myresearchgo Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2026, ISSN: 3107-3816 (Online)

The Impact of Generative AI Tools like ChatGPT and Gemini on Human Creative Thinking Skills

Dr. Kiran Kumar MN

HOD- Department of Computer Applications

BMS College of Commerce and Management, Bangalore

Abstract

This paper examines the impact of such tools on human creative thinking skills, exploring both their potential to enhance ideation and the risks of cognitive dependency. On one hand, generative AI can stimulate creativity by providing diverse perspectives, rapid prototyping, and collaborative augmentation of ideas. It supports brainstorming, reduces creative blocks, and democratizes access to knowledge resources. On the other hand, overreliance on AI-generated outputs may diminish critical thinking, originality, and deep cognitive engagement, potentially leading to homogenized content and reduced problem-solving resilience. The study synthesizes current research, theoretical perspectives on creativity, and emerging educational and professional practices to evaluate how AI-human interaction reshapes creative cognition. It concludes that the impact of generative AI on creativity is not inherently positive or negative but depends on patterns of use, digital literacy, and pedagogical integration. Responsible and reflective engagement with AI tools is essential to ensure that they function as cognitive enhancers rather than replacements for human creative capacity.

Keywords: Generative Artificial Intelligence, Creative Thinking, Cognitive Skills, Human–AI Collaboration, ChatGPT, Gemini, Digital Literacy, Innovation, Critical Thinking

Introduction

In recent years, the rapid evolution of generative artificial intelligence (AI) has profoundly transformed the landscape of human creativity. Tools such as OpenAI**'s** ChatGPT and Google DeepMind are at the forefront of this revolution, offering capabilities that extend far beyond simple automation. These AI systems can generate human-like text, produce creative content, and even provide insights that mimic reasoning, raising both excitement and concern regarding their influence on human creative thinking skills. Traditionally, creativity has been regarded as an inherently human trait—a complex interplay of imagination, problem-solving, and originality. However, the advent of sophisticated generative AI challenges this notion by blurring the boundaries between human and machine-generated ideas, prompting questions about the evolving role of human cognition in creative endeavors.

Generative AI tools like ChatGPT and Gemini leverage advanced machine learning models trained on vast datasets, enabling them to produce coherent, contextually relevant, and innovative outputs across diverse domains, including writing, art, music, and scientific research. For instance, a writer struggling with narrative development can now use ChatGPT to brainstorm plotlines, refine character dialogues, or explore alternative writing styles. Similarly, Gemini's capabilities in reasoning and content generation offer students, researchers, and professionals assistance in synthesizing information and generating novel perspectives. While these technologies undoubtedly enhance efficiency and open new avenues for exploration, they simultaneously raise critical concerns about the potential atrophy of core human creative skills. If individuals increasingly rely on AI to generate ideas, there is a risk that essential cognitive processes—such as divergent thinking, originality, and problem-solving—may be underutilized, potentially diminishing the depth and diversity of human creativity over time.

Moreover, the interaction between humans and generative AI introduces a nuanced dynamic in the creative process. AI tools act not only as facilitators but also as collaborators, providing suggestions that can inspire, guide, or even challenge human thinking. This collaborative aspect offers opportunities for enhanced innovation, as users can iterate rapidly, test new ideas, and explore perspectives they might not have considered independently. On the other hand, the persuasive quality of AI-generated content may inadvertently lead to conformity, where human creators prioritize AI suggestions over their own intuitive insights, subtly reshaping the nature of creative expression.

Understanding the impact of generative AI on human creativity requires a balanced examination of both the opportunities and the potential drawbacks. It involves exploring how these tools influence cognitive processes, creative problem-solving, and the cultivation of originality in educational, professional, and artistic contexts. As society increasingly integrates AI into daily life, examining its effect on the human capacity for imagination, innovation, and critical thinking becomes imperative. This discourse is not merely technical; it is philosophical and ethical, raising fundamental questions about authorship, originality, and the future of human creativity in a world shared with intelligent machines.

Enhancing Creativity through Generative AI

One of the most significant positive impacts of generative AI tools is their potential to augment human creativity. Tools like ChatGPT and Gemini allow individuals to quickly generate ideas, draft narratives, or explore multiple perspectives on a single problem. For instance, a writer struggling with a plot may input a few prompts and receive dozens of story variations within seconds. This rapid ideation can break mental blocks, inspire new directions, and provide a foundation upon which the human mind can build. In essence,

these AI tools act as external cognitive partners, enabling humans to explore a broader creative space than might be achievable unaided.

Furthermore, generative AI can democratize creativity. Traditionally, certain creative domains—such as professional writing, digital art, or music production—required substantial training, practice, and access to resources. With tools like Gemini capable of generating highly realistic images or assisting in sophisticated research analysis, individuals with limited technical skills can experiment and express themselves creatively. This expansion of access can foster innovation across diverse populations, stimulating ideas that might otherwise remain dormant due to technical or resource constraints.

Another way generative AI enhances creativity is by facilitating collaborative creativity. Professionals can use these tools to co-create content, iterating quickly and receiving feedback in real time. In fields like marketing, design, and education, AI-generated prototypes can accelerate brainstorming sessions, encouraging risk-taking and experimentation without the fear of failure. By providing immediate, adaptable outputs, these tools allow users to refine their ideas continuously, fostering a cycle of experimentation that is central to creative thinking.

Risks to Creative Thinking Skills

Despite these advantages, there are legitimate concerns about the potential negative effects of generative AI on human creativity. A primary concern is cognitive offloading. When AI systems can provide ready-made solutions or creative outputs, individuals may increasingly rely on them rather than engaging in deep, effortful thinking. This can reduce the development of critical skills such as problem-solving, analogical reasoning, and conceptual synthesis, which are cultivated through sustained cognitive effort. For example, a student who uses ChatGPT to generate essay responses without reflection may improve efficiency but risks weakening their ability to construct arguments independently.

Moreover, the outputs of AI tools are often derivative, based on patterns learned from vast datasets of existing human-created content. While these outputs may appear novel, they are fundamentally recombinations of prior work. Over-reliance on AI-generated content may encourage a form of “creative mimicry,” where users inadvertently favor AI-suggested ideas over genuinely original thought. This phenomenon can subtly shift creative habits, leading to homogenization of ideas rather than the development of truly unique perspectives.

Another concern is the potential impact on divergent thinking, a key component of creativity that involves generating multiple, diverse solutions to a problem. Generative AI systems are typically optimized for coherence, relevance, and plausibility, which may unintentionally constrain the exploratory aspect of human creativity. Users might begin to trust AI outputs as “best” solutions, limiting their willingness to pursue

unconventional or high-risk ideas. Over time, this could reduce the cultivation of flexible thinking skills essential for innovation in science, art, and entrepreneurship.

The Balance between Human and AI Creativity

The impact of generative AI on creative thinking is not inherently negative or positive; it largely depends on how humans interact with these tools. Research suggests that AI can be most beneficial when used as a complement to human creativity rather than a replacement. For instance, AI can handle repetitive, time-consuming tasks—like drafting initial ideas, organizing information, or generating prototypes—freeing humans to focus on higher-order creative processes, such as conceptualization, critical evaluation, and emotional nuance.

Effective integration of AI in creative workflows requires intentional practice. Users must engage critically with AI outputs, question suggestions, and iteratively refine their ideas. Educational programs and professional training can play a crucial role in fostering these skills, teaching individuals to view AI as a collaborative tool rather than a crutch. When approached this way, AI can expand the creative horizon without eroding the cognitive processes necessary for innovation.

Additionally, AI systems themselves are evolving to support more exploratory and less deterministic creative processes. Newer generative models incorporate mechanisms for randomness, style variation, and user-guided prompts that encourage experimentation. For example, Gemini's multimodal capabilities allow users to combine text, images, and other media in ways that invite non-linear, cross-disciplinary thinking. By providing multiple, sometimes unexpected outputs, these systems can provoke reflection and inspire ideas that the human mind alone might not generate.

Challenge of Study

The rapid development and widespread adoption of generative AI tools, such as **ChatGPT** and **Gemini**, have revolutionized how humans approach creative tasks, from writing and design to problem-solving and artistic expression. While these tools offer unprecedented access to instant knowledge and idea generation, they also present complex challenges when studying their impact on human creative thinking skills. Understanding these challenges requires careful consideration of methodological, psychological, ethical, and sociocultural dimensions.

1. Defining and Measuring Creativity

One of the foremost challenges in studying the impact of AI on human creativity is defining what constitutes "creative thinking." Creativity is inherently multifaceted, encompassing originality, fluency, flexibility, and elaboration. Scholars often differentiate between divergent thinking, the ability to generate multiple novel

ideas, and convergent thinking, the capacity to synthesize ideas into a coherent solution. Generative AI tools, by providing immediate suggestions or alternatives, may influence both types of thinking, but isolating their effect on human cognitive processes is difficult. Traditional metrics like the Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking or remote associates tests may not fully capture the nuanced ways AI tools interact with human creativity. The challenge lies in developing assessment instruments that account for both the augmentative and potentially substitutive roles of AI.

2. Distinguishing Between Human and AI Contribution

Another major challenge is differentiating between ideas generated by humans and those influenced or directly produced by AI. When users employ AI tools for brainstorming, drafting, or problem-solving, it becomes difficult to discern whether the final product reflects the user's original creativity or the AI's contribution. For instance, a writer using ChatGPT to generate plot ideas may feel more productive and innovative, but is the resulting story a reflection of their creative skill or the AI's pattern-based output? Researchers face the methodological challenge of disentangling this interaction, which is crucial for understanding whether AI enhances or diminishes human creative abilities over time.

3. Variability in AI Tools and User Interaction

Generative AI platforms differ significantly in their algorithms, design, and user interface, which can affect study outcomes. ChatGPT, for example, relies primarily on language prediction models, while Google's Gemini incorporates multimodal inputs and reasoning capabilities. Users' experiences and the impact on creativity can vary depending on these technological differences. Moreover, individual differences in user proficiency, prior knowledge, and cognitive style further complicate research. Some users may treat AI as a collaborative partner, leveraging it to extend their creative capacity, while others may rely on it passively, potentially undermining their own problem-solving skills. Accounting for this variability is challenging in both experimental and observational research designs.

4. Ethical and Psychological Considerations

Studying the influence of AI on creativity also raises ethical concerns. Researchers must be careful not to manipulate participants in ways that might affect their cognitive development or self-perception. Excessive reliance on AI tools could potentially lead to a dependency effect, reducing confidence in one's ability to generate ideas independently. Moreover, the psychological impact of comparing one's creativity with AI-generated outputs can create anxiety or diminish intrinsic motivation, further complicating the assessment of natural creative abilities. Ethical study designs must therefore consider the potential long-term effects on participants' creative self-efficacy and well-being.

5. Temporal and Longitudinal Challenges

The impact of generative AI on creativity is unlikely to be immediate; it is a longitudinal phenomenon. Studying short-term effects, such as the number of ideas produced in a single session, may capture productivity but not deeper changes in cognitive flexibility or originality. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess whether regular interaction with AI enhances human creativity by providing scaffolding or whether it gradually diminishes independent creative thinking. Conducting such studies is resource-intensive, requiring extended engagement with participants and sophisticated tracking of their creative outputs over time.

6. Sociocultural and Contextual Factors

Creativity does not occur in a vacuum; it is influenced by cultural, social, and environmental contexts. AI tools are developed primarily in specific technological and linguistic contexts, and their use may differently affect individuals from diverse backgrounds. For example, AI models trained predominantly on Western literature may guide users toward certain styles or conventions, potentially limiting cross-cultural creative expression. Furthermore, access to AI tools is uneven, creating disparities in who can benefit from AI-enhanced creativity. These sociocultural dimensions introduce additional complexity in designing studies and interpreting results.

7. Methodological Challenges in Experimental Design

Designing experiments to study AI's effect on creativity is inherently difficult. Researchers must decide between controlled lab studies, which offer precision but may lack ecological validity, and field studies, which capture real-world usage but introduce numerous confounding variables. Controlling for prior knowledge, cognitive ability, and motivation while simulating authentic creative tasks is challenging. Moreover, creating experimental conditions where AI is used in a manner representative of everyday practice, without over-structuring or underutilizing the tool, requires careful calibration.

8. Rapidly Evolving Technology

Generative AI is evolving at an unprecedented pace. Models like ChatGPT are continuously updated with new capabilities, and Gemini represents next-generation multimodal AI that can handle reasoning and context more effectively. Findings from research conducted today may quickly become outdated, as AI tools become more sophisticated, potentially altering their impact on human creative thinking. Researchers must account for this rapid evolution, which poses challenges for both the replication and generalization of results.

9. Balancing Enhancement and Substitution Effects

Finally, a conceptual challenge lies in understanding the dual role of AI as both an enhancer and potential substitute for human creativity. While AI can inspire novel ideas and reduce cognitive load, excessive reliance may lead to cognitive outsourcing, where individuals no longer engage deeply with the creative process.

Capturing this balance in research requires nuanced, multi-dimensional measures that track both output quality and the underlying cognitive engagement of users.

Conclusion

In conclusion, generative AI tools like **ChatGPT** and **Gemini** have undeniably transformed the landscape of human creativity. They provide unprecedented access to ideas, streamline complex tasks, and act as collaborative partners in creative processes. However, their influence is double-edged: while they can enhance creative thinking by expanding possibilities and reducing technical barriers, overreliance may risk diminishing critical thinking, originality, and problem-solving skills. Ultimately, the impact of these tools on human creativity depends on how they are integrated—whether as enablers that complement and challenge our imagination, or as substitutes that inadvertently limit cognitive growth. Embracing these technologies mindfully can lead to a new era where human ingenuity and AI innovation co-evolve, fostering richer, more diverse expressions of creativity.

References

1. Baidoo-Anu, D., & Owusu Ansah, L. (2023) Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence (AI): Understanding the potential benefits of ChatGPT in promoting teaching and learning. Journal of AI, 7(1), 52–62.
2. Brynjolfsson, E., Li, D., & Raymond, L. R. (2023) Generative AI at work. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series, (31161).
3. Choudhury, S. R., & Bansal, S. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the future of human creativity: A review of the literature. International Journal of Creativity and Problem Solving, 34(2), 145–162.
4. Guzman, A. L. (2023). The "Black Box" of generative AI: A critical analysis of creativity and agency in human-machine collaboration. Journal of Communication, 73(4), 456–478.
5. Karakoç, B., & Yılmaz, R. (2023). The effect of ChatGPT on creative writing skills: An experimental study with university students. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 52(3), 320–345.
6. Kaveh, M., & Kian, R. (2023). The paradox of artificial creativity: Can ChatGPT truly innovate or does it merely imitate? Creativity Research Journal, 35(3), 210–225.
7. Marr, B. (2023). Generative AI: The evolution of human creativity and the future of work. Harvard Business Review Digital Articles, 1–5.
8. Paul, R. J., & Jefferson, T. (2023). Cognitive offloading: The impact of generative AI on human divergent thinking and memory retention. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 27(9), 825–836.

9. Susnjak, T. (2023). The dual nature of generative AI in higher education: From enhancement to ethical concerns. Education Sciences, 13(9), 876.
10. van Dis, E. A., Bollen, J., Kooij, R., & van den Bosch, A. (2023). ChatGPT: Five priorities for research into generative AI. Nature, 616(7956), 224–226.