



**Targeted Humans, Inc.
July 8, 2026 Mid-Week News**

**Goats Explain Politics
MKUltra Hearing Script
Why is Dr. Ginexi there?
Slow Slide Into Communism
Agenda 2030 is Socialism
The Warmth of Collectivism
Learn Something
Inspiration**

Website: [TargetedHumans.org](https://www.TargetedHumans.org)

Email: TargetedHumans@proton.me

Targeted Humans Conference Call


Sunday 8:00 p.m. EST

FreeConferenceCall: 605-475-4779

Access Code: 607080

CALL ID: electricrose222

Goats Explain Politics Better Than Any Politician



MKUltra Hearing Script

Targeted Humans has prepared a script of the MKUltra hearing so you can have a written document to read and share. Please go to our website at <https://targetedhumans.org/hearing-june-30-2026>

This is a downloadable button and the entire script, whichever you prefer..

Why is Dr. Ginexi there if she is speaking on an unrelated issue?

Have you wondered why Dr. Ginexi was allowed to be at the MKUltra hearing if she wasn't there to talk on MKUltra? It just seemed preposterous that Ms. Luna allowed that. The question to start out with is there a rule that when having a formal committee hearing that you have to have three witnesses? No. Did Ms. Luna allow that because she was conformed by formal rules? Yes. Both Republican and Democratic parties are allowed to have a witness.

Under U.S. House rules (Rule XI), there is no rule requiring a committee hearing to have exactly three witnesses, nor is there a minimum number of witnesses mandated by the standing rules. Committees can call as many witnesses as they choose and schedule hearings over multiple days. The committee chair, acting

for the majority party, in this case Republican Luna formally invites the majority of the witnesses. House rules allow minority party members, in this case, the Democrats, to request that the committee call witnesses of their choosing on at least one day of the hearing.

Why would a Chairman allow one of the witnesses to be there if they are testifying on clearly an unrelated topic?

A Senate committee chairman might allow a witness to testify on a seemingly unrelated topic for several strategic, political, and procedural reasons. Under Senate rules, the minority party has the right to invite their own witnesses. The chairman cannot dictate exactly who the minority chooses, and they may choose a witness to highlight a different narrative or political talking point. Committee chairmen often use hearings to draw media attention to broader party goals, create viral moments, or air grievances. Congressional issues are rarely isolated. A witness testifying on a specific energy bill might touch on unrelated supply chain or labor issues because the chairman views those topics as interconnected. Chairmen intentionally allow wide leeway to build a comprehensive public record, even if the testimony strays from the immediate agenda.

Who chose the Dr. Kinzer and Journalist Tom O'Neill?

House Republicans, specifically Task Force Chairwoman **Anna Paulina Luna** (U.S. House of Representatives member in Florida's 13th congressional district), selected Dr. Stephen Kinzer and investigative journalist Tom O'Neill to testify. As the majority party leading the House Oversight Committee's task force, the Republicans invited these two specific witnesses to focus entirely on government declassification and exposing the historical overreach of the CIA:

Dr. Stephen Kinzer was chosen because he is a Brown University professor and author of *Poisoner in Chief*, a definitive book detailing the life of Sidney Gottlieb, the CIA chemist who ran the MKUltra program. Tom O'Neill was chosen because he is an investigative journalist and author of *Chaos: Charles Manson, the CIA, and the Secret History of the Sixties*, a book exploring potential links between government mind-control experiments and the Manson cult.

Who chose Dr. Ginexi to testify at the MKUltra Hearing?

House Democrats selected Dr. Elizabeth Ginexi to testify at the MKUltra hearing. She is a former senior program director at the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Dr. Ginexi admitted during the panel that she was "not an MKUltra expert". Instead, House Democrats called her to use the hearing's broader theme of "eroding public trust in federal institutions" to warn against the Trump administration's budget freezes, grant cancellations, and political restructuring of the NIH. The only common word found between Dr. Ginexi's topic and Chairman Luna is the word "trust." Luna said in her opening remarks that trust needed to be restored to the government activities. Because Dr. Ginexi's presence was seen as entirely unrelated to the CIA mind-control experiments, Republican lawmakers like Rep. Nancy Mace and Rep. Eli Crane heavily critiqued the minority party's choice, directly asking her, "Why did the Dems send you here?" and grilling her on COVID-19 policies rather than MKUltra. There are hearings, bringing COVID crimes to light, occurring contemporaneously with the MKUltra investigations. Both of these government crimes are related to the topic of trust in the government which is not to be found in these times.

Did Luna have any choice in this matter of allowing Dr. Ginexi to testify?

No, Representative Luna had virtually no choice in the matter. Under long-standing congressional protocols, she was legally and procedurally bound to let Dr. Elizabeth Ginexi speak. Her hands were tied by two major factors.

Under House Rule XI, Clause 2(j)(1), the minority party (House Democrats) has an absolute, codified right to select and call witnesses of their own choosing. A committee or task force chair cannot veto or reject a witness simply because they dislike them or disagree with their stance.

While a chair *can* technically block testimony that wanders completely outside the announced legislative scope of a hearing, the official title Luna chose for this hearing was "*Mind Control and Accountability: Uncovering the Truth of the CIA's MKULTRA Experiments*". House Democrats cleverly used the word "**Accountability**" and Luna's own opening focus on "eroded public trust in the federal government" as a justification to bring in Dr. Ginexi. They argued that stripping and politicizing the NIH also destroys public trust, making her testimony

procedurally relevant to the broad topic. This is called a **"Public Trust" Scope Loophole.**"

Because she couldn't legally block Dr. Ginexi from taking the stand, Luna instead used her powers as chairwoman to push back during the hearing itself. She strictly enforced the five-minute clock and interrupted Dr. Ginexi mid-testimony, cutting her oral statement short the moment her time expired.

I hope that is an enlightening explanation of that mystery for non-congress folks.

The Slow Slide Into Communism

Whether society is sliding into communism is a subject of intense political debate. Opinions depend heavily on which current events are being observed, and the issue spans a spectrum of competing viewpoints:

1. The View That It Is Happening

Some observers argue that Western nations are moving toward communism—or heavily state-controlled systems. They point to growing public reliance on government safety nets, corporate bailouts, and policies focused on wealth redistribution, such as universal basic income or expanded welfare programs. https://www.reddit.com/r/AskSocialScience/comments/1edeay1/why_has_communism_so_often_led_to/?rdt=59597

2. The View That It Is Not Happening

Conversely, economists and political analysts contend that the basic structures of Western economies remain inherently capitalist. They argue that large corporations, private property ownership, and free-market enterprise remain dominant, and that government intervention is typically used to stabilize capitalism rather than replace it. https://www.reddit.com/r/changemyview/comments/16c9k11/cmv_america_today_is_closer_to_a_communist_than/

3. Shifting Definitions

Disagreements often arise over changing terminology. Critics of modern progressive policies often use "communism" as a catch-all term to describe heavy government regulation, high taxation, or broad social justice movements, even though these efforts generally seek to reform existing democratic and capitalist systems rather than overthrow them.

https://www.reddit.com/r/Marxism/comments/1hnoo9x/can_communists_be_fascists/

A Pew Research Center study provides analysis on how political ideologies are shifting. For a closer look at these competing philosophies, consider reading academic perspectives on modern [Political Theory](#) or exploring articles regarding contemporary political polarization.



Agenda 2030 is Communism

Critics and theorists argue that the UN's Agenda 2030 and similar global frameworks promote socialism by advocating for expanded state control over the economy, heavy wealth redistribution, and strict environmental regulations.

<https://cepei.org/en/documents/misinformation-2030-agenda/>

What is the transitional state?

The capitalist mode of production accumulates on a global scale. However, its political governance is made through the system of competing nation-states organized in a center-periphery structured world-system. As long as the capitalist mode of production is vital, developing the productive forces, it will dominate the world-system. Marx states this fundamental principle in historical materialism in Grundrisse: <https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1857/grundrisse/ch01.htm>

This famous quote is by **Karl Marx** from his 1859 work, [A Contribution to the Critique of Political Economy](#). It outlines his core theory of **historical materialism**, which argues that **human history and social changes are driven primarily by technology, economic systems, and material conditions, rather than by pure ideas or political will**. In simple terms, Marx is saying that **an economic and social system (like feudalism or capitalism) cannot be overthrown or replaced until it has completely run its course, outlived its usefulness, and generated the tools needed for the next system to take over.**

Breaking Down the Concepts

To understand exactly what Marx meant, it helps to decode his specific terminology.

- **Productive Forces:** This refers to society's technology, tools, factories, infrastructure, and human knowledge. Essentially, it is everything we use to harvest resources and make things.
- **Relations of Production:** This refers to the economic and class structure of a society. It defines who owns the tools (the masters, lords, or capitalists) and who does the actual work (the slaves, serfs, or wage-workers).
- **Social Order (Mode of Production):** The combination of the two elements above. Examples include the ancient slave-based economy, medieval feudalism, and modern capitalism.

The core elements of the move toward socialism:

- **Centralized Economic Planning:** The framework calls on governments to take a heavy hand in directing markets to achieve sustainability. Critics argue this shifts control away from free-market capitalism and toward state-led economic management.
- **Wealth Redistribution:** Multiple Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) prioritize eliminating poverty and reducing inequalities through systemic

changes. Skeptics view this as a global mandate for heavy taxation and the redistribution of wealth.

"We envisage a world of universal respect for human rights and human dignity, the rule of law, justice, equality and non-discrimination; of respect for race, ethnicity and cultural diversity... A just, equitable, tolerant, open and socially inclusive world in which the needs of the most vulnerable are met." [Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development](#)

- **Collective Over Individual Rights:** The agenda emphasizes collective goals—such as **"leaving no one behind"** and **regulating consumption**. Critics argue this prioritizes state-defined collective welfare over individual liberty and private enterprise. This is heavily thinly veiled Socialism/Communism. Its coming. Agenda 21 came to be and it looks like Agenda 30 is well on its way. Equality,





UNITED PEACE KEEPERS FEDERAL COUNCIL


1 NO POVERTY 	2 NO HUNGER 	3 GOOD HEALTH 	4 QUALITY EDUCATION 	5 GENDER EQUALITY 	6 CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION
7 RENEWABLE ENERGY 	8 GOOD JOBS AND ECONOMIC GROWTH 	9 INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE 	10 REDUCED INEQUALITIES 	11 SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES 	12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION
13 CLIMATE ACTION 	14 LIFE BELOW WATER 	15 LIFE ON LAND 	16 PEACE AND JUSTICE 	17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS 	 THE GLOBAL GOALS For Sustainable Development

THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS



www.unpkfc.org

Shelby



Learn Something! The Mind is Not Locked Inside the Brain, It Is In Every Cell in You!



Inspiration! Music! Beauty! Our Lives Are Not Over!

https://www.youtube.com/shorts/Gtz3_ec_xEY



TargetedHumans, Inc.

P.O. Box 2265

New Smyrna Beach, FL 32170-2265

PLEASE DONATE!

This email was sent to {{ contact.EMAIL }}.

Apologies to those who unsubscribed. We had to change providers and Constant Contact kept our list of unsubscribed so we are having to start over from lists from websites and old newsletters.

[Unsubscribe](#)