CounterPunch

JUNE 16-30, 2011

ALEXANDER COCKBURN AND JEFFREY ST. CLAIR

VOL. 18, NO. 12

How America's Shrinks Collude with Drug Industry In Turning America's Children into Zombies

By Jed Bickman

magine a world in which children who display any of the brooding existential dilemmas commonly associated with adolescence are almost automatically given heavy doses of antipsychotic medication that can stunt their brain development and destroy their bodies.

Very soon, this could be our world. Currently, hundreds of thousands of children in America are being given damaging psychiatric medication – drugs that were very recently thought to be last-resort treatments for grave diseases in adults – to control a broad range of behavioral and emotional problems. The

number is increasing rapidly, and changes in the institutions of psychiatry and mental health could soon turn this river of medication into a flood.

This is, at least partly, a result of an expansion in what institutional medicine considers to be a mental disorder. The upcoming version of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* – the *DSM-V,* published by the American Psychiatric Association – will probably include broader definitions of psychosis and schizophrenia that will include many people, especially children, who were once thought to be only slightly off-kilter.

More diagnoses mean more treatment, which in this case can only take the form of antipsychotic drugs.

When a wave of psychiatric medication sweeps through our society and our lives, it becomes reasonable to wonder if it is a symptom of a social disorder. This seems especially true when the drugs are given to children, who only rarely make their own decisions about their treatment; in their case, we all could consider ourselves responsible for what happens to their bodies.

In fact, it is already a pattern familiar to us. In the 1990s, pharmaceutical companies waged a campaign of influence to increase the sales of antidepressant drugs. In order to do so, they had to get more people to see their sadness as a clinical abnormality, and not a natural pole of the emotional spectrum. By creating ethically dubious incentives for doctors to diagnose patients with depression **BICKMAN** CONTINUED ON PAGE 2

n February 28, 1965, the New York Times Magazine published an article "The Prison Culture-From the Inside," a first-person anthropological analysis of life inside an American prison. Appearing under the pseudonym of "M. Arc," this article has since been widely anthologized in sociology texts on prison life. The article had a playful tone, as it whimsically analyzed prison culture using an anthropological lens to describe prison rituals and hierarchies in ways that made the mundane activities of prison life exotic.

Though not revealed by the *New York Times Magazine*, the article's author, an anthropologist named Mark Zborowski, had just served two years in federal prison in Danbury, Connecticut, after being convicted of perjury for lies he told while covering up his years as a Soviet spy. The "Prison Culture" article was a classic Zborowski: reinventing his identity in self-serving, obscurantist ways.

Even after his perjury conviction and

Mark Zborowski in a World of Pain: Part One, The Spy-Scholar By David Price

exposure as a Soviet agent, Margaret Mead and most of Zborowski's American anthropologist friends refused to consider him as anything other than a victim of belligerent federal prosecutors, believing his claims that he was forced into a life of espionage and betrayal by Soviets threatening the safety of his siblings — an unsubstantiated claim he told American friends after his legal troubles became public. Zborowski was many things, but "victim" was not one of them. He was a Zelig-like character, actively involved in espionage, betrayal of friends, and conspiracies up to and including murder.

Zborowski's tale weaves together American and Soviet intelligence agencies, high intellectual circles, personal betrayals, espionage, and murder with enough intrigue, confusion, contradictions and lies to confound anyone trying to untangle Zborowski's biography or divine his true loyalties.

With the assistance of *CounterPunch*, I recently received over 4,000 pages of FBI files on Zborowski under the Freedom of Information Act. These FOIA documents shed new light on Zborowski's life and raise questions about his connections to both Soviet and American intelligence agencies. As will be described in Part Two, these FOIA documents also show how the FBI secretly used FBI dossiers to remove radicals and progressives from the jury pool assembled for his perjury **PRICE** CONTINUED ON PAGE 5

PRICE CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

trials.

Zborowski was born in Uman, Russia, in 1908 to wealthy parents who fled the Soviet Union in 1921, moving to Poland. In 1933, he moved to France after a Soviet official told him his efforts to study medicine in the Soviet Union would be improved if he would collect information for the NKVD (the KGB's predecessor organization). In Paris, Zborowski studied anthropology at the Sorbonne, and was assigned by the NKVD to infiltrate the Trotskyite movement. He soon became close to Leon Trotsky's son, Leon Sedov. Once within Sedov's inner circle, Zborowski refrained from joining political infighting, was a hard worker, made his language skills of use to the group, and became Sedov's confidant and bodyguard, all the while secretly reporting to the NKVD.

Zborowski's FBI file recounts how, in 1936, Trotsky decided to counteract the damage of the Soviet purge trials by holding his own "counter-purge trial" in Mulhouse, France. Trotsky wrote to Leon Sedov, asking him to make arrangements with his lawyer to undertake the "counter-trial." FBI documents recount that Zborowski "was handling Sedov's mail, and he never brought this particular piece of mail to Sedov's attention. Later on, Zborowski was asked why he did not give Sedov this important piece of mail, and he was reported to have said that he did not read the whole communication and did not realize its importance. Zborowski changed his story later, however, when Sedov learned that NKVD agents had been waiting for him at Mulhouse for the proposed meeting with the attorney."

Zborowski was able to remain remarkably close to Trotsky's inner circle, inflicting damage over the course of several years. Soviet archival documents reveal that while working for a Paris phone company, Zborowski cut the phone service for the institute housing Trotsky's papers. When the failed line was reported, Zborowski pretended to make a repair while inspecting the institute's locks. Using information provided by Zborowski, in 1936 the NKVD broke into the institute and stole select records from the Trotsky archives. The FBI later theorized that the stolen records were documents undermining Soviet claims made at the Soviet purge trials, and historian Bertrand Patenaude indicates that chief among the letters was correspondence with Max Eastman from 1929-1933. The theft was of such importance that the results of this operation were reported directly to Stalin the day of the burglary.

Later, in 1958, the FBI obtained translations of French police reports on the break-in. The reports document that Zborowski fooled the French police, as they concluded that:

"As far as Zborowski is concerned, he seems to be above suspicion; primarily, because of the confidence which [Sedov] has in him. A confidence which he, moreover, did not betray since he was able to keep the documents which the latter had given him to take care of and, doubtlessly, he knew how important they

"The second reason is, that by not taking these documents to the Institute on Michelet Street, as [Sedov] has asked him to do for some time. Zborowski had.

It was Zborowski's close contact with Trotsky's son, Leon Sedov, that made him such a highvalue NKVD asset.

thereby, prevented these papers from suffering the same fate as those which were stolen."

Zborowski's secret reports to the NKVD directly contributed to the deaths of several Trotsky insiders. In 1937 Ignace Reiss was murdered, as he abandoned Stalin for Trotsky. In her autobiography, Reiss' widow, Else Bernaut, would later claim Zborowski was involved in Reiss' murder. In 1938, while investigating Zborowski's possible role in Sedov's death, Rudolf Klement (a former aide of Leon Trotsky) was murdered, decapitated, and his corpse dumped in the Seine.

But it was Zborowski's close contact with Trotsky's son that made him such a high-value NKVD asset. Sedov was a bright, articulate, intellectual polyglot, making connections and forming coalitions with a broad set of anti-Soviet European socialists, and, from 1936 on, he became his father's obvious successor. In 1938, Leon Sedov suddenly fell ill, and Zborowski made arrangements for him to be admitted, under a pseudonym, to a private Russian émigré-run Paris hos-

pital. He was diagnosed with appendicitis, and on the day following his appendectomy Sedov was mysteriously found unconscious, naked, 100 feet from his bed with (according to the FBI's records) "large hematomas, which the doctors were unable to explain," on his abdomen. Sedov never regained consciousness and died the following day. The FBI's later investigation into the French inquiry found that, "at the time of the [French] investigation, Zborowski lied to the police when he claimed that he had never gone to the hospital; Jeanne Martin was very surprised at this, because she is sure that she saw him there at least once. As a matter of fact, shortly after he entered the hospital, Sedov requested certain items, and Jeanne gave Zborowski the keys to the apartment, and Zborowski brought back [some] items."

The FBI reported that a French autopsy of Sedov found no indication of poisoning. After initially lying, claiming he had not passed information to the Soviets about Sedov's illness, Zborowski admitted under oath, almost two decades later, that he had provided the NKVD with information on the hospital's location, later claiming that he was prepared to assist with kidnapping Sedov, but he denied knowledge of any Soviet involvement in his death.

In 1939, Leon Trotsky told Lilia Dallin that Zborowski was likely a Soviet spy. Trotsky told her that he had received an unsigned letter (it was from former Soviet general, and defector to the U.S.A., Alexander Orlov) claiming that Zborowski was a NKVD agent, and that if Zborowski was followed, they would learn this was true. But Dallin did not believe it, and she helped convince Trotsky the letter was just a ploy by the NKVD to spread distrust among Trotskyites.

In his final betrayal of Trotsky, in 1938 Zborowski introduced the American Trotsky loyalist Sylvia Ageloff to Ramón Mercader. The latter feigned romantic affections toward Ageloff to gain entry into Trotsky's small house on the Calle Viena, in Coyoacán, outside Mexico City, where Mercader fatally drove an ice axe into Trotsky's skull on August 20, 1940.

After the Nazi invasion of France, American Trotskyites David and Lilia Dallin sponsored Zborowski and his family's immigration to the United States in 1941. The Zborowskis moved into an apartment in the same building where the Dallins lived. In America, he worked a machinist, apparently dropped all contacts with Soviet intelligence, reinventing himself in the new land, until he was suddenly contacted in 1943 by Soviet agents who approached him as he relaxed on a beach near Coney Island. They startled him by walking up and saying (according to his FBI file), "we finally did find you."

Later (as described in part two of this article), after Zborowski was confronted by the FBI and other federal authorities, his versions of how much information he passed to the Soviets shifted over the years, but almost two dozen Soviet communiqués on Zborowski appear in VENONA intercepts, under codenames TULIP and KANT. These intercepted and decrypted communications show him reporting to the NKVD on information he picked up on Victor Kravchenko, Else Bernaut, and others who defected from the Soviet Union to the United States.

In 1944, Victor Kravchenko, an official in the Soviet Government Purchasing Commission stationed in the United States, defected to the U.S.A. The NKVD assigned Zborowski to befriend Kravchenko and spy on him. As Kravchenko began dictating his memoir to Lilia Dallin, *I Chose Freedom* (1946), Zborowski secretly provided reports to the NKVD on Kravchenko and his book.

It would be more than another decade until the FBI learned Zborowski was a Soviet agent. During the Second World War, he joined the U.S. Army, working on an English-Russian technical dictionary. He became a U.S. citizen, and worked at Columbia University School for Library Service, the American Jewish Committee, and the Yiddish Scientific Institute of New York. In 1947, he began working with Margaret Mead and other New York anthropologists and their Office of Naval Researchfunded "Research in Contemporary Culture" project at Columbia, where he studied Eastern European Jewish culture. Margaret Mead's Institute for Intercultural Studies rapidly grew in the post-war period, swollen with military grants to study "culture at a distance," producing national cultural profiles of nations playing vital Cold War roles (e.g., the Soviet Union, Czechoslovakia, etc.), and hosting Zborowski's research.

After conducting hundreds of interviews with European Jewish refugees,

with Elizabeth Herzog, Zborowski coauthored his most popular work, Life is With People (1952), a portrayal of Eastern Europe's shtetls. The book's influence on the conception and imagination of the shtetl was so far reaching that lyricist Sheldon Harnick drew inspiration from it for Fiddler on the Roof's libretto. Zborowski clearly charmed Mead and her circle. These contacts opened doors for future research, but it would be Mead's introduction to neurologist, and pain researcher, Harold G. Wolff that connected Zborowski to a body of literature and a group of researchers, whose research on brainwashing, depravation, and the cultural impacts of stress and pain was secretly funded by the CIA.

🗖 n February 1951, Margaret Mead wrote to Cornell University School **▲**of Medicine neurologist Harold G. Wolff, telling him of Zborowski's interest in conducting anthropological research to determine the role of culture in an individual's experience of pain. Mead had been friends with Wolff for years, and he was a board member and co-founder of her Institute for Intercultural Studies. With Mead and the Institute working as supportive intermediaries, in 1951 Zborowski received funding from the U.S. Public Health Service for a Veteran Affairs hospital study, overseen by Wolff, studying the pain responses of individuals from different ethnic groups.

Zborowski began working with Wolff on the VA hospital pain study in 1951, and he continued to conduct research at Cornell from 1951-54. In many ways, Harold Wolff was the natural person to oversee Zborowski's research. Wolff co-authored an important textbook on pain in 1952, a work that meticulously reviewed experimental and theoretical findings on pain, pain thresholds, and the alleviation of pain, complete with experimental data on measurements of average pain thresholds for various parts of the human body. In 1952, Zborowski published the paper "Cultural Components in Responses to Pain," outlining the basic methodological and theoretical approach to his pain research project. Only a few paragraphs into his discussion of pain, Zborowski mentioned torture as a way of explaining Harold Wolff's point that the biological function of pain cannot alone explain the complexities of human responses to pain, writing that an isolated biological function of pain "would not explain, for example, the acceptance of intense pain in torture, which is part of the initiation rites of many primitive societies, nor will it explain the strong emotional reactions of certain individuals to the slight sting of the hypodermic needle."

Zborowski recognized that attitudes toward pain are learned by individuals through the enculturation process. His model of pain explored how physiological sensations are transformed by cultural and environmental-based filters in ways that cause members of different cultures to respond differently to pain. He explored differences in cultural conceptions of pain expectancy and pain acceptance, as individuals undergo a variety of painful encounters mitigated by culture, ranging from childbirth, initial rites, or medical procedures.

Zborowski's research was based at the Kingsbridge Veterans Hospital in the Bronx, where he studied a population of Irish-American, Italian-American and Jewish-American, and so-called Old-American veterans' reactions to pain. Zborowski characterized "old Americans" as individuals whose grandparents were U.S. born, generally Protestant, "who do not identify themselves with any foreign group, either nationally, socially or culturally."

Zborowski learned that Kingsbridge medical personnel described Italian Americans and Jewish Americans as "tending to 'exaggerate' their pain, while the Irish were often depicted as stoical individuals who are able to take a great deal of pain." He interviewed patients, asking questions about medical conditions and the nature and severity of pain, and collecting information on their cultural background. Zborowski found that both the first two groups were comfortable in vocalizing expressions of their pain, and that while each group's reaction to pain was similar, their expectations or attitudes were strikingly different. He wrote, "While the Italian patients seemed to be mainly concerned with the immediacy of the pain experience and were disturbed by the actual pain sensation which they experienced in a given situation, the concern of patients of Jewish origin was focused mainly upon the symptomatic meaning of the pain and upon the significance of pain in relation to the health, welfare, and, eventually, for the welfare of the families."

Zborowski found Jewish-American patients were more prone to be worry about how the pain would impact their overall health, while the Irish-American patients expressed concerns over the impact the pain would have on their ability to work and their overall economic situation. He noticed striking differences between the ways that pain medication impacted the different groups, claiming that after the Italian-American group received pain medication, they presented themselves with a "joyful disposition" and relaxed, while the Jewish-American patients frequently would not accept offers of pain medication, expressing concerns that the potential dangers of taking a habit-forming medication could have negative health impacts. Some Jewish-American patients even went so far as to hide their medications from the medical staff, pretending that they had taken it; and Zborowski found that, even after initial high levels of pain were reduced, some Jewish-American patients would worry "because they felt that though the pain was currently absent, it may recur as long as the disease was not cured completely." Both the Jewish-American and the Old-American groups expressed forms of "future oriented anxiety," but the Jewish Americans were characterized as pessimistic, and the Old Americans were generally optimistic, often believing that pain was a bodily expression of health conditions needing attention, to assist with healing.

Zborowski concluded that pain functioned differently in different cultures, and that physicians or others observing reactions to pain could easily misunderstand the meanings of these reactions because apparently similar reactions to pain can "have different functions and serve different purposes in various cultures." These efforts to isolate the cultural impacts on individual experiences of pain and discomfort and to develop means to clinically interpret the function and meaning of pain expressions in different culture informed Harold Wolff's later

Harold Wolff's supervision of Zborowski's pain research takes on a special historical significance, given what is now known about Wolff's later involvement in a series of secret CIA-sponsored research projects that contributed to the CIA's knowledge of persuasion, interrogation and torture techniques, and to the writing of the then-secret, 1963 KUBARK Counterintelligence Interrogation Manual. Earlier in his career, Wolff pioneered pain research, studying the intensity of migraine pain and developing an instrument known as the "dolorimeter," a device which administered pain in consistent levels to research subjects, allowing researchers to objectively measure subjective differences in pain experience. Wolff's work contributed to a pain scale known as the "Hardy-Wolff-Goodell"

CIA Director Allen Dulles knew Wolff personally because the latter had treated Dulles' son for a traumatic brain injury he had received in the Korean War, and,

Jewish-American patients would worry "because they felt that though the pain was currently absent, it may recur as long as the disease was not cured completely."

in 1953, Dulles asked Wolff to conduct scientific studies for the CIA examining the possibility of developing "brainwashing" or effective interrogation techniques. Wolff's research in brainwashing and interrogation techniques were part of the CIA's secret MK-ULTRA program, which between 1953 and 1964 undertook 149 CIA research projects, most of which produced data relating to interrogation, torture, and the possibility of brainwashing, and included explorations of possible agents ranging from hypnosis, sensory deprivation, sleep deprivation, hallucinogens, or other drugs.

In 1954, Harold Wolff established the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology (later known as the Human Ecology Fund), a CIA funding front that provided grants to unwitting scholars working on a variety of generally innocuous sounding social science research projects. Many of these projects related to stress, psychological profiling, brainwashing, cultural variations on stress and pleasure, deprivation, and other topics of interest to the CIA. Wolff used his contacts with Mead and the Institute for Intercultural Studies to gain access to the

Institute's mailing list and solicit grant applications from anthropologists and other scholars.

Wolff and psychiatrist Louis Berlin had overseen Zborowski's VA Hospital pain research, and, a few years later, Wolff and Berlin were conducted key MK-ULTRA research. In 1955, Berlin was the primary author (with Wolff as a coauthor) in a landmark study published in the Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, exploring the cognitive effects of Mescaline and LSD: drugs which took on central importance to the CIA's interest in interrogation experimentation. The extent of connections between Zborowski and Wolff remain unclear, but enough is known to raise serious questions about how Zborowski's pain research fit into Wolff's work conceptualizing pain, as well as Wolff' contacts with the Central Intelligence Agency.

Much of the CIA MK-ULTRA research that Wolff and the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology oversaw attempted (in ways similar to the dolorimeter's approach to making individual subjective experiences of pain quantifiable and universal) to measure mental states in ways that accounted for cultural and individual differences. Wolff's experimental approach to understanding pain dramatically shaped the way he analyzed pain or discomfort as variables when studying interrogation and torture. Wolff directed research projects that explored different cultural (environmental) filters through which individual pain reaction (as opposed to sensation) could be stud-

Some of this history reads like cloakand-dagger Cold War melodrama, as a longtime NKVD operative was introduced by Margaret Mead to Wolff, who was soon to be a CIA-funded scientist working on secret brainwashing and interrogation research. While the FBI did not learn Zborowski was a NKVD agent until December 1954, suggestions that Zborowski was directed by the NKVD to work with Wolff would be difficult to support. Zborowski was likely still providing information to the NKVD when he began working with Wolff in 1951, but Wolff was not yet linked to Dulles and the CIA, and this was two years before the CIA launched MK-ULTRA. Further, Zborowski's NKVD handler, Jack Sobel, was fully cooperating with the FBI from the mid-1950s on, and Sobel's claims that

CounterPunch

PO Box 228 Petrolia, CA 95558

Get your summer reading www.counterpunch.org

1st Class

Presort U.S. Postage PAID Permit No. 269 Skokie, IL

First Class

return service requested

Zborowski only provided information on former Soviets living in the U.S.A. are independently supported by VENONA intercepts (though the Soviets had stopped using VENONA codes as Zborowski began working with Wolff). The Soviets could not have known Wolff would later work with the CIA, and there is no evidence that Zborowski did any NKVD espionage in the U.S.A. beyond collecting gossip on Soviet defectors. Where others might see the hidden hand of the CIA connecting Zborowski to Wolff, I see a rudderless opportunist following funding prospects in an era when the National Security State's reach stretched increasingly into academic pursuits.

Zborowski's behavior shows him to be a committed and dangerous Soviet agent, but, as with many spies, it is difficult to interpret Zborowski's politics later in life. The further I waded into his FBI files and other records, the more it seemed I was reading the records of a shell of man reacting to situations in ways self-serving and self-preserving, rather than of a man of any ideological commitment. Perhaps this is a common theme among spies who survive to mid-career, as lives built

on lies and betrayals erode whatever beliefs once existed. Yet, as we will see in Part Two, it is possible that Zborowski's simultaneous roles of NKVD agent and likely unwitting contributor to research of interest to the CIA played a role in behind-the-scenes decisions to not deport Zborowski after his release from prison.

But witting or unwitting, Zborowski's research showed that culture shaped individuals' pain expectancy and pain acceptance in ways that intrigued Wolff, as he began working with a CIA program frantically exploring possibilities of breaking individuals with interrogation and torture. Zborowski's attempts to untangle cultural and physiological responses to pain were the sort of academic work Wolff later publicly cultivated and secretly harvested for the CIA's exploration of possibilities of interrogation and torture. Zborowski's research established a framework for conceptualizing responses to pain that insisted notions of "pain acceptance" and "pain expectancy" were cultural constructs that could conceivably be known and accounted for by interrogators controlling and exploiting the total environments of interrogation

subjects.

But regardless of Zborowski's service to Wolff's pain research and whatever contributions his work made to Wolff's CIA-sponsored interrogation research, Zborowski would soon find himself in deep trouble, as the FBI identified him as a NKVD agent living in New York City. It is a shame that the Bureau never developed an institutional appreciation for irony, for they completely failed to appreciate the ironic incongruities of Zborowski operating within overlapping NKVD and CIA circles. CP

David Price's new book, *Weaponizing Anthropology*, has just been published by CounterPunch books. He can be reached at dprice@stmartin.edu

Part two of this article will appear in the next issue of *CounterPunch*. It draws on recently released FBI files to examine the FBI's espionage investigation of Zborowski, and exposes previously unknown instances of the Bureau secretly providing dossiers on prospective jurors to federal prosecutors during the jury selection process for Zborowski's trials.