

ALL ABOUT SOVEREIGNTY, FREEDOM, NATIONALITY, CITIZENSHIP

THESE WILL BE CASES LISTED FROM THE SUPREME COURT, DOWN TO THE STATE
LEVEL COURTS

THESE WILL BE THE TITLES OF THE CASES ONLY

U.S. Supreme Court

- **Slaughter-House Cases, 83 US 36 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1873)**

THIS CASE IS THE MOST IMPORTANT CASE THAT HAS EVER HAPPENED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- **Hague v. Committee for Industrial Organization, 307 US 496 (U.S. Supreme Court 1939)**
- **Bradwell v. State, 83 US 130 (U.S. Supreme Court 1873)**
- **Dred Scott v. Sandford, 60 US 393 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1857) (The case that was overturned by The Slaughterhouse Cases)**
- **United States v. Cruikshank, 92 US 542 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1876):**

“We have in our political system a government of the United States and a government of each of the several States. Each one of these governments is distinct from the others, and each has citizens of its own who owe it allegiance, and whose rights, within its jurisdiction, it must protect. The same person may be at the same time a citizen of the United States and a citizen of a State, but his rights of citizenship under one of these governments will be different from those he has under the other.”

Slaughter-House Cases, 16 Wall. 74.

- **Twining v. New Jersey, 211 US 78 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1908)**

THE SECOND MOST IMPORTANT CASE BEHIND THE

SLAUGHTERHOUSE CASES. This case was later overturned by Malloy v.

Hogan, 378 US 1 - U.S. Supreme Court 1964, but this case is still wildly important because it breaks down a historical timeline of all the various cases and things that happened up to 1908 involving all this information about the differences between US citizens and state citizens):

This quote is talking about what rights US citizens have versus state citizens

“Thus among the rights and privileges of National citizenship recognized by this court are the right to pass freely from State to State, Crandall v. Nevada, 6 Wall. 35; the right to petition Congress for a redress of grievances, United States v. Cruikshank, supra; the right to vote for National officers, Ex parte Yarbrough, 110 U.S. 651; Wiley v. Sinkler, 179 U.S. 58; the right to enter the public lands, United States v. Waddell, 112 U.S. 76; the right to be protected against violence while in the lawful custody of a United States marshal, Logan v. United States, 144 U.S. 263; and the right to inform the United States authorities of violation of its laws, In re Quarles, 158 U.S. 532 ... The right of trial by jury in civil cases, guaranteed by the Seventh Amendment (Walker v. Sauvinet, 92 U.S. 90), and the right to bear arms guaranteed by the Second Amendment (Presser v. Illinois, 116 U.S. 252), have been distinctly held not to be privileges and immunities of citizens of the United States guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment against abridgment by the States, and in effect the same decision was made in respect of the guarantee against prosecution, except by indictment of a grand jury, contained in the Fifth Amendment (Hurtado v. California, 110 U.S. 516), and in respect of the right to be confronted with witnesses, contained in the Sixth Amendment. West v. Louisiana, 194 U.S. 258.”

- **Caha v. U.S., 152 U.S. 211 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1894):**

“This statute is one of universal application within the territorial limits of the United States, and is not limited to those portions which are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the national government, such as the District of Columbia. Generally speaking, within any State of this Union the preservation of the peace and the protection of person and property are the functions of the state government, and are no part of the primary duty, at least, of the nation. The laws of Congress in respect to those matters do not extend into the territorial limits of the States, but have force only in the District of Columbia, and other places that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the national government.”

- Madden v. Kentucky, 309 US 83 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1940)
- Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1906)
- Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1886)
- Barnes v. District of Columbia, 91 U.S. 540 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1875)
- American Communications Assn. v. Douds, 339 U.S. 382 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1950)
- Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1966)
- OKLAHOMA TAX COMMISSION v. CITIZEN BAND POTAWATOMI INDIAN TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA No. 89-1322 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1991)
- United States v. Cooper Corp 312 U.S. 600 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1941)
- BRADY v. U. S., 397 U.S. 742 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1970)
- Murdock v. Pennsylvania, 319 U.S. 105 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1943)
- Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham, 394 U.S. 147 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1969)
- Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1967)
- Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1908)
- Northern Pipeline Constr. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co., 458 US 50 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1982)
- Marbury v. Madison, 5 US 137 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1803)
- Martin v. Lessee of Waddell, 41 US 367 (US Supreme Court - 1842)
- ELLEN R. VAN VALKENBURG v. ALBERT BROWN 43 Cal. 43 (California Supreme Court - 1872)
- State v. Manuel 20 N.C. 144 (Supreme Court of North Carolina - 1838)
- Redfield v. Fisher, 292 P. 813, 135 Or. 180, 294 P.461, 73 A.L.R. 721 (Oregon Supreme Court - 1931)
- Cruden v. Neale, 2 N.C. 2 S.E. 70 (Supreme Court of North Carolina - 1796)
- BROWN et al. v. Commonwealth, 453 Pa. 566 (Pennsylvania Supreme Court - 1973)
- City of Dallas v. Mitchell, 245 S.W. 944 (Texas Appeals Court - 1922)
- Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (Court of Appeals of New York - 1829)
- U.S. v Anthony 24 Fed. 829 (New York Circuit Court - 1873)
- Meese v. Keene, 481 US 465 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1987)

- Linneman v. Linneman, 116 NE 2d 182 - Ill: (Illinois Appellate Court - 1953)
- United States v. Cooper Corp 312 U.S. 600 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1941)
- Hooven & Allison Co. v. Evatt, 324 US 652 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1945)
- Balzac v. Porto Rico, 258 US 298 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1922)
- Bank of United States v. Planters' Bank of Georgia, 22 US 904 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1824)
- Somerlott v. Cherokee Nation Distribs., Inc (Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals - 2012)

District/State Cases (THESE CASES DO NOT ESTABLISH CASE LAW BUT CAN BE CITED AS “persuasive authority”):

- United States v. Valentine, 288 F. Supp. 957 (Dist. Court, D. Puerto Rico - 1968)
- BUTCHERS' UNION CO. v. Crescent City Co., 111 US 746 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1884)
- Coppage v. Kansas, 236 US 1 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1915)
- Hendrick v. Maryland, 235 US 610 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1915)
- Kent v. Dulles, 357 US 116 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1958)
- Thompson v. Smith 155 Va. 367 (Virginia Supreme Court - 1930)

Negotiable Instruments/Banking

U.S. Supreme Court

- FDIC v. Philadelphia Gear Corp., 476 US 426 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1986):
- Perry v. United States, 294 US 330 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1935)
- Shaw v. Railroad Co., 101 US 557 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1880)
- FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WASHINGTON v. WHITMAN 94 U.S. 343 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1876)
- WHITE v. NATIONAL BANK 102 U.S. 658 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1881)
- Swift v. Tyson, 41 US 1 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1842)

- ARMSTRONG v. AMERICAN EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO 133 U.S. 433 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1890)
- Nathan v. Louisiana 49 U.S. 73 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1850)
- United States v. Fisher 6 U.S. 358 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1805)
- Davis v. Elmira Savings Bank, 161 U.S. 275 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1896)
- John L. Lewis v. United States of America, 680 F.2d 1239 (9th Circuit Court of Appeals - 1982)
- Wells Fargo Bank, NA v. Ostiguy, 127 AD 3d 1375 (Supreme Court of New York - 2015)
- Gelles & Sons v. Jeffrey Stack, Inc 569 S.E.2d 406 (Supreme Court of Virginia - 2002)
- MILTON COOKE CO v. FIRST BANK AND TRUST No. 01-07-01000-CV. (Court of Appeals of Texas, First District, Houston - 2009)
- Helton v. Phillip A Glick Plumbing, Inc., 277 Va. 352, 672 S.E.2d 842 (Supreme Court of Virginia - 2009)
- Johnson v. First Union Nat. Bank 271 Va. 239, 624 S.E.2d 10 (10th Circuit Appeals - 2006)
- Anderson v. M & T Bank, 2018 Md. App. LEXIS 1077, 97 U.C.C. Rep Serv.2d (Court of Special Appeals of Maryland - 2018)
- Omni Alarm Sys. V. MCI Elec. Co., 58 Va. Cir. 264 (Warren County 2002)

Court Procedure and Pro Se Case Law

U.S. Supreme Court

- Erickson v. Pardus, 551 US 89 (U.S. Supreme Court - 2007):

“Individuals,” Sole Proprietorships, entities, and other Identity-Related or “person” related Case Law

U.S. Supreme Court

- Bender v. Williamsport Area School Dist., 475 US 534 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1986)
- United States v. Doe, 465 US 605 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1984)
- Braswell v. United States, 487 US 99 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1988)
- United States v. White, 322 US 694 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1944)
- Monell v. New York City Dept. of Social Services, 436 U. S. 658, 687 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1978)
- Braswell v. United States, 487 US 99 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1988)
- Cedric Kushner Promotions, Ltd. v. King, 533 US 158 (U.S. Supreme Court - 2001)
- Americold Realty Trust v. Conagra Foods, 577 US 378 (U.S. Supreme Court - 2016)
- Great Southern Fire Proof Hotel Co. v. Jones, 177 US 449 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1900)
- Banks v. Slay, 875 F. 3d 876 (Court of Appeals, 8th Circuit - 2017)

Commerce, Commerce Cause, Plenary Power, etc

U.S. Supreme Court

- Lottery Case, 188 U.S. 321 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1903)
- US v. Appalachian Power Co., 311 US 377 (U.S. Supreme Court - 1940)

If you'd like to learn more in depth on these cases, feel free to book a consultation, I will be happy to give a historical breakdown.

Many of these cases have been overturned in lue of superior cases that set further precedent. THESE ARE HERE FOR EDUCATION

UCC 1-308, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, UCC 1 -304 *NOT LEGAL NOR TAX ADVICE*