The QFlux Routing Engine

Maximizing Hardware ROI in Sparse MoE Architectures via Quantum-Inspired Optimization

Author: Madhava Bekkem

Affiliation: Qohere Private Limited, Bangalore

Date: December 2025

Prepared For: AI Infrastructure Leaders & Model Architects

1. Executive Summary

As Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) models scale to extreme complexity (e.g., Snowflake Arctic with 128 experts, DeepSeek-V2 with 160 experts), they encounter a critical bottleneck: **Expert Collapse.** Standard routing mechanisms—typically greedy Top-K selection—fail to distribute tokens effectively during high-throughput training and batch inference, leading to "Dead Experts" (unused parameters), "Hotspots" (GPU memory bottlenecks), and wasted compute capital.

QFlux is a proprietary routing engine designed to solve this utilization crisis. By replacing the standard greedy router with a **Global Congestion Solver**, QFlux treats token routing as a combinatorial optimization problem rather than a simple sorting task.

Benchmarks on industry-leading architectures demonstrate QFlux's ability to maximize hardware ROI:

0

0

Snowflake Arctic (128 Experts): +40.9% improvement in load balancing and the reactivation of 22 previously "dead" experts.

DeepSeek-V2 (160 Experts): +22.7% **improvement** in expert distribution on the industry's most fine-grained architecture.

In our Arctic-128 benchmarks, QFlux increased active expert capacity from 53% to 70% (+17 percentage points), effectively increasing the "usable intelligence" of the model—meaning more of the model's parameters are actually reached during training and inference—without requiring additional hardware.

2. The Problem: The "Greedy" Trap in Batch Processing

In theory, MoE models promise massive capacity with low inference costs. In practice, they suffer from severe load imbalances due to the simplicity of default routing algorithms, particularly during training and high-volume data ingestion (RAG).

2.1 Expert Collapse & Training Inefficiency

Standard routers select experts based solely on the highest raw probability score (Greedy Top-K). This creates a "Rich Get Richer" feedback loop where a small subset of experts (often <50%) dominates the routing traffic.

The Consequence: The remaining experts—often containing specialized knowledge—atrophy and become "Dead Experts." Organizations pay for 100% of the model's parameters but effectively train only 60% of them.

2.2 The "Hotspot" Bottleneck

In distributed training and batch inference, "Greedy" routing creates random traffic spikes. If 1,000 tokens all select "Expert A" simultaneously due to a generic prompt, that specific GPU core becomes a bottleneck, forcing the entire cluster to wait. This destroys throughput and creates GPU memory fragmentation.

3. The Solution: QFlux Adaptive Logistics

QFlux introduces a novel architectural paradigm that treats routing not as a localized sorting problem, but as a **Global Logistics Problem.** It utilizes a proprietary, physics-inspired combinatorial optimization engine to resolve traffic flow across the entire batch.

3.1 The Global Congestion Solver

Instead of routing tokens individually, QFlux analyzes the entire batch buffer (e.g., 4,096+ tokens) as a coherent system.

Physics-Based Resolution: Under the hood, QFlux solves a binary assignment problem using a continuous-time, physics-inspired dynamical system that behaves like a discrete spin glass settling into

a low-energy configuration. This allows the system to handle thousands of tokens and hundreds of experts in a single global solve.

The Solver: Identifying "Close Calls"—tokens that are statistically indifferent between two experts—the engine re-routes them from over-utilized experts to under-utilized ones.

Outcome: This resolves congestion and fills "Dead Experts" without sacrificing accuracy, approaching a near-globally optimal distribution of work under capacity constraints.

4. Case Studies & Benchmarks

We evaluated QFlux against the industry-standard Top-K router using ground-truth weights from the world's most complex open-source architectures.

Methodology Note: For all experiments, we used the published router weights from the base models and evaluated on large synthetic batches designed to mimic high-load inference and training scenarios. This is a standard stress-test methodology for routing quality.

4.1 Case Study A: Snowflake Arctic (128 Experts)

In high-load batch scenarios (Batch=32, Tokens=100), QFlux demonstrated a radical shift in expert utilization compared to the baseline.

Definition: Balance Score is defined as \$1 / (1 + CV)\$ of expert loads, where CV is the coefficient of

variation (std/mean) across experts. The score is computed over the batch and averaged across runs. Higher is better, with 1.0 being perfectly flat usage.

Metric	Standard Top-K (Baseline)	QFlux Engine (Ours)	Improvement
Balance Score	0.3773	0.5317	+40.9%
Dead Experts	60 / 128	38 / 128	22 Revived
Active Capacity	53%	70%	+17 pts

Note: "Active Capacity" is defined here as the fraction of experts that receive non-trivial load (utilization > 0) under the test batch.

Impact: By reviving 22 experts, QFlux effectively unlocked 17% more of the model's parameters that were previously sitting idle in VRAM.

4.2 Case Study B: DeepSeek-V2 (160 Experts)

DeepSeek-V2 represents the "Final Boss" of routing complexity, utilizing a fine-grained architecture with 160 experts. QFlux proved its ability to scale linearly with complexity.

Baseline Balance Score: 0.4790

QFlux Balance Score: 0.5878

Improvement: +22.7% Better Load Distribution

Throughput: > **250,000 Routing Decisions/Sec** (measured on single RTX 4080, 160-expert, k=6 configuration with large batches; scales significantly higher on Datacenter GPUs).

Note on Smaller Models: On architectures that are already well-balanced by design (e.g., Qwen-60), QFlux's improvements are naturally smaller. In our Qwen-60 tests, for example, the baseline greedy router already utilized nearly all experts, and QFlux primarily served as a safety net rather than delivering the dramatic +40% gains seen in larger, sparser models.

5. Strategic Value & ROI

Implementing QFlux offers immediate return on investment for high-throughput AI infrastructure:

5.1 For Model Training (Hardware Insurance)

Training a 128-expert model costs millions in compute. If a training run burns \$1–2M in GPU time but effectively trains only 60% of its experts, nearly \$400k-\$800k of capacity is wasted. QFlux flattens utilization, pushing trained capacity closer to the full expert set. For typical runs, this translates into \$200k - \$500k saved per training run in reclaimed GPU time and faster convergence.

5.2 For Inference Providers (Throughput Maximization)

"Hotspotting" is the primary enemy of batch throughput. By smoothing these spikes, QFlux allows providers to increase batch sizes (saturation) without triggering Out-Of-Memory (OOM) errors on specific overloaded experts. This directly translates to **higher tokens-per-second per GPU**.

5.3 For RAG Pipelines (Accuracy)

Standard routers often skip specialized experts (e.g., Legal or Medical) in favor of generic ones due to minor confidence gaps. QFlux's "Safety Net" logic preserves these routing pathways during bulk processing, ensuring specialized queries reach the correct domain expert even at high speeds.

6. Technical Evaluation & Deployment

QFlux is available as a secure, containerized microservice (Docker/Kubernetes) compatible with vLLM, TGI, and Ray Serve pipelines.

Zero-Integration Demo: Test the logic via our hosted API (internal engine latency: < 2ms).

On-Premise Trial: Encrypted Docker containers are available for enterprise proof-of-concept (POC) pilots under NDA.

Request Access: info@qohere.in

6.1 Limitations & Scope

QFlux is explicitly designed for large, sparse MoE layers with substantial batch traffic. On small models (few experts) or purely latency-critical single-token chat scenarios where standard Top-K already achieves good balance, the gains are negligible, and plain Top-K may be preferred. QFlux is therefore aimed at

