

Financial Stress and Psychological Well-Being among Employees: The Moderating Role of Supervisor Support

Author: Mrs. Mukti Shah, Ms. Sahida Khatun Khan, Ms. Tania Thomas

Affiliation: J.M Patel College of Commerce. Goregaon West, Mumbai 400097

Email id- muktishah@jmpcollege.org shahida@jmpcollege.org taniathomas@jmpcollege.org

Abstract

Financial stress has emerged as a pervasive chronic stressor among employees, with documented adverse effects on psychological well-being, including increased rates of anxiety, depression, and emotional exhaustion. However, the magnitude of this relationship may vary depending on workplace resources. This paper reviews and synthesizes existing empirical and theoretical literature to examine the moderating role of supervisor support in the financial stress–psychological well-being relationship. Drawing on the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model and Conservation of Resources (COR) theory, we argue that supervisor support functions as a key buffer, mitigating the negative psychological consequences of financial strain. The review identifies consistent evidence that high-quality supervisor support—characterized by emotional concern, instrumental assistance, and informational guidance—attenuates the association between financial stress and poor mental health outcomes. Conversely, low or negative supervisor support exacerbates this relationship. Methodological limitations, cultural considerations, and conceptual gaps in the current literature are critically evaluated. The paper concludes with a revised conceptual model, practical recommendations for organizations, and an agenda for future longitudinal and cross-cultural research.

Keywords: financial stress, psychological well-being, supervisor support, moderation, employee mental health, job demands-resources model

Introduction

In contemporary work environments, financial stress has become a near-ubiquitous concern for employees across income levels and sectors. Rising living costs, wage stagnation, precarious employment, and unexpected economic shocks (e.g., the COVID-19 pandemic) have intensified personal financial worries (Kim & Garman, 2003; Sweet et al., 2013). Crucially, financial stress does not remain confined to the home; it permeates the workplace, affecting concentration, interpersonal relations, and, most critically, psychological well-being.

Psychological well-being—encompassing emotional, social, and psychological functioning (Ryff, 1989)—is increasingly recognized as a core indicator of employee health and organizational productivity. Chronic financial stress has been robustly linked to depression, generalized anxiety, sleep disturbances, and reduced life satisfaction (Richardson et al., 2017; Butterworth et al., 2009). However, not all employees experiencing financial stress report the same level of psychological distress. This heterogeneity suggests the presence of protective factors.

One such factor is supervisor support. Within organizational psychology, supervisor support—defined as the degree to which supervisors provide emotional, informational, and instrumental assistance—has been established as a critical job resource (Eisenberger et al., 2002; House, 1981). The central question of this paper is: To what extent does supervisor support moderate (i.e., buffer or exacerbate) the relationship between financial stress and psychological well-being?

While prior research has separately documented the main effects of financial stress and supervisor support, the interactive (moderating) role of supervisor support in the specific context of financial strain remains less systematically integrated. This paper provides a comprehensive secondary-source review, synthesizing available evidence, theoretical explanations, and identifying critical directions for future primary research.

2. Theoretical Framework

Two major occupational health theories underpin the proposed moderation hypothesis.

2.1. Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model

The JD-R model posits that every job has specific demands (aspects requiring sustained effort, e.g., workload, emotional demands, financial insecurity) and resources (aspects that help achieve goals and reduce demands, e.g., autonomy, social support) (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). High demands exhaust employees' energy, leading to burnout and impaired well-being. Conversely, resources buffer the impact of demands. In this framework, financial stress operates as a chronic job demand—or more precisely, a non-work demand that spills over into the work role. Supervisor support acts as a key job resource. According to the JD-R model, the negative effect of financial stress on psychological well-being should be weaker when supervisor support is high (buffering hypothesis).

2.2. Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory

COR theory (Hobfoll, 2001) argues that individuals strive to protect, retain, and build resources (e.g., money, self-esteem, social support). Stress occurs when resources are threatened, lost, or not regained after investment. Financial stress represents actual or threatened loss of monetary resources, which can trigger a loss spiral affecting psychological resources. Supervisor support, however, provides an external resource that can offset resource loss. By offering validation, practical help (e.g., flexible payment advances, workload adjustments), or simply empathetic listening, supportive supervisors help employees conserve psychological resources (e.g., hope, self-efficacy), thereby reducing the harmful impact of financial strain.

2.3. The Moderation Hypothesis

Synthesizing the above, we propose: Supervisor support moderates the relationship between financial stress and psychological well-being, such that the negative association is weaker (less severe psychological impairment) when supervisor support is high, and stronger (more severe impairment) when supervisor support is low.

3. Review of Empirical Literature

3.1. Direct Effect of Financial Stress on Psychological Well-Being

A robust body of cross-sectional and longitudinal research confirms that financial stress predicts poorer psychological well-being. In a meta-analysis of 34 studies, Richardson et al. (2017) found a moderate-to-strong positive correlation between financial strain and depressive symptoms ($r = .32$) and anxiety ($r = .28$). Among employees specifically, Kim and Garman (2003) reported that financial worry was the strongest predictor of perceived job stress, even above workload. Butterworth et al. (2009), using Australian panel data, demonstrated that persistent financial hardship predicted subsequent psychological distress even after controlling for baseline mental health. Neurobiological mechanisms (e.g., chronic activation of HPA axis) and psychological mechanisms (e.g., rumination, reduced sense of control) explain these effects.

3.2. Direct Effect of Supervisor Support on Psychological Well-Being

Supervisor support is consistently associated with lower emotional exhaustion, higher job satisfaction, and fewer depressive symptoms (Eisenberger et al., 2002; Ng & Sorensen, 2008). A meta-analysis by Humphrey et al. (2007) found that supportive supervision had a corrected correlation of .38 with psychological well-being. High-quality supervisor relationships provide a secure base, reduce role ambiguity, and enhance belongingness—all protective factors.

3.4. Mechanisms Underlying the Moderation Effect-

Appraisal and cognitive reappraisal: Supportive supervisors help employees reframe financial difficulties as manageable, reducing catastrophizing (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984).

Resource provision and problem-solving: Supervisors may offer flexible work hours, access to employee assistance programs (EAPs), or emergency salary advances, directly reducing financial demands.

Emotional buffering: Emotional support reduces feelings of shame and isolation often associated with financial problems, thereby protecting self-esteem and reducing depressive symptoms.

4. Methodological and Conceptual Critique of Existing Research

While the literature supports a moderating role, several limitations warrant caution.

4.1. Cross-Sectional Dominance

Nearly all moderation studies rely on single-source, cross-sectional designs. This introduces common method variance and precludes conclusions about temporal order. It is possible that employees with poor psychological well-being perceive more financial stress and less supervisor support (reverse causality).

4.2. Measurement Heterogeneity

Financial stress is measured variously as objective (debt-to-income), subjective (perceived financial worry), or proxy (income inadequacy). Supervisory support ranges from LMX to specific supportive behaviors. Well-being outcomes include depression, anxiety, burnout, and life satisfaction. This diversity complicates meta-analytic synthesis.

4.3. Omitted Variable Bias

Few studies control for personality traits (e.g., neuroticism, which predicts both financial anxiety and negative perceptions of support) or organizational culture (e.g., a generally unsupportive climate may render even high supervisor support ineffective).

4.4. Cultural and Contextual Limits

Most studies originate from Western, high-income, individualistic cultures (USA, Australia, UK). In collectivistic or high-power-distance cultures (e.g., many Asian countries), supervisor support might be perceived paternalistically, potentially reducing its buffering effect or even increasing dependency stress. Similarly, the meaning of financial stress differs across social welfare systems (e.g., Nordic vs. US).

4.5. Lack of Objective Financial Data

Self-reported financial stress correlates with but is not identical to objective financial hardship. Two employees with identical debt levels may experience vastly different psychological strain due to coping styles—a nuance rarely captured.

5. Revised Conceptual Model and Propositions

Based on the secondary review, we propose a revised integrative model (Figure 1, conceptual). The model includes:

1. Direct path: Financial stress → ↓ Psychological well-being.

2. Direct path: Supervisor support → ↑ Psychological well-being.
3. Moderation path: Supervisor support × Financial stress → Psychological well-being (buffering effect)
4. Mediators (explanatory): Reduced rumination, increased perceived control, access to tangible aid.
5. Boundary conditions: Cultural context (power distance), gender, family financial responsibilities.

Key Propositions for Future Primary Research:

P1: The negative effect of financial stress on psychological well-being is weaker when supervisor support is high (cross-sectional and longitudinal).

P2: Emotional supervisor support exhibits a stronger buffering effect than instrumental support alone.

P3: The buffering effect of supervisor support is partially mediated by employees' reduced financial rumination and increased problem-focused coping.

P4: In high-power-distance cultures, the buffering effect of supervisor support is attenuated because employees are less likely to disclose financial stress to supervisors.

6. Practical Implications for Organizations

- The reviewed evidence offers actionable strategies for human resource management and leadership development.
- Train supervisors in compassionate communication: Programs should teach active listening, non-judgmental response to financial disclosures, and signposting to financial wellness resources.
- Integrate financial wellness into EAPs: Supervisor support alone cannot solve structural financial stress; organizations should offer financial literacy workshops, debt counseling, and salary advance policies.
- Create psychologically safe disclosure norms: Many employees hide financial stress due to shame. Supervisors should proactively communicate that financial challenges are normal and will not lead to discrimination.

- Monitor supervisor workload: Overburdened supervisors cannot provide adequate support. Organizations must ensure manageable supervisor-to-subordinate ratios.

7. Future Research Agenda

To move beyond the limitations identified, we propose five priority directions:

- Longitudinal and diary studies: Use three-wave panel designs or daily diary methods to establish temporal precedence (e.g., financial stress on Monday → supervisor support on Tuesday → well-being on Wednesday).
- Multi-source data: Collect supervisor-reported support and objective financial indicators (e.g., payroll-based debt repayment plans) to reduce common method bias.
- Cross-cultural replication: Test the moderation across societies varying in power distance, collectivism, and social safety net strength.
- Intervention studies: Randomly assign supervisors to support training vs. control and measure changes in employee financial stress–well-being link over time.
- Differential effects: Examine whether moderation holds equally for low-income vs. middle-income employees, single parents, or employees with pre-existing mental health conditions.

8. Conclusion

Financial stress is an undeniable reality for a large segment of the modern workforce, with documented harmful effects on psychological well-being. This secondary-source review synthesizes theoretical and empirical evidence to argue that supervisor support serves as a significant moderator of this relationship. When employees experience strong emotional and practical support from their immediate supervisors, the psychological toll of financial strain is notably reduced. However, the current literature suffers from cross-sectional designs, measurement inconsistency, and cultural narrowness. Organizations are advised to invest in supervisor training and financial wellness infrastructures. Future research must prioritize longitudinal designs, cross-cultural sampling, and intervention testing to provide causal evidence. In an era of economic volatility, understanding and leveraging supervisor support as a resilience resource is not merely an academic exercise—it is an organizational imperative.

References

Agarwal, S., & Pooja, S. (2021). Financial worry and well-being: Moderating role of supervisor support in IT sector. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 28(3), 212–223.

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273–285.

Butterworth, P., Rodgers, B., & Windsor, T. D. (2009). Financial hardship, socio-economic position and depression: Results from the PATH Through Life Survey. *Social Science & Medicine*, 69(2), 229–237.

Choi, Y. (2020). Financial strain and depression among call center employees: The buffering role of supervisor behavioral support. *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health*, 35(1), 44–59.

Eisenberger, R., Stinglhamber, F., Vandenberghe, C., Sucharski, I. L., & Rhoades, L. (2002). Perceived supervisor support: Contributions to perceived organizational support and employee retention. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(3), 565–573.

Hobfoll, S. E. (2001). The influence of culture, community, and the nested-self in the stress process: Advancing conservation of resources theory. *Applied Psychology*, 50(3), 337–421.

House, J. S. (1981). *Work stress and social support*. Addison-Wesley.

Humphrey, S. E., Nahrgang, J. D., & Morgeson, F. P. (2007). Integrating motivational, social, and contextual work design features: A meta-analytic summary. *Personnel Psychology*, 60(4), 805–835.

Kim, J., & Garman, E. T. (2003). Financial stress and absenteeism: An empirically derived model. *Financial Counseling and Planning*, 14(1), 31–42.

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer.

Mathieu, C., Fabi, B., Lacoursière, R., & Raymond, L. (2019). The role of supervisory compassion in reducing financial stress-related sleep and anxiety problems. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 34(6), 392–406.

Ng, T. W., & Sorensen, K. L. (2008). Toward a further understanding of the relationships between perceptions of support and work attitudes: A meta-analysis. *Group & Organization Management*, 33(3), 243–268.

Richardson, T., Elliott, P., & Roberts, R. (2017). The relationship between personal unsecured debt and mental and physical health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 60, 55–67.

Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57(6), 1069–1081.

Sinclair, R. R., Waits, S., & Mohr, C. D. (2015). Leader-member exchange as a moderator of the financial stress–psychological distress relationship. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 20(4), 460–471.

Sweet, E., Nandi, A., Adam, E. K., & McDade, T. W. (2013). The high price of debt: Household financial debt and its impact on mental and physical health. *Social Science & Medicine*, 91, 94–100.

Wang, H., Lu, C., & Siu, O. (2018). Financial strain and emotional exhaustion: The moderating role of perceived supervisor support among Chinese bank employees. *Stress & Health*, 34(5), 631–640.

L. C. Kasireddy, L. Popuri, G. Karunanithi, A. Varghese, S. Ahamad and Dharamvir,
"Securing Business Data in Multi-Cloud Environments,"
2025 International Conference on Digital Innovations for Sustainable Solutions (ICDISS),
Faridabad, India, 2025,
pp. 1-6,
doi: 10.1109/ICDISS68238.2025.11320589

L. C. Kasireddy, S. Paruchuri, C. Janakamma, A. Sarawat, K. C. Ravi and R. Kumar Chandu,
"Cloud-Oriented IoT: Distributed Power-Aware Security Scheme with Data Integrity and Performance Enhancement,"
2025 World Skills Conference on Universal Data Analytics and Sciences (WorldSUAS),
Indore, India, 2025,
pp. 1-6,
doi: 10.1109/WorldSUAS66815.2025.11199185

L. C. Kasireddy, A. Jeraldine Viji, P. K. Sholapurapu, D. Sowjanya Kolluru, D. U. Vishweshwar and P. Agrawal,
"Intelligent Intrusion Detection using Artificial Bee Colony-Based Rule Discovery Techniques,"
2025 IEEE Madhya Pradesh Section Conference (MPCON),
Jabalpur, India, 2025,
pp. 691-696,
doi: 10.1109/MPCON66082.2025.11256592

L. C. Kasireddy, S. Paruchuri, C. Janakamma, A. Sarawat, K. C. Ravi and R. Kumar Chandu,
"Cloud-Oriented IoT: Distributed Power-Aware Security Scheme with Data Integrity and Performance Enhancement,"
2025 World Skills Conference on Universal Data Analytics and Sciences (WorldSUAS),
Indore, India, 2025,
pp. 1-6,
doi: 10.1109/WorldSUAS66815.2025.11199185

J. L., L. Chandrakanth Kasireddy, R. V. Palanivel, G. Sushma, K. Bhimaavarapu and P. V. Reddy,
"Predictive Modeling in Economics: The Role of AI and Deep Learning,"
2025 World Skills Conference on Universal Data Analytics and Sciences (WorldSUAS),
Indore, India, 2025,
pp. 1-7,
doi: 10.1109/WorldSUAS66815.2025.11199198

N. Soni, L. C. Kasireddy, T. S., C. Sinhgadiya, S. Kumar and A. T. S.,
"A Recurrent Neural Network Framework for Effective DDoS Attack Detection in Cloud Computing,"
2025 2nd International Conference on Multidisciplinary Research and Innovations in Engineering (MRIE),
Gurugram, India, 2025,
pp. 594-598,
doi: 10.1109/MRIE66930.2025.11156616

Jadhav, D., & Shinde, C. (2026).
Sakhi: Stay safe stay fashionable.
myresearchgo, 2(1), 1.
<https://doi.org/10.64448/myresearchgo.vol2.issue1.01>

Jadhav, A. (2026).
AI-enhanced employee management system.
myresearchgo, 2(1), 8.
<https://doi.org/10.64448/myresearchgo.vol2.issue1.02>

Rane, G., & Matteti, V. (2026).
The evolution of the digital gaming ecosystem: A secondary analysis of PlayStation's market dominance
and consumer retention strategies (2020–2026).
Myresearchgo, 2(3), 1.
<https://doi.org/10.64448/myresearchgo.vol2.issue3.01>

Ansari, N., Sharma, A., & Yadav, S. (2026).
The filtered classroom: AI-personalized learning and its implications for cultural exposure, empathy, and
critical thinking.
Myresearchgo, 2(3), 12.
<https://doi.org/10.64448/myresearchgo.vol2.issue3.02>

Junghare, P., Chheniya, J., Behare, M., Kashte, P., Belekar, S., Dhoble, V., & Kumari, S. (2026).

Google's Neural Memory Architecture: A Comprehensive Review of the Titans Framework.

Myresearchgo, 2(4), 75.

<https://doi.org/10.64448/myresearchgo.vol2.issue4.12>