Detailed Technical Analysis of a UDP Server with Asymmetric Cryptography and Fragmentation Management: server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py

Giovanni Viva

May 12, 2025

Abstract

This article provides an in-depth technical analysis of the Python script server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py, a UDP server designed for secure communications. This server implements RSA asymmetric cryptography for key exchange and message encryption, along with a robust mechanism for fragmenting and reassembling large UDP datagrams. The analysis explores the server's architecture, its operational flow, the protocols employed, its primary purpose, the author's didactic intentions, and potential use cases. Strengths and possible areas for improvement are also discussed, providing an overall assessment of the solution.

Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
|---|---|----------|
| 2 | Operational Analysis | |
| | (How server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py works) | 2 |
| | 2.1 General Architecture | 2 |
| | 2.2 Main Execution Flow | 2 |
| | 2.3 Key Components | 3 |
| | 2.4 Interactions | 5 |
| | 2.5 Protocols and Mechanisms | 6 |
| | 2.6 Libraries Used | 7 |
| 3 | Primary Purpose of the Code | 7 |
| 4 | Author's Didactic and Communicative Intent | 8 |
| 5 | Usage Example | 9 |
| 6 | Conclusions | 10 |
| 7 | Reference Files | 11 |

1 Introduction

In the landscape of network communications, the User Datagram Protocol (UDP) is valued for its lightness and low latency, making it ideal for time-sensitive applications such as video streaming, online gaming, and telemetry systems. However, its connectionless nature and lack of intrinsic reliability and security mechanisms pose significant challenges. UDP does not guarantee packet delivery, their order, or protection against interception or tampering.

The Python script server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py aims to mitigate some of these limitations by implementing a UDP server that integrates asymmetric cryptography features and a message fragmentation management system. This hybrid approach aims to combine UDP's speed with a level of security and reliability sufficient for certain applications.

The goal of this article is to dissect the internal workings of

server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py,

analyzing the programming techniques, communication protocols, and architectural choices adopted. We will explore how the server handles public key exchange, data encryption and decryption, and the complex process of message fragmentation and reassembly, which is crucial when exceeding the maximum UDP datagram sizes.

2 Operational Analysis

(How server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py works)

2.1 General Architecture

The server is implemented as a single class, UDPServer, which encapsulates all the logic for network management, cryptography, and fragmentation. The architecture is multithreaded: a main thread manages the server's startup and shutdown, while a dedicated thread, receiver_thread, is responsible for listening and receiving UDP packets. This separation prevents the main thread from blocking during network I/O operations.

Shared data management between threads, such as the socket object and fragment buffers, is protected by a threading.Lock (_socket_lock), ensuring mutually exclusive access and preventing race conditions. A threading.Event (_stop_event) is used to signal the receiver thread to terminate cleanly.

2.2 Main Execution Flow

The server's execution begins in the main() function:

- 1. A UDPServer object is instantiated, specifying host, port, and fragment timeout.
- 2. Optionally, a callback function (on_message) is assigned, which will be invoked upon receiving a complete and decrypted message.
- 3. The server's start() method is called.
- 4. The main thread enters a waiting loop, keeping the server active until a keyboard interruption (KeyboardInterrupt).

The start() method performs the following crucial steps:

- 1. Checks that the server is not already active.
- 2. Generates a 4096-bit RSA key pair (private and public). The public key is serialized in PEM format for transmission to clients.
- 3. Creates a UDP socket (socket.AF_INET, socket.SOCK_DGRAM).
- 4. Sets a timeout for socket operations.
- 5. Binds the socket to the specified address and port.
- 6. Sets the server status to active (is_active = True).
- 7. Starts the receiver_thread, which will execute the _receive_loop method.

2.3 Key Components

- **UDPServer**: The main class that orchestrates all operations. It maintains the server's state, manages cryptographic keys, fragment buffers, and client public keys.
- _receive_loop(): The heart of the server. In a continuous loop (as long as _stop_event is not set):
 - Uses select.select() to wait for incoming data on the UDP socket non-blockingly, respecting the socket_timeout.
 - If data is available, it reads it with udp_socket.recvfrom().
 - Passes the data and client address to the _process_message() method for processing.
 - Periodically (when select times out without data) calls _cleanup_fragment_buffers() to remove expired fragment buffers.
- _process_message(client_address, data): This method is the first dispatch point for received data. It decides how to interpret the packet:
 - 1. If the packet contains a PEM public key (identified by ---BEGIN PUBLIC KEY--and ---END PUBLIC KEY--- markers), it describes it and stores it associated with the client's address in self.client_public_keys.
 - 2. If the packet is a request for the server's public key (b"REQ_PUB_KEY\n"), it sends its own PEM public key to the client.
 - 3. If the packet has a minimum length of 6 bytes, it attempts to interpret it as a message fragment. It extracts the message ID (4 bytes), fragment number (1 byte), total number of fragments (1 byte), and the payload. If these values are valid, it passes the data to _process_fragment().
 - 4. Otherwise, it treats the packet as a non-fragmented message (presumably encrypted) and passes it to _process_non_fragmented_message().

- _process_fragment(client_address, message_id, fragment_number, total_fragment_count, fragment_payload): Manages fragments:
 - Initializes a buffer for the specific message_id from that client_address, if it doesn't already exist.
 - Stores the fragment_payload in the buffer, indexed by fragment_number.
 - If all expected fragments (total_fragment_count) have been received:
 - * Reassembles the fragments in the correct order.
 - * Attempts to decrypt the reassembled message using _decrypt_message().
 - * If decryption is successful, invokes the on_message callback (if defined) and sends an ACK to the client (format: "message_id: ACK").
 - * Removes the completed message's buffer.
 - If not all fragments have arrived, resets a timeout timer for that message_id (_reset_fragment_timer()).
- _reset_fragment_timer(client_address, message_id): Starts or restarts a threading.Timer for a fragmented message's buffer. If the timer expires before all fragments are received, the _timeout_fragment_buffer() method is called.
- _timeout_fragment_buffer(client_address, message_id): Removes an incomplete fragment buffer when its timer expires, preventing the accumulation of partial data.
- _cleanup_fragment_buffers(): Periodically scans all fragment buffers and removes those whose timers have expired and are no longer active.
- _process_non_fragmented_message(client_address, data): Attempts to decrypt the received data as a single message. If decryption is successful, sends a simple b"ACK" to the client.
- _decrypt_message(client_address, message_bytes): Decrypts message_bytes using the server's private key. This implies that the original message was encrypted by the client with the server's public key. Decryption uses RSA with OAEP padding and SHA256 hashing.
- send_to(message, client_address): Sends a message to a client. If the message is not a public key request or the public key itself, and if the recipient client's public key is known, the message is encrypted using the client's public key (RSA-OAEP, SHA256) before sending. The maximum fragment size for outgoing messages is determined by self.max_fragment_size, although outgoing fragmentation is not explicitly implemented in this version of the send_to method.
- close(): Shuts down the server cleanly, setting _stop_event, closing the socket, and waiting for the receiver_thread to terminate.

2.4 Interactions

Interactions between components are fundamental to the server's operation:

• Client-Server (Key Exchange):

- 1. The client can send its public key to the server. The server stores it.
- 2. The client can request the server's public key by sending REQ_PUB_KEY\n. The server responds with its PEM public key.

• Client-Server (Sending Encrypted Message):

- 1. The client, having the server's public key, encrypts a message.
- 2. If the message is large, the client fragments it, prepending a header to each fragment (message ID, fragment no., total fragments).
- 3. The client sends the fragments (or the whole message if small) to the server.

• Server (Reception and Decryption):

- 1. The server receives the packets.
- 2. _process_message dispatches the traffic.
- 3. If fragmented, _process_fragment collects the pieces.
- 4. Once assembled (or if not fragmented), _decrypt_message uses the server's private key to decrypt.
- 5. An ACK is sent to the client.

• Server-Client (Sending Encrypted Response):

- 1. The server, to send a response (e.g., ACK), uses send_to.
- 2. If the client's public key is known, it encrypts the message with it.

• Concurrency Management:

- _socket_lock protects access to the shared socket self.udp_socket.
- Fragment buffers (client_message_fragment_buffers) are shared data structures, but operations on them are primarily handled by the receiver thread, mitigating some risks of direct concurrency. However, their modification (adding/removing fragments and entire buffers) must be considered thread-safe if multiple threads could access them, although here the design limits such access to the receiver_thread for main modifications. Fragmentation timers (threading.Timer) operate in separate threads, and their callbacks (_timeout_fragment_buffer) modify the buffers, so the buffer structure must implicitly handle this concurrency (e.g., Python dicts are thread-safe for atomic operations like del).

2.5 Protocols and Mechanisms

- **UDP:** Layer 4 transport protocol, connectionless.
- **Custom Fragmentation Protocol:** The server expects messages fragmented by clients to follow a specific format:
 - Message ID (4 bytes, big-endian): Unique identifier for a complete message, generated by the client.
 - Fragment Number (1 byte): Sequential number of the fragment, starting from 1.
 - Total Fragment Count (1 byte): Total number of fragments for that message.
 - Payload (remaining bytes): The actual content of the fragment.

The maximum payload size of an incoming fragment is implicitly limited by self.buffer_size (socket receive buffer size) minus the 6 header bytes. The size max_fragment_size = 490 is a parameter for outgoing fragmentation (though not implemented), suggesting a maximum payload per fragment of 490 bytes to avoid IP fragmentation (typical Ethernet MTU is 1500 bytes, minus IP and UDP headers).

• Asymmetric Key Exchange:

- The server generates a 4096-bit RSA pair.
- Clients send their public key in PEM format.
- The server sends its PEM public key upon request (REQ_PUB_KEY\n).

• Asymmetric Cryptography (RSA):

- Used to encrypt/decrypt messages. A message sent to the server is encrypted by the client with the server's public key. A message sent by the server to a client is encrypted by the server with that client's public key.
- Padding scheme: OAEP (Optimal Asymmetric Encryption Padding).
- Hash function for MGF1 (Mask Generation Function) and for the OAEP algorithm: SHA256.

• Timeout Management:

- socket_timeout: Timeout for receive operations on the UDP socket.
- fragment_timeout: Timeout for reassembling fragments. If a message is not completed within this time, its fragments are discarded.
- Logging: Use of the logging module to track server operations, warnings, and errors.
- Message ACKs: After successfully reassembling and decrypting a fragmented message, the server sends an ACK to the client in the format "<message_id>: ACK". For non-fragmented messages, it sends a simple b"ACK".

2.6 Libraries Used

- socket: For low-level UDP network communication (socket creation, bind, send, receive).
- **select**: For I/O multiplexing, specifically **select**.**select()** to wait for data on the socket non-blockingly.
- logging: For recording events, debugging, and error messages.
- **threading**: For concurrent programming (creating the receiver thread, lock for synchronization, event for signaling, timer for fragment timeouts).
- sys: For access to system-specific parameters, like sys.stdout for the logger handler.
- errno: To interpret error codes from system/socket operations (e.g., ECONNREFUSED).
- time: For time-related functions, like time.sleep().
- **os**: Used in comments for generating unique message IDs, but not actively in the provided code for this specific server-side functionality (message IDs are generated by the client).
- cryptography: Fundamental library for cryptographic operations.
 - hazmat.primitives.asymmetric.rsa: For RSA key generation (generate_private_key) and encryption/decryption operations.
 - hazmat.primitives.asymmetric.padding: To specify the OAEP padding scheme.
 - hazmat.primitives.hashes: To specify hash algorithms (SHA256).
 - hazmat.primitives.serialization: To serialize public keys into PEM format (public_bytes) and deserialize received PEM keys (load_pem_public_key).
 - cryptography.exceptions.InvalidSignature: Mentioned in the import, but not actively used in the provided code (more relevant for digital signature verification, which is not implemented here).
 - hazmat.backends.default_backend: To select the default cryptographic backend.

3 Primary Purpose of the Code

The primary purpose of server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py is to provide a server platform for UDP-based communications that are:

- 1. Secure: Through the use of RSA asymmetric cryptography, the server aims to ensure the confidentiality of exchanged data. Messages are encrypted so that only the designated recipient (who possesses the corresponding private key) can decrypt them.
- 2. Capable of handling large messages: UDP has a maximum payload size per datagram. To overcome this limitation, the server implements a mechanism for receiving and reassembling fragmented messages, expecting clients to send larger data split according to a custom fragmentation protocol.

3. Robust against partial loss of fragmented messages: Thanks to timeouts on fragment buffers, the server avoids indefinitely retaining incomplete data, freeing up resources.

The code seeks to solve the following intrinsic or common problems in UDP communications:

- Lack of confidentiality: Standard UDP packets are transmitted in clear text. RSA cryptography addresses this.
- Message size limitation (MTU): Fragmentation allows the logical sending of messages larger than the Maximum Transmission Unit of the network path.
- **Resource management in case of lost fragments:** Without a timeout mechanism, received fragments of an incomplete message could occupy memory indefinitely.

The server is designed to act as a reliable and secure endpoint for clients needing to send data over UDP with these additional features.

4 Author's Didactic and Communicative Intent

Analyzing the code, several didactic and communicative intentions from the author emerge:

- Demonstrate applied asymmetric cryptography: The code clearly illustrates how to generate RSA key pairs, serialize/deserialize public keys in PEM format, and use these keys to encrypt and decrypt messages. The use of RSA with OAEP padding and SHA256 shows a modern and secure practice.
- Teach fragmentation management over UDP: The need to fragment messages over UDP is a common problem. The implementation of a fragmentation protocol with message ID, fragment number, and total count, along with reassembly logic and timeout management, is an excellent practical example.
- Illustrate multithreaded network programming: Using a separate thread for message reception (_receive_loop) is a standard technique for network servers that must remain responsive. Synchronization management (Lock) and clean termination (Event) are important concepts.
- Emphasize server robustness: The inclusion of timeouts for socket operations and fragment buffers, error handling (try-except, logging), and cleanup of expired buffers (_cleanup_fragment_buffers) demonstrate attention to stability and efficient resource use.
- Show the use of the cryptography library: The code serves as a practical example of how to use the APIs of this powerful Python library for common cryptographic tasks.
- Present a simple yet complete communication protocol: Key exchange (request/response), sending encrypted data, and receiving ACKs form a mini-protocol. The ACK with message ID (id_appg + ": ACK") is a detail that shows a desire to confirm specific message reception.

• Clarity and readability of the code: The use of descriptive variable and method names, explanatory comments, and a logical class structure contribute to the code's understandability, suggesting an intention to make it accessible for learning.

The author seems to want to communicate not only *how* to implement these features but also *why* they are important in a context of secure and reliable UDP communications. The choice of 4096-bit RSA, for example, indicates a preference for high security, albeit at the cost of greater computational overhead compared to shorter keys or symmetric cryptography (which, however, would require a secure exchange of symmetric keys, often achieved with asymmetric cryptography).

5 Usage Example

A server with the characteristics of server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py could be usefully employed in several scenarios:

- 1. Secure Telemetry and Monitoring Systems: In industrial (IIoT) or infrastructural contexts, where sensors and devices periodically send status data or measurements. UDP is preferred for low overhead, but security is crucial. Fragmentation allows for sending detailed reports. *Example:* Environmental sensors in a geographically distributed network send encrypted data logs to a central server for analysis.
- 2. Lightweight Communication for Multiplayer Video Games: Some types of game data (e.g., rapid status updates that are not critical for absolute consistency) benefit from UDP. Cryptography protects against cheating or sniffing, and fragmentation can be used for more complex game messages (e.g., in-game chat, initial configuration data). *Example:* Sending encrypted player input commands or position updates.
- 3. Secure and Fast Notification Systems: For sending push notifications or alerts to specific clients, where rapid delivery is important and the persistent connection of TCP is not desired. Encryption ensures that only the recipient can read the notification. *Example:* An alert system sends encrypted messages to mobile devices or desktop clients.
- 4. Transfer of Small/Medium-Sized Files over Unreliable Networks: Although TCP is generally preferred for file transfer, in scenarios with high packet loss or where setting up a TCP connection is problematic, a UDP protocol with fragmentation and encryption could be a viable alternative for not excessively large files, especially if a selective fragment retransmission mechanism were added. *Example:* Sending configuration files or small software updates to remote devices.
- 5. Simple and Secure Peer-to-Peer Chat Services (with server for discovery/relay): Although the code is a server, the encryption and fragmentation logic could be adapted for direct communication between clients, with the server initially acting for public key exchange and message forwarding if direct NAT traversal fails. *Example:* Chat clients exchanging encrypted and potentially fragmented messages.

In all these cases, the combination of speed (UDP), security (RSA), and ability to handle data of varying sizes (fragmentation) offered by this server represents an interesting trade-off.

6 Conclusions

The analysis of server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py reveals a well-structured and conceptually sound software for creating a UDP server with advanced security and data management features.

Strengths:

- **Robust Security:** The adoption of 4096-bit RSA with OAEP padding and SHA256 offers a high level of confidentiality for exchanged messages.
- **Fragmentation Management:** The mechanism for receiving and reassembling fragments, complete with timeouts, is essential for overcoming UDP datagram size limitations and robustly handling partial message loss.
- **Multithreading Architecture:** The use of a dedicated thread for packet reception ensures that the server remains responsive.
- **Code Clarity:** The code is generally well-commented and structured, facilitating understanding and maintenance.
- Flexible Key Management: The server allows clients to send their public key and provides its own upon request, a basic mechanism for establishing secure communications.

Weaknesses and Areas for Improvement/Extension:

- **Performance of Asymmetric Cryptography:** Encrypting every message (or fragment) with RSA is computationally intensive. For high-volume applications, a hybrid approach (RSA to exchange a symmetric key, and AES for subsequent data encryption) would be more performant.
- Lack of (Explicit) Message Integrity and Authentication: Although OAEP offers some integrity, a digital signature (e.g., RSA-PSS) or an HMAC (Hash-based Message Authentication Code) on the message (or fragments) before encryption would provide stronger guarantees about the sender's authenticity and message integrity against active tampering. Current client authentication is implicit, based on possession of the private key corresponding to the public key sent.
- Limited ACKs and Reliability: The ACK mechanism only confirms reception and decryption. There is no NACK (Negative Acknowledgement) system or retransmission request for lost fragments. For greater reliability, such mechanisms would need to be implemented.
- Vulnerability to Replay Attacks: There are no sequence numbers or timestamps within the encrypted payload to prevent an attacker from intercepting and resending valid messages.

• Outgoing Fragmentation Not Implemented in send_to:

The variable self.max_fragment_size is defined, but the logic for fragmenting outgoing messages from the server is not present in the send_to method. Currently, the server can only send messages that fit within the maximum payload size encryptable with RSA and sendable via UDP in a single packet.

• Client State Management: Client public keys are stored in a dictionary. For a longrunning server with many clients, more sophisticated state management might be necessary (e.g., persistence, timeouts for inactive keys).

Overall Assessment: server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py is an excellent didactic example that successfully demonstrates the integration of asymmetric cryptography and fragmentation management in a UDP server. It provides a solid foundation for building applications that require secure UDP communications. Although it has some limitations that would advise against its direct use in highly critical or high-performance production environments without further modifications, the implemented concepts are correct and well-presented. As a learning and prototyping tool, the code is of great value. The suggested areas for improvement could transform it into an even more robust and versatile solution.

7 Reference Files

• server_UDP_crypto_protocollo.py