Basotia[2]

Research Scholar, Departmet of Mathematics, Shri JJT University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

Research Guide, Departmet of Mathematics, Shri JJT University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT

Statistics is a bunch of logical standards and methods that are helpful in arriving at decisions about populaces and cycles when the accessible data is both restricted and variable; that is, statistics is the study of gaining from information. Statistical techniques have been utilized in pretty much every applied field to dissect exploratory information. Statistics, on the other hand, is concerned with situations in which the occurrence of certain occurrences cannot be predicted with any accuracy. It also shows how to organise and summarise facts, as well as how to reach various conclusions using data.

An observation's rank and magnitude are both taken into account while compiling data known as order statistics. Combining classical statistics with rank order statistics, it assesses both the size of the observation and its significance. When it comes to statistics, a crucial parameter in this division has to do with the regular distribution. “Records, generalized order statistics, and dual generalized order statistics have all been used to characterize distributions with modest and continuous expectation values.

Keywords: study, statistical, approach, some results, moderate

INTRODUCTION

Statistics is a bunch of logical standards and methods that are helpful in arriving at decisions about populaces and cycles when the accessible data is both restricted and variable; that is, statistics is the study of gaining from information. Nearly everybody—including corporate presidents, showcasing agents, social researchers, engineers, clinical analysts, and shoppers—manages information these information could be as quarterly marketing projections, percent expansion in adolescent wrongdoing, pollution levels in water tests, endurance rates for patients going through clinical treatment, enumeration figures, or information that figures out which brand of vehicle to buy.

Statistical techniques have been utilized in pretty much every applied field to dissect exploratory information. In any case, shockingly, abuses of statistical strategies are exceptionally normal. One of the significant purposes behind such abuses is that a huge number don't understand that statistical strategies are inferred under specific presumptions; yet, practically speaking, like the old style t – test and the change – ratio tests, are determined under the suppositions of Normality. The normal distribution is quite possibly the main distributions in the statistical deduction) is an urgent parameter of this distribution.

In this way,σ furthermore, the standard deviation (S.D. or on the other hand S.D. as a proportion of dispersion has accepted tremendous significance despite the fact that the Mean) is the most instinctively and rationally characterized proportion of dispersion and δDeviation (M.D. or on the other hand has a few inalienably better merits over the S.D.

STATISTICAL DECISION THEORY

Formal model of the observations

The observations that will be used to make decisions are conceivably arbitrary and based on the state of nature. This probability function is referred to by a few different names in the literature: Observation model (normally in signal/picture handling applications, where x is typically alluded to as the noticed sign or noticed picture); class-conditional probability function (usually in design acknowledgment issues, where the observations x are called highlights).

Formal decision rules

“These are the objectives of choice speculation in the accompanying sense: a choice rule should pick an action from a set An of reasonable choices or exercises in light of the perceptions.” A choice rule is characterized as a capacity (x) from X to A that determines how activities/choices are picked in light of observation(s)x. A bunch of allowed choice guidelines, alluded to as class D, can be given. We'll take a gander at how this is done in more profundity underneath, utilizing both the (alleged) old style (and frequentist) and Bayesian structures. “From a statistical decision standpoint, parameter assessment issues (also known as point assessment issues) are issues in which some obscure scalar quantity (real esteemed) has to be assessed: Take A = S, implying that the decision rule will yield gauges (surmises) of the genuine s; take B = S, implying that the decision rule will yield gauges (surmises) of the genuine s; take C = S, implying that the decision rule will yield gauges (surmises) of the genuine s; take D = S, implying that the decision rule will yield gauges (surmises Plan a misfortune function L(s, a) that expresses how much incorrect gauges will be punished (usually confirming L(s, s) = 0 and L(s, a) > 0 for every an s).”

The decision rule will produce gauges of the state of nature s, indicated by s=(x); obviously, this methodology can be applied to multidimensional conditions of nature (when s is a bunch of questions) . At that time, this category of difficulties included a wide range of sign and picture evaluation issues, including restoration and reproduction.

Decision hypothesis is a bunch of mathematical methodology for settling on the ideal choices in insights. As far as introductory circumstances, choices, and results, a feasible choice issue should have the option to be appropriately portrayed. By and large, rather than being known for distinct, such occasions are portrayed as a progression of probabilistic results. Every result is given a "utility" esteem in view of the chief's inclinations.

The best choice, as indicated by the rationale of the hypothesis, is the one that amplifies projected utility. Therefore, choice hypothesis' motivation is to lessen choices to ordinary calculations. Choice hypothesis (or hypothesis of decision, which isn't to be mistaken for decision hypothesis) is the investigation of a specialist's choices. The two parts of choice hypothesis are standardizing choice hypothesis, which takes a gander at choice results or decides the ideal decisions given limitations and suspicions, and unmistakable choice hypothesis, which takes a gander at how specialists decide.

STATISTICAL METHODS AND MODELS BASED ON QUALITY OF EXPERIENCE DISTRIBUTIONS

The quality of the experience is determined by a number of different things, including the user, the system, the service, the application, and the setting. It takes a great deal of introspective investigation to find these components and determine how they affect the QoE of a system. Using the ACR scale, you may rate your overall user experience from 1 to 5, with 1 being the worst and 5 representing the best (excellent).

The term Absolute Category Rating stems from this. In addition to reflecting an ordinal scale, the various categories may be categorized according to the Quality of Experience (QoE). The rating scale is not an interval scale, despite the numerical values associated with the categories, since the scale's elements cannot be used in mathematical operations. So, although certain numerical disparities seem to be equal, the equivalent variances across categories may not be.

In addition, each participant in a QoE research may have different variations across the rating categories. An example of this may be seen in Figure 1.4, where the categories are shown as colored boxes. ACR's 5-point rating system isn't the only one that suffers from this problem.”

Quality of Experience (QoE) studies may use continuous rating scales, which allow participants to rate a continuous score within a given range. Because most standards allow for both ordinal and non-ordinal rating scales, the aforementioned problem may not apply to these continuous metrics. However, ordinal rating methods are often utilized in QoE research. For example, in addition to the five-point ACR scale, there are a wide range of discrete rating scales with various options, such as the previously mentioned binary acceptance

myresearchgo Volume 1, November Issue 8, 2025, ISSN: 3107-3816 (Online)

scales with only two options and the more complex rating scales with many options, such as the nine- or eleven-point rating scales.

In addition to the DCR scale and color-coded scales, there are other numerical and verbal scales that may be used in place of the numerical or color-coded scale. While all scales are effectively the same 5-point ACR scale, their QoE ramifications may be minimal.

The findings of QoE studies continue to be hampered by the use of ordinal scales. Using the arithmetic mean on a non-interval rating scale in a subjective user survey does not provide an interpretable number. Ordinal scales can only compute the mode and median of ratings as well as their 50th percentiles, which means that ordinal scales can't account for the central tendency of 50% of the ratings being more or lower than the median. For example, this might lead to systematic mistakes like the one shown above if it implies that all variances in experience across adjacent QoE rating categories are the same."

CHARACTERIZATION THEOREMS BASED ON RECORD STATISTICS "Conditional expectations of record statistics conditioned on a nonadjacent record characterise a family of continuous probability distributions. Nagaraja (1977), Franco and Ruiz (1997), Wu and Lee (2001), Raqab (2002), Athar et al. (2003), and Gupta and Ahsanullah have all studied characterization of distributions by conditional expectancies of record values (2004). Lee (2003) defined the Pareto distribution as the conditional expectation of two records, Xu (s) and Xu (r), conditioned on Xu (m), with s =r +1, r + 2, and r + 3. We've defined a general type of distribution in this section."

$$\bar{F}(x) = [ah(x) + b]^c.$$

By contingent assumption for Xu(s) and Xu(r) molded on Xu(m) for all s $> r \geq m$, hence broadening the aftereffects of Lee (2003).

Theorem 5.5: "Let X be a totally constant irregular variable with the dfF(x) and the pdf $f(x)$ on the help (α, β), where α and β might be limited or endless." Then, at that point, for $m \leq r < s$

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left[h\left(X_{u(s)}\right) \mid X_{u(m)} = x\right] \\ = \quad &a^*_{s|r} E\left[h\left(X_{u(r)}\right) \mid X_{u(m)} = x\right] + b^*_{s|r} \end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$F(x) = 1 - [ah(x) + b]^c.$$

Where

$$a_{s|r}^* = \left(\frac{c}{c+1}\right)^{s-r} \text{ and } b_{s|r}^* = -\frac{b}{a}\left(1 - a_{s|r}^*\right)$$

Proof: “In view of Athar et al. (2003), we have”

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[h\left(X_{u(s)}\right) \mid X_{u(m)} = x\right] &= a_{s|m}^* h(x) + b_{s|m}^* \\ &= a_{s|m}^* \left(h(x) + \frac{b}{a}\right) - \frac{b}{a}_{(5} \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{s|m}^* &= \left(\frac{c}{c+1}\right)^{s-m} \\ &= \left(\frac{c}{c+1}\right)^{s-r} \left(\frac{c}{c+1}\right)^{r-m} = a_{s|r}^* a_{r|m}^* \end{aligned}$$

And

$$b_{s|m}^* = -\frac{b}{a}\left(1 - a_{s|m}^*\right)$$

Proceeding as in the Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$E\left[h\left(X_{u(s)}\right) \mid X_{u(m)} = x\right] = a_{s|r}^* E\left[h\left(X_{u(r)}\right) \mid X_{u(m)} = x\right] + b_{s|r}^*$$

what's more, consequently the fundamental part

For the adequacy part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\Gamma(s-m)} \int_x^\beta h(y)[-\ln \bar{F}(y) + \ln \bar{F}(x)]^{s-m-1} f(y)dy \\ &= a_{s|r}^* \frac{1}{\Gamma(r-m)} \int_x^\beta h(y)[-\ln \bar{F}(y) + \ln \bar{F}(x)]^{r-m-1} f(y)dy \\ &+ b_{s|r}^* \bar{F}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Separating (r − m) times both the sides of (5.27) w.r.t. x, we get

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s-r)}\int_x^\beta h(y)[-\ln \bar{F}(y)+\ln \bar{F}(x)]^{s-r-1}\frac{f(y)}{\bar{F}(x)}dy$$
$$= a^*_{s|r}h(x)+b^*_{s|r} = g_{s|r}(x)$$

Utilizing the result

$$E\left[h\left(X_{u(s)}\right) \mid X_{u(r)}=x\right] = g_{s|r}(x)$$

We get

$$\bar{F}(x) = e^{-\int_\alpha^x A(t)dt}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \frac{g'_{s|r}(t)}{[g_{s|r}(t)-g_s \mid r+1(t)]} \\ &= \frac{a^*_{s|r}h'(t)}{\left[a^*_{s|r}h(t)+b^*_{s|r}-a^*_{s|r+1}h(t)-b^*_{s|r+1}\right]} \\ &= -\frac{ach'(t)}{[ah(t)+b]} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\bar{F}(x) = [ah(x)+b]^c, \quad x\in(\alpha,\beta)$$

and hence the Theorem.

Remark 5.4:“We get the result obtained by Franco and Ruiz (1995,1997), Ahsanullah and Wesolowski (1998), Dembiska and Wesolowski (2000), Athar et al. (2003), Khan and Alzaid (2003) at m = r, h(x) = x. (2004).”

Observation 5.5: Lee (2003) demonstrated that for the Pareto distribution at s = r +1, r + 2, and r + 3.

$$E\left[h\left(X_{u(s)}\right) \mid X_{u(m)}=x\right] = \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}\right)^{s-r} E\left[h\left(X_{u(r)}\right) \mid X_{u(m)}=x\right]$$

if and only if

$$\bar{F}(x) = x^{-\theta}, x > 1, \theta > 0, \theta \neq 1$$

This can be attained by putting $a = 1, b = 0, c = -\theta, h(x) = x$ at $s = r + 1, r + 2$ and $r + 3$ in the Theorem 5.5.

Remark 5.6: At

$$a = -\frac{a}{c}, b = 1, c \to \infty$$
$$\bar{F}(x) = [ah(x) + b]^c \to e^{-ah(x)}$$

With

$$a^*_{s|r} = 1, b^*_{s|r} = \left(\frac{s - r}{a}\right)$$

also, the outcome lessens to as acquired by Khan et al. (2010b). Portraying results for different distributions might be acquired by appropriately picking a,b,c and h(x) as given

CONCLUSION

One of the most fundamental estimations of scattering is mean deviation, which still up in the air. We will recommend a Normal-like circulation with mean deviation as the central boundary of scattering in this section. We examined the proposed conveyance's different highlights. The Central Limit Theorem and Chebychev's disparity in view of mean commitment were likewise inspected by Naik and Desai (2011).

Factual strategies have been used to inspect exploratory information in for all intents and purposes each appropriate discipline. Sadly, measurable methodologies are oftentimes twisted. A huge number are ignorant that measurable methodology are produced under specific presumptions; regardless, in all actuality, factual strategies, for example, the traditional t-test and change proportion tests are inferred under the supposition of Normality. Be that as it may, as Geary (1947) and Scheffe (1959) bring up, the suspicion of predictability isn't precise 100% of the time. What are the effects of Normality on the Normal - hypothesis assessors and theory testing strategy, for instance?

Numerous people know about the expression insights. It alludes to the recording of mathematical information, for example, day by day stock trade values, yearly business and joblessness rates in a country, every day precipitation during the rainstorm season, etc. Insights, then again, is worried about circumstances in which the event of specific events can't be anticipated with any exactness. It likewise tells the best way to sort out and sum up realities, as well as how to reach different inferences utilizing information. "Insights" comes from the Latin word "status," which in a real sense signifies "state."

1. **REFERENCE**

   Ghosh, Debamita. (2012). Order Statistics Paper Extension. 10.13140/RG.2.2.26774.65603.
2. Guido Consonni et al (2018),” Prior Distributions for Objective Bayesian Analysis”, International Society for Bayesian Analysis
3. Gupta, Ramesh & Gupta, Rameshwar (2007) Proportional reversed hazard rate model and its applications. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference 137 3525-3536 10.1016/j.jspi.2007.03.029
4. Jerry A. Hausman (2011),” Heteroskedasticity-Robust Inference In Finite Samples”,
5. Krishnamoorthy, K. (2006). Handbook of Statistical Distributions with Applications 10.1201/b19191
6. Lauren A. Kennedy (2017),” Not every credible interval is credible: Evaluating robustness in the presence of contamination in Bayesian data analysis”,
7. M. C. Jones (2004),” Families of distributions arising from distributions of order statistics”,
8. Makoto Okada (2020),” Long-tailed distributions of inter-event times as mixtures of exponential distributions”,**R. Soc. open sci.**7191643191643
9. Markus Kuba (2014),” On Moment Sequences And Mixed Poisson Distributions”,
10. Nja, M. & Esu, E. (2004) Factorial moment -generating function and the Pascal distribution. Global Journal of Mathematical Sciences 2 10.4314/gjmas.v2i1.21331
11. Perret-Gentil, Cédric & Victoria-Feser, Maria-Pia (2005) Robust Mean-Variance Portfolio Selection SSRN Electronic Journal 10.2139/ssrn.721509
12. Qingwei Liu (2020),” On moderate deviations in Poisson approximation”,
13. R, Muthukrishnan & Myilsamy, Radha (2008) certain results on M-estimator for scale and location parameter
14. Rehab H. Al Sheikh Ahmed (2012),” on some distributions of generalized order statistics”,